# Appendix A—Agencies Consulted for This Study

#### **Interviewed Agencies**

#### Metropolitan Planning Organizations

- Baltimore Metropolitan Council (BMC) Baltimore, MD
- Capital District Transportation Committee (CDTC) Albany, NY
- Capitol Regional Council of Governments (CRCOG) Hartford, CT
- Chittenden County Regional Planning Commission (CCRPC) Winooski, VT
- Delaware Valley Regional Planning Commission (DVRPC) Philadelphia, PA
- Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments (MWCOG) Washington, DC

#### State Departments of Transportation

- Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT)
- New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT)
- Maryland Department of Transportation (MDOT)

#### Other Government Agencies

- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
- New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA)
- Northeast States for Coordinated Air Use Management (NESCAUM)

#### Other Researched Agencies

- Massachusetts Metropolitan Planning Organizations
- Rhode Island Department of Transportation (RIDOT)
- Connecticut Department of Transportation (ConnDOT)
- New Jersey Transit
- New Jersey Department of Transportation (NJDOT)
- Pennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT)
- Delaware Department of Transportation

# Appendix B—MassDOT CMAQ Worksheets for GHG Quantification



## Appendix 1: CMAQ Spreadsheet Examples

## **Bus Replacement**

СМА	QE	Sus Repla	acement Air Q	uality Analysi	s Worksheet		
FILL IN SHADED B	OXE	ES ONLY					
TIP YEAR:			Bus Replacen	nents			
MPO:							
RTA:							
Project 1 - Replac	ce #	(model)	year) Buses w	ith # (model ye	ear) Buses		
Emission Rates in gr	ams	/mile at as:	sumed operating	speed of :	18 MPH		
Scenario Compa	risc	n	Summer VOC	Summer NOx	Winter CO	Summer CO2	2
			(grams/mile)	(grams/mile)	(grams/mile)	(grams/mile)	
		Model Yea	r				
Existing Model	=	0.040	0.405	0.770	0.000	070.000	
New Bus Purchase	=	2016	0.195	0.776	0.383	872.900	24.3
Flease fefer to the Er fuel type and gross veh 'Existing Model' being Ethan.Britland@state.	niss hicle repla ma.u	ion Factors weight. If yo aced, please IS	c cab to determine to ou require factors fo contact Ethan Brit	ne most appropriat or an operating spe land at 857-368-88	e New Bus racto ed other that 18MI 40 or at	PH, or for the	
Change (Buy-Base)	)		0.195	0.776	0.383	872.900	
Calculate fleet v	ehi	cle miles	per day:				
Revenue miles	X	Deadhead	= fleet miles	l operating days	= fleet miles		
peryear		factor	per year	per year	per day		
1,623,050		1.15	1,866,508	301	6,201		
Calculate emissi	ons	change	in kilograms p	er summer day			
Change		rate change	/ 1000	X fleet miles	X seasonal	= change/day	
		grams/mile	g/kg	per day	adj factor	in kg	
Character Summer	VO	0.195	1.000	e 201	1 0100	1 2 2 2	
Change in Summer		0.135	1,000	6,201	1.0100	1.232	
Change in Summer	$\frac{100}{10}$	0.110	1,000	6 201	0.9812	2 330	
Change in Summer	co:	872,900	1,000	6,201	1.0000	5412.872	
				-,		0112.012	
Calculate emissi	ons	change	in kilograms p	er year			
Pollutant				= change/day	X op. days	= change per	
				in kg	per year	year in kg	
Summer VOC				1 2 2 2	301	370.812	
Summer NOv				4 902	301	1475.640	
Winter CO				9.302	301	701.433	
Summer CO2				5412.872	301	*****	
Calculate cost e	ffor	tiuonoss	feost per ka a	f emissions re	duced)		
Salvalate oust e			, sost per kg t				
Pollutant			Total Project Cost	/ Project Life in years	7 reduction per year in kg	= annual cost perkg	
Summer VOC				12	-370.812	\$0	
Summer NOx				12	-1475.640	\$0	
Winter CO				12	-701.433	\$0	
Summer CO2				12	-1629274.397	\$0	



#### New/additional transit service

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	
	CMAQ New Bus Ser	rvice Air Quality An	alysis Worksheet				
FILL IN SHADED BOXES	BOXES ONLY						
TIP YEAR:	2013						
MPO:							
RTA:							
Project:							
Summary of Vehic	le Emission Rates	S:					
Emission Pates	Milestone Vear	Oper Speed	Summer VOC	Summer NOv	Winter CO	Summer CO2	
by Vehicle Type	for Rates	(mph)	(grams/mile)	(grams/mile)	(grams/mile)	(grams/mile)	
by contoic type		(p)	(gramerine)	(gramorrino)	(graniornio)	(granic/nic)	
Auto	2016	20	0.280	0.215	11.340	368.1	
BUS"	2016 Vehicle ture used fo	18	0.195	0.776	0.383	872.9	
IDDV J	venicie type useu it	Dus emission lac	tors (For example, i		20)		
Calculate VMT and Convert daily bus rid	368-8840 or at Etha <b>1 emissions savin</b> lership into private a	an.Britland@state.m gs from private v auto VMT savings:	ehicles:				
Daily one way	/ avorago voh	= daily one way	v ava jauto trip	= daily cavinas			
rson trips (reduced)	/ average ven.	auto trips	length (miles)	- daily savings			
ison thes (reduced)	occupancy	uuto tripo	iengen (miles)				
169	1.18	143	7.8	1,117			
Calculate emissions	change from auto	VMT savings:	Daily Auto VMT	X Emission	/ 1000g	= change/day	
Pollutant	change nom auto	vivir savings.	change (net)	factor (auto)	per ka	in ka	
0			4 447	0.000	1000	0.242	
Summer VOC			-1,117	0.260	1000	-0.313	
Winter CO			-1,117	0.215	1000	-0.240	
Summer CO2			-1,117	368 100	1000	-12.000	
			-1,117	500.100	1000	-411.211	
Calculate bus rout	e mileage and en	nissions per day:					
Pollutant	Total Route	X # of round	= fleet miles	X Emission	/ 1000g	= change/day	
	distance (miles)	trips per day	per day	factor (bus)	per kg	in kg	
Summer VOC	12	10	120	0.195	1000	0.023	
Summer NOx	12	10	120	0.776	1000	0.093	
Winter CO	12	10	120	0.383	1000	0.046	
Summer CO2	12	10	120	872.900	1000	104.748	
Add impact of bus	emissions to emi	ssion savings fro	m private vehicle	es			
Pollutant				change/day	+ change/day	= change/day	
onutant				auto (kg)	bus or van (kg)	(NET) in kg	
Summer VOC				-0.313	0.023	-0.289	
Summer NOx				-0.240	0.093	-0.147	
Winter CO				-12.668	0.046	-12.622	
Summer CO2				-411.211	104.748	-306.463	
Calculate net emis	sions change in l	kilograms per yea	ar (seasonally ad	ljusted)			
Pollutant			change/day	X operating	X seasonal	= change per	
			(NET) in ka	days per vear	adj factor	year in ko	
Summer VOO			0.000	050	1.0400	70 700	
Summer VOC			-0.289	250	1.0188	-13.108	
Winter CO			-0.147	250	0.9812	-31.450	
Summer CO2			-12.022	250	1 0000	-3030.217	
Summer COZ			-300.403	250	1.0000	-10010.043	



#### Park and Ride lot

TIP TEAR:									_		
							_				
MPO:						Municipa	ality:				
Project:											
Details of F	<sup>2</sup> roject										
Jumber of Pa	arking Spac	es		Average	Utilization	of lots in the	area 🗧	-	(defaul	t 85%)	
fotal Numb	per of Spa	aces Utilize	d 0								
Prior Mode	Solit of f	uturo Usor	5								
)rive alone	Spircori	uture oser	Ì								
arpool/Vang	pool										
/alk/Bicycle	/Transit/Ot	her									
uture Mod	le Split o	f those leav	ina the lo	t							
arpool/Vanj	pool				Numbe	r of new buse	es adde	ed		buses	
ransit					Total or	ne-way dista	nce of	bus route		miles	
/alk/Bicycle	Transit/Otk	er									
lverage Vo	ehicle Oc	cupancy									
rrivals to the	lot	1.1									
arpools from	n the lot	2.6									
ransit Bus fr	om the lot	55									
listance to	Primary	Employmer	t Center	mi	es						
verage Pe	eak Hour	Travel Spe	ed	35 mp	h						
alculated	Faisting	Conditions									
xistina Drive	Alone Veł	icle Trips	(Spaces Lit	ilized " % Drive	Alone)					0	
victing Carl	(appeal 9)	biolo Trinc	(0	a • v c W		Assist Occ. 1	hun Cr			0	
asong carN	/anpool Ve	nicie mps	(spaces Ut	n. la Car/Van	pooi) - [Avç	, Arrival Occ. / .	nvg. Car	poor Occ. J		0	
otal Existing	Vehicle Tr	ips								0	
otal Existi	ing VMT		(Total Exis	ting Veh. Trips	Distance	to Primary Empl	oyment C	enter) * 2 trip	s/day	0	
alculated	Future C	onditions									
uture Carpo	oling Vehic	le Trips	(Spaces Ut	il. * % Future C	ar/Vanpool	)* (Avg. Arrival	Occ. / Av	rg. Carpool O	:c.)	0	
uture Car	noolina V	MT	(Enture Cor	nooling Veh 1	Fring * Dista	nco to Primoru F	moloum	ont Contor) * 2	trine/day	0	
	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		(,	proving rem	inpr birt						
uture Transi	it Vehicle T	rips	(Spaces Ut	il. * % Future T	ransit) * (Av	g. Arrival Occ. /	Avg. Tra	nsit Bus Occ.)		0	
uture Tra	nsit VMT		(Number of	new buses ad	ded * One-v	au distance of t	ous route	) * 2 trips/dau		0	
					AF			,,			
TODIC O LMI	SSION FACE	ors for escima	icea arerage	Clatel spe	ci 33	•p•.			_		
	(	2016		2016		2016		2016			
uto (LDGV)'	· (	Summer VOC F	actor Sum	mer NOx Fact	or \	/inter CO Facto	r S	ummer CO2 F	actor		
	l (	0.232	1	0.178		11.060		gramsrnou 8888	r		
	```										
· · - •	(	2016		2016		2016		2016			
us		arams/hou	actor sum r	arams/hour	or v	arams/hour	r a	arams/hou	actor r		-
	ì	0.115		888		0.196					
DDV 3	Enter vehi	le type used fo	r Bus emissi	on factors (F	or exampl	e, HDGV 6 or l	HDDV 2	b)			
	Auto' or 'Bu	s' factors for a	n operating s	peed other th	hat 35 MPI	H, please cont	act Etha	an Britland at	857-368-8	840. or at	
Fyou require '. Non-Drivland'	wstate.ma.t	15.									
f you require '. (than.Britland)			n existing bus	service with			م مام م	e enter 0.0 fo	r the 'Bus'	emission	
f you require ' (than.Britland) (the park and i	ride lot is be	ing served by a			no new se	rvice propose	u, pieas				
f you require ' than.Britland( the park and r actors.	ride lot is be	ing served by a			nonewse	rvice propose	u, pieas				
f you require ', than.Britlandu the park and r actors. Calculate act	ride lot is be emissions	ing served by a change in kilo	grams per d	lay: DC Emissions	no new se	rvice propose	u, pieas	/inter CO Emi	sions	CO2 Emission	
f you require ', than.Britland the park and i actors. faiculate act	ride lot is be emissions	ing served by a change in kilo VMT	<b>grams per d</b> V	lay: OC Emissions ilograms/day	no new se	rvice propose NOx Emissions kilograms/day	u, pieas V	/inter CO Emi: kilograms/d	sions ay	CO2 Emission kilograms/day	s 1
f you require ' than.Britlandi the park and i inctors. alculate act kisting Conditio	ride lot is be emissions	ing served by a <b>change in kilo</b> VMT 0.0	g <b>rams per d</b> V k	<b>lay:</b> OC Emissions ilograms/day 0.000	no new se	NOx Emissions kilograms/day 0.000	u, pieas V	/inter CO Emi: kilograms/d 0.000	isions ay	CO2 Emission: kilograms/day 0.000	s ,
f you require ' than.Britlandi the park and i totors. alculate aet tisting Conditio 'ith Improvemen	ride lot is be emissions ons its	ing served by an <b>change in kilo</b> VMT 0.0 0.0	grams per d V k	lay: OC Emissions ilograms/day 0.000 0.000	no new se	NOx Emissions kilograms/day 0.000 0.000	u, pieas V	/inter CO Emis kilograms/d 0.000 0.000	sions ay	CO2 Emission: kilograms/day 0.000 0.000	s   
you require ', than.Britland the park and r totors. alculate act disting Condition ith Improvement et Change	ride lot is be emissions ons its	ing served by ai change in kilo VMT 0.0 0.0 change in kilo	grams per d V k	lay: OC Emissions ilograms/day 0.000 0.000 0.000		NOx Emissions kilograms/day 0.000 0.000	v. preas	/inter CO Emi: kilograms/d 0.000 0.000 <b>0.000</b>	isions ay	CO2 Emission kilograms/day 0.000 0.000 <b>0.000</b>	s   
you require ' than.Britlandi totors. alculate act cisting Conditio ith Improvemen et Change alculate act	ride lot is be emissions ons nts emissions	ing served by ai change in kilo VMT 0.0 0.0 change in kilo Net change	grams per d V k grams per y	lay: OC Emissions ilograms/day 0.000 0.000 0.000 ear (seasor faus %^	aaliy adju	NOx Emissions kilograms/day 0.000 0.000 0.000 sted) Adi not	v, preas	/inter CO Emi: kilograms/d 0.000 0.000 <b>0.000</b>	isions ay	CO2 Emission kilograms/day 0.000 0.000 <b>0.000</b>	s ,
i you require ' than.Britlandi totors. alculate aet disting Condition (ith Improvement <b>et Change</b> alculate aet	ride lot is be emissions ons nts emissions	ing served by ai change in kild VMT 0.0 0.0 0.0 change in kild Net change per day (ka)	ograms per d V k ograms per y Avg. weeke X per ues	lay: OC Emissions ilograms/day 0.000 0.000 ear (seaso days Se r X	ally adju: asonal adj. factor	NOx Emissions kilograms/day 0.000 0.000 0.000 sted) Adj. net = in ka n	u, pieas V change er year	/inter CO Emis kilograms/d 0.000 0.000 <b>0.000</b>	isions ay	CO2 Emission: kilograms/day 0.000 0.000 0.000	s 1
i you require ', than.Britlandi the park and i ictors. alculate act disting Conditie ith Improvemer <b>et Change</b> alculate act DC Emissions	ride lot is be emissions ons nts emissions	ing served by ai change in hild VMT 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.00 0.000 0.000	grams per d V k grams per y Avg. weeke X per yes X 250	lay: OC Emissions ilograms/day 0.000 0.000 tear (season days Se r X	ally adju: asonal adj. factor 1.0188	NOx Emissions kilograms/day 0.000 0.000 c.000 cted) Adj. net = in kg p = (	v, preas v change er year <b>1.000</b>	/inter CO Emia kilograms/d 0.000 0.000 <b>0.000</b>	isions ay	CO2 Emission: kilograms/day 0.000 0.000 0.000	s , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
f you require ' than.Britland the park and actors. alcelate act xisting Condition (ith Improvemer alcelate act alcelate act OC Emissions Ox Emissions	ride lot is be emissions ons nts emissions	ing served by ai change in kild VMT 0.0 0.0 change in kild Net change per day (kg) 0.000	grams per d k grams per y Avg. weeke X per yes X 250 X 250	lay: OC Emissions ilograms/day 0.000 0.000 tear (seaso days Se r X x X	asily adju: asonal adj. factor 1.0188 1.0188	NOx Emissions kilograms/day 0.000 0.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.000 c.	change er year J.000 J.000	/inter CO Emi: kilograms/d 0.000 0.000	ay	CO2 Emission kilograms/day 0.000 0.000	s , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
f you require ' than.Britlandi the park and lactors. Salculate act xisting Conditio (ith Improvemen <b>let Change</b> Salculate act OC Emissions (inter CO Emissions	ride lot is be emissions ons nts emissions iions	ing served by ai change in kild VMT 0.0 0.00 change in kild Net change per day (kg) 0.000 0.000	grams per d grams per g Avg.weeke X peryes X 250 X 250 X 250 X 250	lay: OC Emissions OOC missions 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000000	aally adje: asonal adj. factor 1.0188 1.0188 0.3812	NOx Emissions kilograms/day 0.000 0.000 eted) Adj. net = in kg p = ( = (	change er year 1.000 1.000	/inter CO Emis kilograms/d 0.000 0.000	ay	CO2 Emission kilograms/day 0.000 0.000	
f you require ' than.Britlandi the park and lactors. calculate aet xisting Conditio /ith Improvemen let Change calculate aet OC Emissions /inter CO Emissions /inter CO Emissions	ride lot is be emissions ons tts emissions tions	ing served by al change in kild VMT 0.0 0.0 0.00 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	grams per d V k grams per g Avg. weekd X per yes X 250 X 250 X 250 X 250	lay: OC Emissions 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.000000	aally adje: aconal adj. factor 1.0188 0.3812 1.0000	NOx Emissions kilograms/day 0.000 0.000 ted) Adj. net = in kg p = C = C = C	v change er year 1.000 1.000 1.000	/inter CO Emis kilograms/d 0.000 0.000	ay	CO2 Emission kilogram:/day 0.000 0.000	
f you require ' than.Britland the park and lactors. calculate net xisting Conditio 'ith Improvemen <b>let Change</b> calculate net OC Emissions OX Emissions O2 Emissions calculate cos	ride lot is be emissions ons nts emissions tions t effective Project	ing served by al change in kild VMT Change in kild Net change per day (kg) 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.000000 0.00000000	grams per g k grams per g Avg. weeke X per yes X 250 X 250	lay: OC Emissions liograms/day 0.000 0.000 days Se r X X X X X X X	ally adju asonal adju factor 1.0188 0.3812 1.0000 ioas redu tuear cort	NOx Emissions           kilograms/day           0.000           0.000           0.000           sted)           Adj. net           =           in kg p           =           (Codd)           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -           -   -	v change er year 1.000 1.000	/inter CO Emia kilograms/d 0.000 0.000	sions ay	CO2 Emission kilogram:/day 0.000 0.000	
f you require ' than.Britland the park and i actors. Calculate net xisting Conditio 'ith Improvemen <b>Let Change</b> Calculate net OX Emissions 'inter CO Emissions Calculate cos mission	ride lot is be emissions ons emissions iions it effective Project Cost	Ing served by al change in kild VMT 0.0 0.00 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.000000 0.00000 0.00000000	grams per d V k grams per y Avg. weekd X 250 X 2	lay: OC Emissions lograms/day 0.000 0.000 0.000 days Se r X X X X x x x x x x x x x x x x x	aally adjus soonal adju factor 1.0188 0.3612 1.0000 ioas redu it year cost er kilogram	NOx Emissions kilograms/day 0.000 0.000 eted) Adj. net = in kg p = ( = ( = ( ced)	change er year 1.000 1.000 1.000	Vinter CO Emit kilogram:2/d 0.000 0.000	isions ay	CO2 Emission kilogram/day 0.000 0.000	
f you require ' than.Britlandi the park and actors. salculate act xisting Conditio 'ith Improvemen let Change isloulate act OC Emissions OZ Emissions alculate cos mission OC Emissions	ride lot is be emissions ons nts emissions tions t effective Project Cost	Ing served by al change in kild VMT 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.000000 0.00000000	grams per d k grams per y Avg. week X peryec X 250 X 2	lay: OC Emissione ilograms/day 0.000 0.000 cear (seasof days & & r X X X X X x kg of emiss Fir: P	aally adju: soonal adj. factor 1.0188 0.3812 1.0000 ions redu it year cost er kilogram BDIV/01	NOx Emissions kilograms/day 0.000 0.000 eted) Adj. net = in kg p = ( = ( = ( = ( = ( = (	change er year 1.000 1.000 1.000	/inter CO Emin kilograms/d 0.000 0.000	isions ay	CO2 Emission kilogram <i>2</i> /day 0.000 0.000	
f you require ' than.Britlandi the park and lactors. 'alculate aet xisting Conditio (ith Improvemen <b>let Change</b> <b>let Change</b> (inter CO Emissions (inter CO Emissions (inter CO Emissions (inter CO Emissions) (iter cos mission (iter cos) (iter	ride lot is be emissions ons emissions emissions it effective Project Cost	ing served by al change in kild VMT 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.00 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.0000000 0.00000000	Igrans per d k igrans per y Avg. week X per yes X 250 X 250 X 250 X 250 X 250 X 250 in kg per yes fin k change in kg per yes 0.000 0.000	lay: IOC Emissions Ilograms/day 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 Verar (seasol days & & r X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	aally adje: aconal adj. factor 1.0188 0.3812 1.0000 ioas redu t year cost er kilogram BDIY/0!	NOx Emissions kilogram:/day 0.000 0.000 sted) Adj. net = in kg p = ( = ( ceed)	v change er year ).000 ).000 ).000	/inter CO Emin kilograms/d 0.000 0.000	ay	CO2 Emission kilogram/day 0.000 0.000	
f you require ' than.Britlandi the park and actors. Calculate act xisting Conditio /ith Improvemen <b>Let Change</b> Calculate act 'OC Emissions /inter CO Emissions CO2 Emissions CO2 Emissions 'intersions OC Emissions 'inter CO Emissions 'inter CO Emissions	ride lot is be emissions ons emissions teffective Project Cost	ing served by al change in kild VMT 0.0 0.0 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.000000 0.00000000	grams per d k grams per y Avg. week X per yes X 250 X 250 X 250 X 250 X 250 X 250 x 250 x 0.000 0.000 0.000	lay: OC Emissions ilograms/day 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000	aaliy adje asonal adj. factor 1.0188 0.9812 1.0000 ioas redet it year cost re kilogram BDIY/0! BDIY/0!	VUCE propose NOx Emissions kilogram./day 0.000 0.000 sted) Adj. net = in kg p = ( = ( ced)	v change er year ).000 ).000 ).000	/inter CO Emi- kilogram/d 0.000 0.000	isions ay	CO2 Emission kilogram/day 0.000 0.000	



## Complete Streets projects

111		0		L .		0			0			IVI	IN .	<b>v</b>		
	CMAQ Air	Quality A	nalysis W	/orksheet	for Comple	te Streets	s Project						rev.	12/31/2014		
		DBOXES OF	VLY													
+	MDO:	_	_				Municipa	litu								
	MFU.						миністра	inty.								
	Floject.					-										
	If VMT reductio	ate New Wa n per vear is	known then	on to Step 2B	if not proceed y	with Step 1 :										
		in por your io		go to 010p 20	, in not proceed i				User Input							
	A Facility Length	(L):					10	<u>(b</u> Miles	lank for defa	<u>ult)</u>	Default					
ļ	B Types of Impro	vements Impl	lemented:				Both	(select Pedestrian	Bicycle or	Both	from list)					
	<ul> <li>B. Service Area R</li> </ul>	adius for Bir	voling (BB):				0.5	Miles			0.5					
	C. Service Area R	adius for Wa	alking (RW)				0.25	Miles			0.25					
	). Service Area o	f Community	(ies) for Bicy	cling (SAB)	L * 2RB = SAB		1	Sa Miles		_	0.20					
ļ	E Service Area o	f Community	(ies) for Wal	king (SAW)	1 * 2RW = SAV	v	. 0.5	Sa Miles								
ļ	E Land Area of N	eighborhood	Is Served (Al	N).	E ERR - OAR	-	1.0	Sa Miles								
į	C Population of N	eighborhood	s Served (PN	ny. D:			10.000	Dersons								
Ì	H Population Den	sity of Neight	horhoode Ser	ved (PD):			10,000	Persone/So Mile								
	Deputation Dens	sity of Neight	fee Dievelie				10,000	Persons/3q. Mile								
1	Deputation Serv	ed by Facility	y for Bicyclin	(PD). PD * (	SAD = PD		10,000	Persons				Defeußt	ada Chan	a hu Dagulatia	- Deseit -	
	J. Population Serv	ed by Facility	y for waiking	(PW): PD ^s	SAW = PW		5,000	Persons				Detault N	T coo	s by Populatio	on Density	
1	<ol> <li>Trips per Perso</li> </ol>	n per Day in	Service Area	a (T):			4.7	Trips			4.7		>7,500	1,000-7,500	<1,000	
l	L. Baseline Bicycl	e Mode Shar	e in Service	Area (MSB):			1.7%	Percent				Bicycle	1.7%	0.6%	0.6%	
1	M. Baseline Walk I	lode Share i	n Service Ar	ea (MSW):			30.2%	Percent				Walk	30.2%	7.2%	4.7%	
•	N. Relative Increas	se in Service	Area Bicycle	e Mode Share	from Improveme	nts (BI):	30.0%	Percent			30.0%					
(	D. Relative Increas	se in Service	Area Walk N	lode Share fro	om Improvements	s (WI):	7.5%	Percent			7.5%					
F	P. New Bike Trips	(BT): PB * 1	r * MSB * BI =	BT			240	1-Way Trips/Day								
(	Q. New Walk Trips	s (WT): PW	* T * MSW * V	VI = WT			532	1-Way Trips/Day								
F	R. Average Bike T	rip Length (L	<b>B</b> ):				2.3	Miles			2.3					
1	S. Average Walk	Trip Length (I	LW):				0.7	Miles			0.7					
1	F. New Bike and \	Valk Miles of	Travel (BWN	A):			935	Miles per Day								
	Step 2: Calcul	ate the VM	T Reduction	:												
ι	J. Prior Drive Mod	e Share of N	lew Bike and	Walk Trips (M	ISD):		59.0%	Percent	59%							I
۱	V. VMT Reduced	per Day (VM	TR): BWM	* MSD = VMTF	ł		551	Miles per Day								
۱	W. VMTR * Operat	ing Days Per	Year		551	* 365 =	201,255	VMTR Per Year								
	If the Vehicle M	iles Traveled	Reduction is	known enter	in the box to the	right.		VMTR Per Year								
-	Note: A manua	l entry of the	VMTR will o	verride the ca	Iculated cell.											
-	Note: Use 35 M	PH as a defa	ult if average	e speed is not	known.	Speed Used:	35 MPH									
	2010 4-4-		2010 4.44		2010 4.4		2040 4.44									
	2016 Auto Summer VOC Fac	tor Su	2016 Auto mmer NOx Fa	actor S	2016 Auto Summer CO Facto	or Sum	2016 Auto mer CO2 Fa	ctor								
	grams/mile		grams/mile		grams/mile		grams/mile									
	0.232 Step 4: Calcul	ate emissio	0.178 ons reduction	ons in kiloar	3.540 ams per year (	Seasonally A	368.100 (djusted):									
	Summer VOC		Summer NO:	× Ž	Summer CO		Summer CO2									
	47.6		36.5		725.8		74,081.9									
	Step 5: Calcul	ate cost eff	fectiveness	(first year c	ost per kg of e	missions re	duced)									
		Project		Emission Red	duction	First year cos	st .									
	Emission	Cost	,	in kg per yea		per kilogram										
	Summer VOC Summer NOx	\$0		47.6	=	\$0										
	Summer CO		1	705.0	-	60										



## Bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure

I.	А	В	L L	D	E	F	G	Н		J	ĸ	L
		CMAQ Air (	Quality A	nalvsis W	orksheet	for Bicycle	and Pede	estrian P	roiect			
		FILL IN SHADE	D BOXES ON	1 Y		···· _··· <b>,</b> ····						_
		THEE IN ONADE	0 00/120 01	- 1								
		TIP YEAR:										
		MPO:						Municin	ality			
		WI O.						wuncip	anty.			
		Project:										
	-	Step 1: Calcula	ate Estimate	ed Reductio	n in Vehicle	Miles Travele	d (VMT)					-
-		If VMT reduction	n per vear is	known then o	no to Step 2B	if not proceed y	with Step 1					_
•					Jo 10 010p 20,	in not proceed i						
Ì	Α.	Facility Length (	L):					1.8	Miles			
ŀ	В.	Service Area Ra	adius (R):					1.0	Miles	(Default = 1	Mile)	
	c.	Service Area of	f Community(	ies) (SA): L	* 2R = SA			3.6	Sq. Miles			
F		Total Land Assa						25	Co. Miles			
ŀ	υ.	Total Land Area	or Communi	ty(les)(1):				20	Sq. miles			
	E.	Service Area %	of Communi	ty(ies) Land	Area (LA):	SA / T = LA		14.4%				
i	F	Total Dopulation	of Communit	(iae) (TD):				50.000	Dereone			
ł	••	rotarropulation	or communit	y(ics) (TF).				30,000	Feraoria			
	G.	Population Serv	ed by Facility	(P): LA*T	P = P			7,200	Persons			
Ē	H.	Total Number of	Households	in Community	(ies) (HH):			20,000	НН			
					(							
-	I.	Number of Hous	eholds Serv	ed by Facility	(HS): LA * H	H = HS		2,880	НН			
Γ	J.	Total Number of	Workers Re	siding in Com	munity(ies) (W	V):		25,000	Persons			_
-				_					_			
	к.	Workers Per ho	usehold (WP	ин): w/нн	= WPHH			1.25	Persons			
i	L.	Workers in Serv	rice Area (W	SA): HS * W	/PHH = WSA			3,600	Persons			
i												
1	М.	Population Dens	ity of the Se	rvice area (Pl	D): P / SA = P	D		2,000	Persons Per Sq. Mi	e		
1												
1	Ν.	If the bicycle an	d pedestrian	commuter mo	ode share is k	nown, enter the	percentage a	t the right.	(BMS)	2.5%		
		If not, use US C	ensus - Ame	rican Commu	nity Survey da	ata to determine	the mode sha	re and enter	the percentage.			
		http://www.cer	isus.gov/pro	grams-surve	ys/acs/quidan	ce/estimates.ht	ml					
i	~	Rike and Red M	ork Utilitarian	Tripo (PMT)		- PWT		00	One Way Trips			
ł	υ.	Dike and Ped. W	ork otilitariai	T Trips (DWT)	. WSA - DM3			90	One-way mps			
1	Ρ.	Bike and Ped. N	on-Work Utili	tarian Trips <b>(E</b>	BNWT): BWT	* 1.7 = BNWT		153	One-Way Trips			
i		(Latest planning	assumption	s estimate no	n-work utilitar	ian trips to be 1.	7 times the w	ork utilitarian	l.)			
1		Step 2: Calcula	ate the VMT	Reduction	Per Day:							
1	Α.	((2 * BWT) + (2	* BNWT)) * (	0.5* L) = VMT	R			437.4	VMTR Per Day			
	в.	VMTR * Operation	ng Days Per	Year		437.4	* 200 =	87,480	VMTR Per Year			
		If the Vehicle Mi	les Traveled	Reduction is	known enter i	in the box to the	right.		VMTR Per Year			
1		Note: A manual	entry of the	VMTR will ov	erride the cal	culated cell.						
I		Step 3: MOVES	5 2014 Emis	sion Factors	s for Urban U	Inrestricted P	M:					
ŀ		Note: Use 35 MP	PH as a defa	ult if average	speed is not l	known.	Speed Used:	35 MPH				
ĺ												
i		2016 Passenger	2	016 Passeng	er	2016 Passenge	r 20	)16 Passeng	ger			
ļ	S	ummer VOC Fact	tor Sur	nmer NOx Fa	ctor S	ummer CO Fact	or Sun	nmer CO2 Fa	actor			_
ļ		grams/mile		grams/mile		grams/mile		grams/mile				_
		0.047		0.163		2.460		378.555				_
		step 4: Calcula	ite emissio	ons reductio	ons in kilogra	arns per year	seasonally A	adjusted):	2			
		Summer VUC		Summer NOX		Summer CO		Summer CO.	۷			
I		4.2		14.5		219.3		33,116.0				_
		04 - F - F - F - F										
		Step 5: Calcula	te cost eff	ectiveness	(first year co	ost per kg of e	missions re	duced)				
1		Emission	Project		in ke per ver	r	ner kiloaror	sl				
		Cummer VOC	COSE	1	in ky per year	-	en kilogram					_
		Summer VOC		1	4.2	=	<b>3</b> 0					
ĺ		Summer NOx		1	14.5	=	\$0					
		Summer CO		1	219.3	=	\$0					_
		Summer CO2		1	33,116.0	=	\$0					



## Traffic operational improvement

_ <u>^</u>				L	•	9			9	IN I		13		1 · · ·		9		0	V	**
CMAQ Air	Qu	ality	Ana	lysis Work	she	et fo	or 1	Traffi	c Fle	ow and	Intersec	tie	on Impro	vem	ents					
FILL IN SH	INF		(ES (														-			
		0.001																		
TIP YEAR:																	L			
MPO:											Municipa	ali	ity:							
Project:													-							
110,000													-			_	-			
Step 1: Ual	cula	te Exi	sting	I AM Peak H	lour	lotal	In	terse	ction	Uelay in	Seconds						-			
	_	Le	eft-Tu	rns		lotal			Thru		Total		Rig	ght-Tu	Ins	Total	_	Total		
Street Name	Dir	(Vol 7	PHF	X delay per	= п	nove.	+	(Vol 7	PHF	X delay	= move.	+	(Vol 7	PHF	Xdelay =	move.	=	approach		
			)	veh	0	delay			)	per veh	delay		101	)	perveh	delay		delay		
Main St.	INB	6	###	22.9	=	145	+	338	###	22.9	= 8,148	+	401	###	22.9 =	9,666	=	17,958		
Main St.	ISB	72	###	12.8	=	970	+	205	###	12.8	= 2,762	+	6	###	12.8 =	81	=	3,813		
Plain St	EB	352	###	54.8	= 2	20,305	+	205	###	54.8	= 11,825	+	107	###	10.4 =	1.171	=	33,301		
Keith Ave	WE		###	0.1	=	U	+		###	0.1	= 0	+		###	0.1 =	U U	=	0		
0.001	<u> </u>					<b>T</b>				<b>D</b> 1	<u> </u>		lota	Inters	ection Delay	y/Seconds	=	55,073		
Step Z: Cal	cula	ate Ex	isting	g PM Peak F	lour	lota	i In	terse	CLIOF	n Delay II	n Seconds	-	D1	1 . T		<b>T</b>	-	Til		
0 N	D	Le	ert-Tu	rns	_	lotal			Thru	0.1.1	Total		Big	ght-Tu	Ins	Total		Iotal		
Street Name	Dir	(VOL/	PHF	X delay per	= п	nove.	+	(VOL/	PHF	X delay	= move.	1	(VOL7	PHF	X delay =	move.	-	approach		
Maia Ca	NID	E	J   ++++	ven	(  -	Jelay co		251	, ++++	perven			272	J   ++++	perven	delay 2.407	-	delay 7 oc 7		
Main St.		195	###	190.0	- 3	03	+	207	###	190.0	= 4,337 - 75,221	+	12	###	190.0 -	3,407	-	114 622		
Main St.	100	427	####	57.4		00,041 25 000	T	331	###	57.4	- 10,221	- T	10	###	10.0 -	2,403	-	22 219		
Keith Aug		421	###	57.4 0.1	- 4	000,00	Ť	114	###	0.1	- 0,000	т 4	00	###	0.0 =	032	Ē	33,313		
Reidi Ave	WO			0.1	-	0	· •			0.1	- 0	Ť	Tota		ection Dolor	u USeconda	E	155 817		
Stop 3: The		ondel	hoot	automatica	Ju a	hoor		the p	o ak l	hour with	the longe		total inter	cooti	ion dolau f	or the pe		ctop in the	202	lucic
Step 5. The	: spi	eausi	neet	automatica	my c	noos	es	uie p	eaki		i the longe		totai intei	secu	on delay i	or the ne	at	step in the	ana	19515.
Peak Hour (A	MUDN	PI	M		_		Te	stallate	arcool	tion Delau	155 817								_	
T eak nour (H		<u> </u>	-		-		1.0			копъекау	100,011	_					-			
Step 4: Cal	cula	ate the	e exi:	PM	Pe	eak H	ou	r lota	i inte	ersection	n Delay wit	h	Improvem	ents		<b>.</b> .	-	<b>.</b> .		
	-	Le	eft-Tu	rns		Total			Thru		Total		Rig	ght-Tu	Ins	Total	-	Total		
Street Name	Dir	(Vol 7	PHF	X delay per	= п	nove.	+	(Vol 7	PHF	X delay	= move.	1	+(Vol /	PHF	X delay =	move.	=	approach		
M.S. C.	NID	E	1	veh	(	delay 20		954	<u>нин</u>	perveh	delay		272	1	perveh	delay 1720		delay		
Main Ot.		100	###	0.0	=	010	+	207	###	0.0	= 2,232 - 1,730	+	12	###	0.0 =	1,123	-	3,333		
Main St.		407	###	4.2	-	010	1	100	***	4.2	= 1,130 - 1,030	+	10	###	4.2 =	31	-	2,005		
Plain ot Kable Ave		421	###	10.0	-	0,030	+	20	***	10.0	= 1,020 - EE4	+	51	###	10.0 =	121	-	0,444		
Reidi Ave	WL			13.0	-		-			13.0	- 334	T	Total	Intere	- IJ.U -	ulSeconde	-	16 484		
Step 5: Cal	auli	to up	hiala	delau in ha		nor d						-	TO(a)	linters	ection belay	yr Jeconus	-	10,404		
Step 5. Ca	Cuia	ite ve	riicie	delay in no	(D)	alau in	ay.	oonde	v	Hours por	r dawl	,	Secon	de na	rbour -	Delaui	_ p.h	ours/dau		
Existing peak	hou	interse	ection	delay	1	ciayiri	15	55.817	X	10 10		ż	0000	3600	=	432	2.8	iouist day		
Peak hour int	erse	ction de	elavw	1	í		1	6.484	X	10	1	i		3600	=	45	5.8		_	
Step 6: MO	VES	i 2014	emis	sion factor	s fo	r Urba	an I	Unres	tricte	ed idlina	speed:	ŕ								
	1			2016				2016			2016				2016				-	
			Su	nmer VOC Fa	ctor	Sun	nme	er NOx	Facto	or W	/inter CO Fa	ote	or	Sum	ner CO2 Fac	otor	t		-	
				grams/hour			gra	ams/ho	ur		grams/hou	r			grams/hour					
				0.519			Ē	***			6.363	Γ			*****					
Step 7: Cal	cula	ate ne	t emi	ssions char	nge	in kilo	ogr	ams p	er d	ay:										
-				Delay in		Summ	ner	VOCE	missio	ons Sum	mer NOx Emi	iss	sions	Winte	er CO Emissie	ons Su	im	mer CO2 Emis:	sions	5
				Hours per Day	,	k	kilog	gramsl	day		kilograms/da	зу		ki	lograms/day			kilograms/day	,	
Existing Cond	lition	s		432.8				0.225			0.598				2.754			1,707.569		
With Improver	nent	s		45.8				0.024			0.063				0.291			180.648		
Net Change	e						-(	0.201			-0.535				-2.463			******		
Step 8: Cal	cula	ate ne	t emi	ssions char	nge	in kilo	ogr	ams p	er ye	ear (seas	sonally adj	u	sted)							
-				Net change	A	g. wee	ekd	ays .	Seas	sonal adi.	Ad	j. I	net change							
				per dav (ko)	X	perve	ar	×	f	actor	=	in	kg per vear							
	Emi	ssions		-0.201	×	250	Ť	X		1.0188	=		-51.155							
	Emis	sions		-0.535	×	250	$^{\dagger}$	X		1.0188	-		-136 300							
Winter CO Em	jssio	ns		-2 463	×	250	$^{+}$	×		0.9812	=		-604 066				$\vdash$		-	
Summer CO2	Emi	 ssions		-1526 921	x	250	+	ÿ		1,0000	_3	R1	730 336				-			
Calculate :	enst	effect	tiven	ess (first ee	in Par c	cost e	er	ha of	emir	sions reg	-Juced)		,100.000				-			
Saloulatet	P	roject	ell	Adir	neto	hande		.y 01	First	uear cost										
Emission	(	Cost	1	in	ka n	ervear	=		per	kilogram							1			
Summer VO	Ì				-96-	51,155	-		1961	102							$\vdash$			
Summer NO		_	١÷		_13	36 300				±0							-			
Winter CO	-		5		-60	14 DEE				+0 +0							-			
Summer CO					91 70	20.226				+U +D							-			
SummerCU	-		( (	-31	01,73	10.330	, =			θŧ										
-		-																		



### Alternative fuels vehicles

4	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		J	K	L
1		CMAQ Air Q	Quality An	alysis Wo	orksheet	for Alter	native Fuel	Vehicles				
2		FILL IN SHADED	D BOXES ONL	Y.								
ļ		TIP YEAR:										
5		MPO:					Mu	nicipality:				
3		Project:										
0		Step 1: Details	of Project:									
1												
3	Α.	Existing Fuel Ty	pe Vehicle:					Gasoli	ne Car			
4	в.	Alternative Fuel	Type/Techno	logy Vehicle:				Propar	ne Car			
6	c.	Number of Vehi	cles:					10	Vehicles			
8	D.	Annual Miles Tra	aveled per Ve	hicle:				10,000	Miles			
0		Step 2: Emissi	on Factors f	or Average	Commuter	Travel Spe	ed:					
1		Note: Use 35 MF	PH as a defau	t if average :	speed is not	known.	Speed Used:	35 MPH				
2												
3			Sum	mer VOC Fa	ctor Sur	nmer NOx Fa	ictor Su	mmer CO Fac	tor Sur	nmer CO2 Fa	ctor	
4				grams/mile		grams/mile		grams/mile		grams/mile		
5		Existing Fuel	Type Vehicle	0.153	ļ	0.221		2.524		334.689		
6	_	Alt. Fuel Type/1	Fech. Vehicle	0.135		0.196		2.231		295.865		
1	-	Step 3: Calcula	ite emissior	is reduction	ns in kilogr	ams per ye	ar (Seasonally	Adjusted):		0.000		
0	-			Summer VOC		Summer NO		Summer CO		Summer CO2	2	
9	-			1.8		2.6		29.8		3,955.4		
0		Step 4: Calcula	ite cost effe	ctiveness (	first year co	ost per kg o	of emissions r	educed)				
1			Project		Emission Re	duction	First year cost					
2		Emission	Cost		in kg per yea	ar	per kilogram					
3		Summer VOC	\$1,000,000	1	1.8	=	\$552,938					
4	-	Summer NOx	\$1,000,000	1	2.6	=	\$382,076					
5		Summer CO	\$1,000,000	1	29.8	=	33527.66441					
6		Summer CO2	\$1,000,000	1	3,955.4	=	\$253					
7												
8												
9												
0												
1												
2												
3												



## Anti-idling strategies

A	В	C	D	E	F	G	H		J	K	L	M
	CMAQ Air	Quality An	alysis W	orksheet	for Anti-	Idling Strate	egies					
	FILL IN SHAD	ED BOXES ONL	.Y									
_												
_	TH TEAN.											
_	MPO:					Mu	nicipality:					
	Project:											
)	Step 1: Detail	s of Project:										
	Note: This tool	estimates emis	sion reduction	ons from anti-	idling policie	s which include I	imiting idling a	allowed, inco	rporating anti	-		
1	idling technolo	gy into fleets, a	nd using LEC	) lights on tru	cks used to	illuminate worksit	tes.					
2									User Input			
3								<u>(b</u>	lank for defa	<u>ult)</u>	Default	
ļ A	Daily Hours of	Idling Reduced	per Vehicle:				1.0	Hours/Da	y			
б В	Number of Vel	hicles Affected	:				100	Vehicles				
		<del>.</del>					0	- Para				
	, idling venicle f	-uer rype:					Gas	oine	<u> </u>			
) D.	Days per Year	r of Strategy in	Place:				365	Days/Yr			365	
2 Е.	Idling Fuel Con	sumption Rate:					1.0	Gal/Hr			1.0	
1	Step 2: Emise	sion Factors f	ior Idling Ve	hicles:								
5	otop 21 21110											
5		VOC Factor		NOx Factor		CO Factor		CO2 Factor				
7		grams/gallon		grams/gallon		grams/gallon		grams/gallon				
3	(g	rams/MCF of C	NG) (gra	ams/MCF of C	NG) (g	grams/MCF of CN	G) (gra	ams/MCF of C	NG)			
)		3.012		2.475		11.259		2584.230				
)	Step 3: Calcu	late emissior	ns reductio	ns in kilogr	ams per ye	ar:						
1		VOC		NOx		CO		CO2				
2		109.9		90.4		411.0		94,324.4				
3	Step 4: Calcu	late cost effe	ctiveness	first year c	ost per kg	of emissions r	educed)					
1		Project		Emission Re	duction	First year cost						
5	Emission	Cost		in kg per ye	ar	per kilogram						
5	VOC	\$1,000,000	1	109.9	=	\$9,097						
1	NOx	\$1,000,000	1	90.4	=	\$11,068						
3	CO	\$1,000,000	1	411.0	=	\$2,433						
•	CO2	\$1,000,000	1	94,324.4	=	\$11						
)												
1												



## Bike share project

A	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н		J	K	L	Μ
	CMAQ Air	Quality An	alysis Wo	orksheet	for Bike	Sharing Pro	oject					
	FILL IN SHADE	D BOXES ONL	Y.							<u> </u>		
	TIP YEAR:											
	MPO:					Mu	nicipality:					
	Project:											
	Појеса									_	-	
-	Step 1: Details	s of Project:							Uses less t			
-								(1-	User input		Default	
Δ	Number of Bike	s in Project:					603	Bikes		<u>uit)</u>	Delauit	
~	Number of Dike	S III TOJOOL					000	Dires				
B.	Average Bike T	rip Length:					1.1	Miles		<u> </u>	1.1	
C.	Average Numb	er of Trips per	Bike per Day	c.			3.7	Trips			3.7	
n	Bike Sharing Or	nerating Days	ner Vear				251	Davs		i –	251	
	Site change	bondanig bayo					201	Dayo		<u> </u>	201	
-	Step 2: Mode	Substitution	by Bike Sha	aring Projec	et:							
	Note: A bike sh	aring project w	ould attract	new riders f	rom different	modes. Actual s	surveys can d	etermine the	e extent of the	е		
-	transition from	different mode	s to such pro	ogram. If site	specific dat	a is unavailable,	use the defau	ilts provided	below.			
F	Percentage of F	Rikes Used Shi	ifted from Ws	alkino:			25%	Percent			25%	
۰.	Percentage of t	Dikes Used Sill	inted in onit wa	inning.			2370	Fercent		-	2376	
F.	Percentage of I	Bikes Used Shi	ifted from Pu	blic Transit:			41%	Percent		<b>I</b>	41%	
G.	Percentage of I	Bikes Used Shi	ifted from Ta	xis:			5%	Percent			5%	
н	Percentage of F	Rikes Used Shi	ifted from Ca	rs:			12%	Percent			12%	
							12.70			-	12.70	
I.	Percentage of I	Bikes Used Shi	ifted from Pri	vate Bikes:			8%	Percent		4	8%	
J.	Percentage of I	Bikes Used Shi	ifted from Mo	torcycles:			4%	Percent			4%	
к.	Percentage of E	Bikes Used Shi	ifted from Otl	ner/New Trip	s:		5%	Percent			5%	
L.	Total Percentad	e of Bikes Use	ed Shifted fro	m Other Moo	les (Must b	e 100%):	100%	Percent				
	Dublic Trendit V	(abiala Osayaa					40	Decesso		-	40	
M	Public Transit v	enicie Occupa	incy.				40	Persons			40	
N.	Taxi Vehicle Oc	ccupancy:					1.18	Persons			1.18	
0.	Car Vehicle Oc	cupancy:					1.18	Persons			1.18	
	Mataravala Vak						1.46	Dereene		-	1.10	
۳.	Motorcycle ver	nicle Occupant	sy.				1.10	Persons			1.10	
_	Step 3: Emiss	ion Factors f	or Average	Commuter	Travel Spe	ed:						
-	Note: Use 25 M	PH as a defaul	t if average :	speed is not	known.	Speed Used:	25 MPH					
-		Sum	mer VOC Ec	ctor Su	nmer NOv Ea	eter Su	mmer CO Eco	for S	mmer CO2 Eo	eter		
-		Jui	orams/mile	0101 301	orams/mile	50	grams/mile	.ui 3u	orams/mile	CLUI		
		2016 Bus	0.014		0.023		0.150		22.645			
		2016 Auto	0.169		0.252		2.879		398.914			
	201	16 Motorcycle	1.362		0.466		13.331		342.739			
	Step 4: Calcul	ate emission	ns reduction	ns in kilogr	ams per ye	ar (Seasonally	Adjusted):					
			Summer VOC		Summer NO2	c	Summer CO		Summer CO2	2		
_			44.8		33.0		549.8		43,630.7	<u> </u>		
-	Step 5: Calcul	ate cost effe	ctiveness (	first year c	ost per kg (	of emissions r	educed)					
-		Project		Emission Re	duction	First year cost						
_	Emission	Cost		in kg per ye	ar	per kilogram						
-	Summer VOC	\$1,000,000	1	44.8	=	\$22,303						
-	Summer NOX	\$1,000,000	1	33.0	-	\$30,312						
	Summer CO	\$1,000,000		549.8	-	\$1,819						
-	Summer CO2	\$1,000,000	1	43,630.7	=	\$23						
-												
-												



#### Induced travel

A		Ouality An	Jveie Wr	∣ ⊏ orksheet	for Indu		н		J	ĸ	L	IV
		D BOXES ONL	Alysis W.	UNSILEEL		aced maver						
_	TIP YEAR:											
	MPO:					Mun	icipality:					
	Project:											
:	Step 1: Lane N	Ailes Reduce	d by Proje	ct:								
1	Note: Enter the	reduction in ca	pacity in lan	e-miles by ro	ad type tha	t will result from the pro	ject. Conver	sely, this too	l could be			
-	used to estimate	e the increase	in emissions	s associated	with an inc	rease in capacity in lane	e-miles.					
A. I	Reduction of Lo	cal Roads (L)	:				20	Lane-Miles	3			
B. I	Reduction of Mi	nor & Maior Co	lector Road	s (C):			40	Lane-Miles	3			
<b>C</b> . I	Reduction of Mi	nor Arterial Ro	ads (A)				0	Lane-Miles	2			
			(						-			
	Step 2: Lane I	nie Elasticity	TOP VIVIT:	ale travel	l abanar - :		ult in induces	d tenuel also t	allian If all-			
	vote: Regressio specific data is	unavailable u	data on veni se the defau	cle travel and ilts provided	i changes i below	n road capacity can res	uit in induce	d travel elast	ICITIES. IT SITE			
-		unaranapio, a						(bl	ank for defa	ult)	Default	
D. I	Lane Miles Elas	ticity for Local	Roads (EL)	:			0.255	1/Year			0.255	
E. I	Lane Miles Elas	ticity for Minor	& Major Coll	ector Roads	(EC):		0.759	1/Year			0.759	
F. I	Lane Miles Elas	ticity for Minor	Arterial Roa	ds (EA):			0.538	1/Year			0.538	
-	Step 3: Estima	ted Change	in VMT:									
-		iou onango										
<b>G</b> . 1	Fotal Decrease	d Traffic (VM1	(L*EL) + (	C*EC) + (A*E	A) = VMT		35.5	VMT				
:	Step 4: Emiss	ion Factors f	or Average	Commuter	Travel Sp	beed:						
1	Note: Use 35 M	PH as a defaul	t if average	speed is not	known.	Speed Used:	35 MPH					
_		2016 Auto		2016 Auto		2016 Auto		2016 Auto				
-	Su	mmer VOC Fac	ctor Sur	mmer NOx Fa	ctor	Summer CO Factor	Sun	mer CO2 Fac	ctor			
-		grams/mile		grams/mile		grams/mile		grams/mile				
		0.173		0.255		2.973		352.030				
1	Step 4: Calcul	ate emission	is reductio	ns in kilogr	ams per y	ear (Seasonally Adju	sted):					
_		Summer VOC		Summer NOx	(	Summer CO		Summer CO2				
_		0.0		0.0		0.1		12.7				
	Step 5: Calcul	ate cost effe	ctiveness (	(first year c	ost per kg	of emissions reduc	ed)					
_		Project		Emission Re	duction	First year cost						
	Emission	Cost		in kg per ye	ar	per kilogram						
_	Summer VOC	\$1,000,000	1	0.0	=	\$159,913,970						
_	Summer NOx	\$1,000,000	1	0.0	=	\$108,695,334						
_	Summer CO	\$1,000,000	1	0.1	=	\$9,309,787						
	Summer CO2	\$1,000,000	1	12.7	=	\$78,632						



## Speed reduction projects

4	А	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н		J	K	L
		CMAQ Air (	Quality An	alysis Wo	orksheet	for Spee	d Reductio	n Project				
2		FILL IN SHADE	BOXES ONI	Y								
Ĺ		TIP YEAR:										
;		MPO:					Mu	nicipality:				
}		Project:										
0		Step 1: Details	of Project:									
1		Note: This tool e rise dramatically	stimates emis 7. This tool is r	sion reduction of applicable	ons from redu to any spee	icing highwa ds less than	y speeds to no le 55 MPH.	ess than 55 N	1PH, below	which emission	ins	
3	Α.	Daily Vehicle Mil	es Traveled f	or Enforecme	ent Region:			10,000	Miles			
5	в.	Current Average	e Speed:					65	MPH			
7	c.	Target Average	Speed - No L	ess than 55.	MPH:			60	MPH			
9		Step 2: Emissi	on Factors a	at 55 MPH ar	nd 65 MPH:							
1			Sun	nmer VOC Fa	ictor Sur	nmer NOx Fa	ctor Su	mmer CO Fac	tor Su	ummer CO2 Fa	ctor	
2				grams/mile		grams/mile		grams/mile		grams/mile		
3			55 MPH	0.152		0.278		2.732		318.880		
4			65 MPH	0.152		0.302		3.001		321.274		
5		Step 3: Estima	ted Emissio	n Factors a	t Current ar	nd Target S	peed:					
6												
7			Sur	nmer VOC Fa	ictor Sur	nmer NOx Fa	ctor Su	mmer CO Fac	tor Su	ummer CO2 Fa	ctor	
8				grams/mile		grams/mile		grams/mile		grams/mile		
9		Current Sp	eed: 65 MPH	0.152		0.302		3.001		321.274		
0		Target Sp	eed: 60 MPH	0.152		0.290		2.866		320.077		
1		Step 4: Calcula	te emissio	ns reductio	ns in kilogr	ams per ye	ar (Seasonally	Adjusted):				
2				Summer VOC		Summer NO2	c .	Summer CO		Summer CO2		
3				-0.1		45.0		500.1		4,451.2		
4		Step 5: Calcula	te cost effe	ctiveness (	first year co	ost per kg (	of emissions r	educed)				
5			Project		Emission Re	duction	First year cost					
6		Emission	Cost		in kg per yea	ar	per kilogram					
7		Summer VOC	\$1,000,000	/	-0.1	=	\$8,537,046					
8		Summer NOx	\$1,000,000	1	45.0	=	\$22,201					
9		Summer CO	\$1,000,000	1	500.1	=	\$2,000					
0		Summer CO2	\$1,000,000	1	4,451.2	=	\$225					
1												
2												
3												
4												



## Transit signal priority

CMAQ Air	Quality A	nalysis V	/orkshee	et for Tra	nsit Signal	Priority												
FILL IN SHAD	DED BOXES	ONLY																
TIP YEAR:																		
MPO:					Mur	icipality:												
Project:																		
Sten 1: Proje	ct Netails:		Street 1:		-	Street 2:			-									
Note: This tool e bus vehicles; ra	estimates emis il technologie	ssion reductions cannot be u	ns from provi used in this ar	ding Transit ( nalysis.	Signal Priortiy (TS	iP) along a sig	nal intersect	tion or corrido	orto		То	ol Output	s - Not To	Be Change	ed by User:			
. Capacity at Inte	rsection:		1,200	Vehicles	Lane	1,000	Vehicles	Lane			Wei	ighted True	k Percenta	ge:				9.6>
Number of Lane	es:		5.5	Lanes		3.0	Lanes				Stre	eet 1 V/C R	atio:					0.6
Average Peak H	lour Volume:		4,500	Vehicle/h	lour	2,500	Vehicle/H	lour			Stre	eet 2 V/C R	atio:					0.8
Percent Trucks			10%	Trucks		9%	Trucks				Cur	rrent Peak I	Hour Street	1& Transit Del	ay (s/veh):			1
Step 2: Traffi	ic Signal In	formation:							-		Cur	rrent Peak I	Hour Street	2 Delay (s/veh	):			2
Note: Detailed t	raffic signal in	formation is re	equired to est	imate the eff	ects of transit sig	nal priority.		User Input										
						100	L L	olank for defa	ulti	<u>Default</u>	Pea	ak Hour Str	eet 1& Tran	sit Delay with 1	SP Granted (	slveh):		1
. Average Existin	g Intersection	Cycle Lengt	1:			100	beconds				Pea	ak Hour Str	eet 2 Delay	with TSP Gran	(ted (s/veh):			3
. Transit Average	2 Daily Headw	ays:				15	Minutes				Pro	bability of E	Bus Arriving	during a Cycle	e.			175
. Transit Signal P	riority Hours o	f Service per	Day:			18	Hours/Da	9			Cur	rrent Avera	ge Intersect	ion Delay to B	uses (mins pe	r trip):		6.3
Average Daily T	ransit Ridersh	nip:				100	Riders/Da	у			Imp	roved Aver	age Interse	ction Delay to	Buses due to	TSP (mins pe	r trip):	4.0
Number of Inter:	sections with	TSP in Corrido	pr:			5	Intersecti	ons			Inte	ersection P	eak Hour De	lay with no TS	iP (Veh-hr):			38.
Average Corrido	or Travel Time	for Buses in (	One Direction	c		30	Minutes				Inte	ersection P	eak Hour De	elay with TSP (	Veh-hr):			38.
Average Existin	gIntersection	Cycle Lengtł	n:			100	Seconds				Tot	al Travel Ti	ne Change	due to TSP:				-8;
Auto Occupano	су:					1.18	Persons			1.18	Rid	ership Cha	nge due to	TSP Travel Tin	ne Improverne	nts:		25,75
Peak Hour to Da	aily Conversio	n:				10				10	Pa	ssenger	/ehicle Ei	nissions wit	hout TSP A	ctivation (g	/day):	
Number of Wee	kdavs per vea	ar:				250	DavsNr			250		VOC		NOs		co		CO2
Effective Green	to Cucle Len	oth Batio:				0.5				0.5		538		1.676		5,163		1.612.861
Green to Cuole	Length Patio	with TSP - St	cost 1			0.6					Pa	reapaor	lahiola Fi	nissions wit	h TSP Activ	ution (alda	այի	
Crean to Cycle	Lengernado Les els Desie		eet 1.			0.0					1.4	vne	venicie Li	NO.,		eco	yj.	002
Travel Trave Flag	Lenger Hado	with tor - ou	eecz.			0.4				0.4	_	F24		1000		E 110		1 599 020
. Travel Time Elas	sticity with Hes	spect to Hider	ship:			-0.4				-0.4	_	534		1,662		5,113		1,599,020
. Number of Tran	sit Trips in Bot	h Uirections:				144	Trips/Day				Exi	isting lot	al Daily Ei	nissions (gł	day):			
. Average Trip Le	ength:					16	Miles					VOC		NOx		CO		CO2
Step 3: Emiss	sion Factor	s for Idling	Vehicles:									485		4,626		1,256		584,200
		VOC Factor		NOx Factor		COFactor		CO2 Factor	r		Imp	VOC	ally Emissi	ons (grday) NOx		CO		CO2
		grams/hour		grams/hour		grams/hour		grams/hour	1			311		2,961		804		373,888
20	016 Light Duty	0.723		0.949		13.262		3962.370	Ĩ		De	lay/VMT	mpact:					
	2016 Trucks	6 299		36.143	-	14.489		6216.290			Dee	du an stana in 1		an Qalatala Mar	us al Dalaus			1.26
Sten 4: Emiss	sion Eactor	s for Avera	le Commut	er Travel S	ineed <sup>.</sup>	10.302		1100.020	-		Ber	duction in A	Innual Vehi	cle Hours of D	als of belay. elay for Ωther	Vehicles on T	(SP Corridor:	3 55(
Note: Use 35 M	PH as a defau	ılt if average s	peed is not k	nown.	Speed Used:	35 MPH					Add	dition of An	nual Vehicl	e Hour of Dela	y on Cross Str	eets (Street 2	3:	-2,72
											Net	t Change in	Annual Vel	nicle Hours of	Delay for All V	ehicles:		2,19
	Su	mmer VOC Fa	ictor Su	mmer NOx Fa	actor Su	mmer CO Fac	tor Su	mmer CO2 Fa	actor		Elim	ninated Ani	nual Auto V	MT due to Impi	oved Transit	Service:		10,28
20	0161.5550	grams/mile		grams/mile		grams/mile		grams/mile			No	n-Transi Voc	Lhange	added Stre	et Z delay I	reduced S	treet 1 dela	ayj (g/yr):
Step 5: Calcu	ulate emissi	ions reduct	ions in kilo	grams per	year (Season	ally Adjuste	d):	303.043	-			962		2,996		9,231		2,883,513
		Summer VO0	2	Summer NO	8	Summer CO		Summer CO	2									
		46.6		429.4		149.8		60,485.2										
Step 6: Calcu	ulate cost e	ffectivene	ss (first yea	r cost per	kg of emissior	s reduced)												
	Project		Emission Re	duction	hirst year cost													
Emission	Cost		in kg per yea	er 	per kilogram													
Summer VUU	\$1,000,000		46.6	-	¥21,451													
Summer NOS	+1,000,000	· · ·	423.4	-	+2,323													
Summer CO	+1,000,000		143.8	-	+0,011													
Summer CO2	\$1,000,000	1	60,485.2	-	\$17													



## Truck stop electrification

A	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н		J	K	L	Μ
	CMAQ Air	Quality Ana	lysis W	orksheet	for Truc	k Stop Elect	trificatior	ı				
2	FILL IN SHADE	ED BOXES ONLY	, <sup>-</sup>									
	TIP YEAR:											
;	MPO:					Mur	nicipality:					
;	Project:											
0	Step 1: Detail	s of Project:										
1									User Input			
2								<u>(b</u>	ank for defa	ult)	Default	
3 A	. Average Daily	Hours of Elecitrif	fication Util	ization per Ba	y:		2.0	Hours/Day	/			
5 B	Number of Elec	ctrification Bays:					10	Bays				
7 C	. Days per Year	Electrification Ba	ays Availa	ble:			365	Days/Yr			365	
9 D.	Diesel Truck Id	ling Fuel Consum	ption Rate	c			1.0	Gal/Hr			1.0	
1 E.	Use of Electric	ity by Each Elect	rification B	ay:			7.5	kWh/hr			7.5	
3	Step 2: Emiss	sion Factors for	r Electrici	ity Usage:								
4				.,								
5		VOC Factor		NOx Factor		CO Factor		CO2 Factor				
6		pounds/MWh		pounds/MWh	1	pounds/MWh		pounds/MWh				
7		0.012		0.408		0.105		637.900				
3	Step 3: Emiss	sion Factors fo	r Idling V	ehicles:								
1												
)		VOC Factor		NOx Factor		CO Factor		CO2 Factor		_		
		grams/gallon		grams/gallon		grams/gallon		grams/gallon		_		
2	Chan 2: Calau	7.694		36.143		14.469		6216.290				
1	step 3: Calcu	ate emissions	reductio	NOV	anis per ye	ar:		002				
+		VUC		NUX	1	100		002				
	01	55.9		253.7		103.2		29,536.9				
7	step 4: Calcu	late cost effect	uveness	(first year co	ost per kg	or emissions re	eaucea)					
		Project		Emission Re	duction	First year cost						
3	Emission	Cost		in kg per yea	ar	per kilogram						
9	VOC	\$1,000,000	1	55.9	=	\$17,902						
0	NOX	\$1,000,000	1	253.7	=	\$3,942						
1	CO	\$1,000,000	1	103.2	=	\$9,693						
2	CO2	\$1,000,000	1	29,536.9	=	\$34						
5												
4												

## Appendix C—New Jersey Transit GHG Quantification Methodology

The following material is from pages 57-58 of *Off Peak Rail Transit Service Study – Importance for Auto Reduction and Peak Ridership Growth*. (Final Report. Trenton, NJ: NJDOT/FHWA, FHWA-NJ-2011-008.)

The NJ TRANSIT model can be described as follows: Net  $CO_2e$  avoided = VMT  $CO_2e$  avoided + Land Use  $CO_2e$  avoided + Congestion  $CO_2e$  avoided - Additional  $CO_2e$  generated by transit.

VMT CO<sub>2</sub>e avoided = (Annual VMT saved/Miles per gallon gasoline used) X Metric Tons CO<sub>2</sub>e per gallon of gasoline Where, Miles per gallon used by automobile=20.2 Metric Tons CO<sub>2</sub>e per gallon of gasoline =0.0092

Land Use CO<sub>2</sub>e avoided = (Annual VMT saved/Average vehicle occupancy) X Emissions per passenger mile in Kg Where, Average vehicle occupancy=1.9 Emissions (kg) per passenger mile=0.436

Congestion  $CO_2e$  avoided = VMT  $CO_2e$  avoided X Ratio of Congestion avoidance and Total avoidance Where, Ratio of Congestion avoidance and Total avoidance=0.22

Additional CO<sub>2</sub>e generated = Additional annual passenger miles X Metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e per passenger mile by fully loaded transit X Factor to convert kg to metric tons X Estimated percent of future growth that will not use existing infrastructure and therefore create additional energy consumption Where,

Additional Annual passenger miles = 1.04 X Annual VMT saved Metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e per passenger mile by fully loaded transit =0.00020633Factor to convert kg to metric tons=1,000

Estimated percent of future growth that will not use existing infrastructure and therefore create additional energy consumption=0.75

# Appendix D—TIP Evaluation Criteria for Massachusetts MPOs

Transportation Enhancement projects are subject to a statewide eligibility determination process, and are prioritized at the regional level.

Priorities for highway projects that are subject to regional funding targets are calculated on the basis of evaluation criteria developed in 2011 and revised in 2015 to measure road condition, mobility, regional connectivity, goods movement, safety, environment, GHG emissions and livability factors. A project could score a maximum of 8 points based on the current evaluation criteria as explained below. Table on the next page shows the list of projects that were evaluated for FFY 2017 – 2021 TIP development:

- **Road Condition:** 1 Point (Project will construct new road, or will strengthen pavement structure (not surface only) of existing road or will improve sub-standard or poorly functioning drainage).
- **Mobility:** 1 Point (Project will reduce vehicle delay at intersections (LOS C or worse) and/or improve through lane(s) capacity along a corridor).
- **Regional Connectivity:** 1 Point (Improves Principal Arterial, or minor arterial/collector with no alternative route).
- **Goods Movement:** 1 Point (Project will make geometric improvements at intersections or along a corridor to facilitate truck movement (3 axle ADT greater than 50).
- Safety: 1 Point (Improves safety at location where accident rates exceeds the state average).
- Environment: 1 Point (Project has positive (not neutral) effect on water quality, wildlife, or other natural features).
- **GHG Emissions:** 1 Point (Project has positive (not neutral) effect on GHG emissions reduction/ air quality).
- Livability: 1 Point (Meets at least two of these standards: Supports economic development, increase use of alternate modes, or benefits 3 or more defined EJ populations).

Transit projects funded by formula grants and special earmarks have not been rated with the evaluation criteria, since they are not competing against other projects, but it is expected that such projects will be prioritized in future TIPs. Transit projects that must compete for discretionary funding would be prioritized on the basis of maximum ridership benefit per dollar expenditure and/or other factors, but there are no such projects proposed for the Berkshire region at this time.

It is recognized that other considerations, which are not readily quantified, can result in projects being programmed or deferred in apparent conflict with these calculated priorities. In particular, programming decisions are strongly influenced by project readiness and the realities of project cost in relation to financial constraint.

## Cape Cod TIP Project Evaluation - Detailed Scoring Template

Category	Criteria	Points (out of 100)
System Preservation and Modernization	<ul> <li>Pavement and signal equipment improvement</li> <li>Sidewalks and other infrastructure enhancement</li> <li>Use of modern technology</li> </ul>	35
Mobility	<ul> <li>Motorist congestion</li> <li>Non-motorist congestion</li> <li>Connectivity / access</li> <li>Mobility / accommodation of non-motorists</li> </ul>	10
Safety	<ul> <li>Motorist crash history and anticipated safety impact</li> <li>Non-motorist crash history and anticipated safety impact</li> </ul>	10
Economic Impact	<ul> <li>Access to or within a regionally-designated economic development area</li> <li>Access to or within a locally-designated business district</li> <li>Connections between housing, job, cultural centers, and essential services</li> </ul>	10
Environmental and Health Effects	<ul> <li>Wetlands, wildlife, or other resource protection</li> <li>Water quality through stormwater management and treatment</li> <li>Air quality / GHG emission</li> <li>Coastal Resiliency / Sea Level Rise Vulnerability</li> <li>Cultural resources or open space</li> <li>Healthy Transportation Options</li> </ul>	10
Cost Effectiveness	Project cost per user	15
Policy Support	<ul> <li>Regional plans/policies</li> <li>Local plans/policies</li> <li>State or MassDOT Policies and goals</li> </ul>	10
Notes on Project Scoring		

Points within each criterion should be seen as guides. Points should be given based on the best match and may be awarded in between increments as appropriate. Project receiving a negative score on any question should be further analyzed.

A - S	ystem Preservation and Modernization Scoring		
	Criterion	Factor	Points
1	Primary asset condition / effect on condition	Poor or failing / substantial improvement	15
		Fair / moderate improvement	8
		Good / minor improvement	4
		Excellent / no improvement	0
2	Enhancements to other assets (Projects elements included in the	Poor or falling / substantial improvement	10
	project, but not part of the primary project focus ie. Sidewalks with	Fair / moderate improvement	7
	repaving project)	Good / minor improvement	4
		Excellent / no improvement	0
3	Use of modern technology to improve efficiency and support ITS	Use of innovative technology and/or incorporation of traffic	10
	regional efforts (ie. continuous traffic counting equipment,	counting technology	
	adaptive signal control, emergency preemption systems)	Improvement in technology to current best practices	7
		Maintain/repair existing technology	4
		Not applicable	0
		lotal Score =	up to 35
B - N	Abbility Scoring		
	Criterion	Factor	Points
1	Existing motorist congestion / effect on motorist congestion	Location identified in the CMP network/ substantial	4
	(Projects identified in Congestion Management Plan network are	Significant existing / substantial improvement	2
	able to receive maximum points)	Significant existing / moderate or minor improvement	2
		Minimal existing / minor improvement	1
		No change	
			-1
2	Effect on mobility / accommodation of non-motorists	Substantial improvement	-1
-	Lifect on mobility / accommodation of non-motorists	Moderate improvement	2
			1
		No effect for non-motorists	<u> </u>
		Negative effect on mobility / accommodation	-1
3	Effect on connectivity / access (emphasis placed on key		-
	emergency and evacuation routes)	Substantial improvement to connectivity through the corridor	3
		Moderate improvement to connectivity	2
		Minimal effect on connectivity	1
			0
		Total Score -	-1
<b>C C</b>	-foto	Total Score –	up to 10
C - S	afety		
4	Criterion	Factor	Points
1	Motorist crash history and anticipated safety impact (Note:	motorist safety	5
	Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) eligible locations are	Location has a demonstrated crash problem and project is	
	in the region based on a severity weighted crash rate)	anticipated to improve motorist safety	3
		improve motorist safety	2
		No safety improvement anticipated	0
		The project many adversely affect motorist safety	-1
2	Non-motorist crash history and anticipated safety impact	Location identified as a HSIP Bicycle or Pedestrian Cluster and	-
_	·····, ·····, ·····, ·····, ·····, ·····, ·····, ·····, ·····, ·····, ·····, ····	project is anticipated to improve non-motorist safety	5
		Location has a demonstrated safety deficiencies for non-	
		motorists and project is anticipated to improve non-motorist	3
		sarety I ocation has a demonstrated safety deficiencies for non-	+
		motorists and project is anticipated to improve non-motorist	2
		safety	<u> </u>
		No safety improvement anticipated	0
		The project many adversely affect non-motorist safety	-1
		Total Score =	up to 10

D - E	conomic Impact Scoring		
	Criterion	Factor	Points
1	Effect on access to or within a regionally-designated economic	Substantial improvement	4
	development area (ie. Economic Center, GIZ, etc.)	Moderate improvement	3
		Minor improvement	1
		No effect	0
		Negative effect	-1
2	Effect on access to or within a locally-designated business district	Substantial improvement	3
		Moderate improvement	2
		Minor improvement	1
		No effect	0
		Negative effect	-1
3	Effect on connections between housing, job, cultural centers, and	Substantial improvement	3
	essential services within and beyond the region or effect on the	Moderate improvement	2
	freight network	Minor improvement	1
		No effect	0
		Negative effect	-1
		Total Score =	up to 10
E - Ei	nvironmental and Health Effects Scoring		
	Criterion	Factor	Points
1	Effect on wetlands, wildlife, or other resource protection	Anticipated improvement	2
		Minor contribution to preservation	1
		No anticipated impact or negative impacts adequately mitigated	0
		Negative impact	-1
2	Effect on water quality through stormwater management and	Anticipated improvement in stormwater management and	2
	treatment with an emphasis on for nitrogen (points for	treatment	2
	anticipated improvements may also be given for projects involving	Anticipated improvement in stormwater management	1
	culvert widening)	No anticipated impact or negative impacts adequately mitigated	0
		Negative impact	-1
3	Effect on air quality / GHG emission	Significant, quantifiable decrease in GHG anticipated	2
		Minor, quantifiable or qualitative decrease in GHG anticipated	1
		No effect on GHG anticipated	0
		Anticipated increase in GHG	-1
4	Coastal Resiliency / Sea Level Rise Vulnerability (Vulnerable areas	Project vulnerable area with resilient design	2
	include those identified as a Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA),	Project in not in a vulnerable area but includes with resilient	1
	areas identified by the Sea, Land, and Overland Surges from	design elements Project not in vulnerable area and not special consideration	<u> </u>
	Hurricanes (SLOSH) model, or areas susceptible to sea level rise	given to resilient design	0
		Project in a vulnerable area and is not a resilient design	-1
5	Effect on cultural resources or open space	Anticipated improvement	1
		No anticipated impact or negative impacts adequately mitigated	0
		Negative impact	-1
6	Healthy Transportation Options	Increase in healthy transportation options	1
		No anticipated impact or negative impacts adequately mitigated	0
		Negative impact	-1
		Total Score =	up to 10

F - C	ost Effectiveness Scoring		
	Criterion	Factor	Points
1	<b>Project cost per user</b> (Use cost/ADT/lane mile calculation as a general indicator, but flexibility is appropriate when considering	See reference table below, but consider unique circumstances	up to 15
	unique project circumstances particularly for projects involving bicyclists and pedestrians. Low cost safety measures can be given full points.)	High cost project serving a small number of users	-1
	·	Total Score =	up to 15
		Notes	Value
	Cost Estimate		
	ADT	For intersections, enter combined ADT of intersecting roads. For projects where ADT is unknown, use regional data to approximate.	
	Length (in miles)	For intersections, enter total length of all approaches within project limits.	
	Number of Lanes	Travel lanes only	
	Project Service Life	7, 14, or 21 years	
	Reference		•
	Cost/ADT/Lane Mile*	Points	_
	is less than \$50	15	
	is less than \$100	12	
	is less than \$200	8	
	is less than \$500	4	
	is less than \$1000	0	
	is more than \$1000	-1	
	*Multiply by 2/3, 1, or 1.5 for service life of 7, 14, or 21 years, respectively		<u> </u>
<b>G</b> - P	Policy Support Scoring		
	Criterion	Factor	Points
1	<b>Community support</b> (as indicated through collective statements or actions of the highest elected officials in the effected communities)	Stated support of the project by the highest elected officials	3
		Actions by highest elected officials indicate general support of the project	2
		Neutral	0
		Collective opposition voiced by the highest elected officials	-1
2	Regional plans/policies (ie. RTP, Regional Policy Plan, CEDS)	Project specifically identified in Regional Plan	3
		Strongly supports Regional Plans/Policies	2
		Moderately supports Regional Plans/Policies	1
		Neutral	0
			1
		Inconsistent with Regional Plans/Policies	-1
3	Local plans/policies(ie. LCP, local ordinances, bylaws, etc.)	Inconsistent with Regional Plans/Policies Project specifically identified in Local Plan	-1
3	Local plans/policies(ie. LCP, local ordinances, bylaws, etc.)	Inconsistent with Regional Plans/Policies Project specifically identified in Local Plan Consistent with Local Plans/Policies	-1 2 1
3	Local plans/policies(ie. LCP, local ordinances, bylaws, etc.)	Inconsistent with Regional Plans/Policies Project specifically identified in Local Plan Consistent with Local Plans/Policies Neutral	-1 2 1 0
3	Local plans/policies(ie. LCP, local ordinances, bylaws, etc.)	Inconsistent with Regional Plans/Policies Project specifically identified in Local Plan Consistent with Local Plans/Policies Neutral Inconsistent with Local Plans/Policies	-1 2 1 0 -1
3	Local plans/policies(ie. LCP, local ordinances, bylaws, etc.) Project supports Federal or State (including MassDOT) policies and goals not accounted for in other criteria (GreenDOT, Healthy	Inconsistent with Regional Plans/Policies Project specifically identified in Local Plan Consistent with Local Plans/Policies Neutral Inconsistent with Local Plans/Policies Project specifically identified in a existing Federal or State Plan	-1 2 1 0 -1 2
3	Local plans/policies(ie. LCP, local ordinances, bylaws, etc.) Project supports Federal or State (including MassDOT) policies and goals not accounted for in other criteria (GreenDOT, Healthy Transportation, Complete Streets, TZD etc.)	Inconsistent with Regional Plans/Policies Project specifically identified in Local Plan Consistent with Local Plans/Policies Neutral Inconsistent with Local Plans/Policies Project specifically identified in a existing Federal or State Plan Consistent with Federal or State Policies or Principles	-1 2 1 0 -1 2 1
3	Local plans/policies(ie. LCP, local ordinances, bylaws, etc.) Project supports Federal or State (including MassDOT) policies and goals not accounted for in other criteria (GreenDOT, Healthy Transportation, Complete Streets, TZD etc.)	Inconsistent with Regional Plans/Policies Project specifically identified in Local Plan Consistent with Local Plans/Policies Neutral Inconsistent with Local Plans/Policies Project specifically identified in a existing Federal or State Plan Consistent with Federal or State Policies or Principles Neutral	-1 2 1 0 -1 2 1 0



					Candidate TIP Projec	ts 2022		
			#608432	#608171	#608038	#608433	#27260	
	Regional Performar	nce Measures Scoresheet	\$3.500 Million	\$4.400 Million	\$4.800 Million	\$4.800 Million	\$5.000 Million	
	- 20	18 - 2022	(815)	(517)	(517)	Webster - Route 16	(UWAQ/FIGIF/GIF)	
			Rutland - Route 56	Uxbridge - Route	Webster - Klebart Ave	& I-395 & Sutton Rd	Worcester - Quisigamond Ave (Gateway I)	
	OBJECTIVE	TARGET/MEASURE	(Pommogussett Rd)	122 (S Main St) Reconstruction	& Lake Parkway Resurfacing	Intersection	Reconstruction (Phase II)	Comments
	Deduce the Incidence of Creation with Regultant	Deduce Number and Date of Jojurios and Dooths and	Reconstruction	Reconstruction	Resultacity	Improvementa		X - if project will help reduce vehicle crashes
≻	Casualties	Lower the Average EPDO	х	х	х	XX	XX	
H								X - if project has an identified vehicle crash cluster
Ş	Improve Safety along Freight Routes	Reduce Number and Rate of Injuries and Deaths along	-	-	-	xx	XX	X - If project will help reduce vehicle crashes along a primary neight route
		Primary Freight Routes						X - if project has an identifed vehicle crash cluster
≥								X - if it is a secondary established evacuation route
ň	Enhance Security Prepareoness and Coordination	Evacuation Routes Established; Preparedness Campaign Complete	XX	XX	-	XX	XX	
S	0001011111	Complete						XX - if it is a primary established evacuation route
								X - project is improving existing ADA ramps
AIR	Improve Accessibility for all Modes	Increase ADA-Compliant Ramps	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	V project is building new sidewalks and ADA ramps
E.	+							X - project is building new sidewarks and ADA ramps X - project is improving a roadway with an OCI btwn 0 - 48 "poor condition"
8	Maintain the Condition of the Region's Roadways	Rehabilitate 50 Lanes Miles of Roadways in Poor	-	-	х	-	XX	
8								X - project is improving existing sidewalks in poor condition
ő	Maintain Condition of Bridges	Decrease Number of Structurally-Deficient Bridges by 10%	_	-	_	-	_	X - improving a functionally obsolete "FO" bridge
ATE		Annually						XX - improving a structurally-deficient "SD" bridge
ST	Maintain Transit Vehicles in State of Good Repair	Average Age should be Maintained	-	-	-	-	-	X - retrofit existing transit vehicle
								XX - purchasing new vehicle
NO								X - improving existing signalized intersection
EST	Reduce Travel Delay and Increase Connectivity	Reduce Delay along Identified Corridors, Improve LOS at	-	-	-	xx	XX	
<b>DNG</b>		Identified Intersections and Install Transit Signal Priority						
8								XX - installing new signalized control or roundabout
Ľ.	Expand the Bicycle. Pedestrian and Transit	Increase Bike Lane Mileage and Storage Rack Availability:	N.	N.			201	X - project is increasing bike lane mileage
DAI	Network in the Region	Increase Number of Bus Routes Served by Sidewalks	X	X	X	X	XX	
õ								X - project is served by fixed route transit X - if the community has a complete policy
Ę	Increase the Number of Communities with	Work with Communities to Increase Participation	xx	-	-	-	-	X - If the confindinty has a complete policy
Σ	Complete Streets Policies							X - if the community is working towards a prioritization plan (Tier 2)
ş	Compations and its officiate	Project provides opportunities to avoid, minimize, or				~		X - If the project is within a PPA or PDA area
IST 4	Combat spraw and its enects	mitigate environmental effects in PPA or PDA area	-	-	-	^	-	X - project includes extensive environmental mitigation work
าร/ร	1							X - project is reducing emissions
В	Reduce Emissions	Institute and Encourage TDM Policies	-	-	-	X	Х	V project includes infrastructure to support TDM policies
								X - project includes initiastructure to support 1 DM policies
Ę	Assure that Improvements are Fairly Distributed	Equitable TIP Project Distribution; Increase Percent of	_		Y	×	YY	
ΒĞ	among Populations and Subregions	Vulnerable Population that can Access Transit Service			~	~		Manual and annual a second by filling discussion formal to
_								X - project area is serviced by fixed route transit
ĩ	Speed Shipping in the Region	Reduce Delay along Established Primary Freight Routes, 2	-	-	-	XX	XX	A project is along an established primary neight route
ğ		every 5 rears						X - project is reducing average vehicle delay
Ś	Make Employment Opportunities Accessible and	Improve the Bicycle, Pedestrian and Transit Networks Near	×	x	-	×	xx	X - project improves either bike, ped, or transit near an employment center
	Available Allowing for Job Expansion	Two Major Employment Centers Every Five Years	~	~		~	701	X - project improves bike, ped and transit near an employment center
E		Retrofit or rebuild vulnerable assets in flood zone areas						X - project is within a identified 100 or 500 year flood zone
ş	Assure that transportation networks in 100 and 500 year flood zones are viable	and ensure that region's roadways can handle flooding	XX	XX	х	x	х	
20	boo year nood zones are viable	events						X - project will improve resiliency and ability to function in a flood scenario
MH2								X - project area is considered a vital link
ORI	Identity vulnerable infrastructure; evaluate resiliency, establish priority areas and vital links	Evaluate and strengthen the most vulnerable assets in each of the subregions over the next 10 years	х	х	х	х	Х	
ST	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							X - project is improving the vulnerable infrastructure
ž	1							X - project has a tourist attraction/recreational area within project limits
ĽR,								
12	Enhance region's travel and tourism opportunities	To improve traveler access, mobility and linkages to sites of touristic value and balance the travel demand needs of	xx	-	-	-	-	
EL &	Emilance regions daver and tourism opportunities	area residents and visitors	,,,,					
AVI.								X - project is improving the mobility to/from these tourist attractions/recreational
Ë.								areas
	X = 1pt	TOTAL SCOPE-	14	10	8	10	23	1
	X = 1pt XX = 2pts	TOTAL SCORE.	14	10	0	13	25	



#### TRANSPORTATION EVALUATION CRITERIA Highway-funded Roadway Improvement/Expansion Projects

Project ID Project Description Design Status Est. Cost Project Length AADT Project Scope

		Cost per lane Mile					
	Cost Effectiveness	Cost per AADT					
A		Cost per AADT per lane mile					
ITER	Condition	Magnitude of pavement condition improvement		Avg. Score (-3 to +3)			
N CR	Condition	Magnitude of improvement of other infrastructure elements	0	0			
ATIO		Effect on magnitude and duration of congestion					
PORT	Mobility	Effect on travel time and connectivity/access		Avg. Score (-3 to +3)			
ANSI	wobinty	Effect on other modes using facility	0				
ТК		Effect on regional and local traffic	0	0			
	Safety	Effect on crash rate compared to state average		Avg. Score (-3 to +3)			
		Effect on bicycle and pedestrian safety	0	0			
	Community Effects and Support	Residential effects: right-of-way, noise, aesthetics, cut-through traffic, other	0				
		Environmental Justice effects		Avg. Score (-3 to +3)			
		Public, local government, legislative, and regional support					
IERIA		Effect on development and redevelopment of housing stock	0	0			
CRIT		Business effects: right-of-way, access, noise, traffic, parking, freight access, other	0				
PACT	Land Use and	Sustainable development effects	0	Avg. Score (-3 to +3)			
R IM	Development	Consistent with regional land-use and economic development plans	0				
OTHE		Effect on job creation.	0	0			
Ŭ		Air Quality/Climate effects	0	Avg. Score			
	Environmental Effects	Water quality/supply effects; wetlands effects	0	(-3 to +3)			
		Historic and cultural resource effects	0	0			
Total Score (-18 to +18)							

## **A. Requirements and Process**

The TIP must identify priorities within estimated available funds. Priority projects must include all federally funded projects to be funded under Title 23 for highway and transit. Other regionally significant projects must be listed because regionally significant projects may affect air quality. As a Regional Planning Agency (RPA) that operates as an MPO in Massachusetts, the Martha's Vineyard Commission receives federal funding along with a state match to perform a comprehensive, continuing, and cooperative, or "3C" planning process. The federal planning factors that must be considered in preparing the TIP are found in federal legislation and listed below.

The federal transportation legislation related to state and regional transportation planning began with The Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA), and continued with subsequent federal legislation and extensions, such as, the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21) and the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU), Moving Ahead for Progress and Growth in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21), and the most recent federal legislation: Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act, or "FAST Act" for short.

## B. FAST Act (Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act)

The FAST Act was signed into law by President Obama on December 4, 2015. This Act continued basic programs, consolidated others, and established two additional planning factors to add to the eight from previous federal legislation.

The 10 planning factors direct transportation planning efforts toward a sustainable, efficient, and comprehensive process, and are:

- 1) Support the economic vitality of the United States, the States, non-metropolitan areas, and metropolitan areas, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity, and efficiency;
- 2) Increase the safety of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users;
- 3) Increase the security of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users;
- 4) Increase the accessibility and mobility of people and for freight;
- 5) Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, improve the quality of life, and promote consistency between transportation improvements and state and local planned growth and economic development patterns;
- 6) Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes throughout the State, for people and freight;
- 7) Promote efficient system management and operation;
- 8) Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system;
- 9) improve the resiliency and reliability of the transportation system and reduce or mitigate stormwater impacts of surface transportation; and
- 10) enhance travel and tourism.

## **C. Project Evaluation Process and Priorities**

Proposed TIP projects are first discussed and reviewed during review of the existing transportations system and safety issues, etc., in the latest Regional Transportation Plan: Martha's Vineyard Transportation Plan (MVTP). In general, projects are reviewed initially in the planning process to assess whether they promote or conform to other goals in the latest *Transportation Plan* and *Island Plan*. Projects evolve from the plans, local officials and public input and/or other local problem areas or needs. Projects are reviewed and scored, typically on an annual basis, using the following criteria:

- Safety: Promotes greater roadway, bicycle, and pedestrian safety.
- Alternative Modes: Favors the use of modes of transportation other than the private automobile.

5

• Congestion: Reduces traffic congestion with physical improvements, particularly at the most

Martha's Vineyard Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) FFY 2018-2022, May 2017

problematic locations.

Г

- Infrastructure Preservation/Improvement: Reconstructs deteriorated existing road and bridge infrastructure, improve drainage, enable Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) compliance, and increases amenities.
- Project Readiness: A measure of the project's ability to move forward. Project selection and prioritization also include consideration of a project's cost in context of available funding.
- Character: Respects and reinforces the scenic, historic and natural values of the Vineyard.
- Environment, Climate Change/ Greenhouse Gas Emissions / Air Quality (GHG/AQ): considers whether the project has a qualitative or quantitative environmental benefit or detriment

The evaluation process for this year's TIP occurred at the regularly scheduled open public JTC meeting on March 15, 2017. Each project and its aspects was briefly discussed by members and others at the meeting. Each of the criterion listed above is scored from 0-3. The criteria are also weighted as follows Safety 3, Alternate Modes 2, Congestion 2, Infrastructure Preservation 2, Project Readiness 2, Character 1, and Environmental GHG AQ 1. A table below includes the projects, scores, and cost estimates.

1.	1. Table of Projects with Evaluation Scores and Cost Estimates, March 2017							
State ID	Martha's Vineyard Commission Brief Project Description	Town	Total Score (Maximum of 39)	Length in miles if applicable	Estimated Cost at Proposed Year of Expenditure	2017 TIP Programming Notes		
607411	Beach Road Bike / Multimodal Path	Tisbury	38	0.5	\$4,599,296	Programmed in Draft TIP years 2019-2020		
608142	Beach Road Bike / Multimodal Path	Oak Bluffs	32	0.65	\$2,247,622	Programmed in Draft TIP years 2021-2022		
608529	DCR - State Forest Bike Path Resurfacing	WT - EDG	31	2.15	\$547,888	(1st resurfacing phase in 2017)		
MY2000	Electric bus purchase - VTA	Island wide	27	n/a	\$550,000	Programmed in Draft TIP year 2018		
MY1000	Permanent traffic counters at five locations	EDG - OB - TIS	26	n/a	\$140,000	Programmed in Draft TIP year 2018		
607586	Edgartown-Vineyard Haven Road drainage	EDG - OB - TIS	20	6.5	\$1,513,168	Still support for drainage improvements; obtained consultant's estimate to further MassDOT 25/75 % inhouse design; may need to be phased		
608066	Tashmoo Overlook	Tisbury	12	n/a	\$1,000,000	State highway; town reduced scale, proposed reduced project cost estimate (would need scope adj to PRC), and proposed advancing design to 25%		
				Total	\$10,597,974			
	TIP 20 <sup>4</sup>	\$3,561,606						
	TIP 2018-2022 Es	timated Available	\$3,970,932	CMAQ funds are targeted for projects 607411 and 608142				
					-\$3,065,436	A supplemental list of Projects in Need of Funding will be in the Appendix		

#### Sample Project Evaluation Worksheet

Merrimack Valley Planning Commission and MassDOT Evaluation Criteria

Project: Andover - Reconstruct Rt. 133 from Lovejoy Rd to Rt. 28 Project Cost: \$7,245,000 AADT: 12,773 Distance: 2.2 Li

Project #: 608336 Linear Lane Miles: 4.4

Co	Condition		Additional Comments
A.	Magnitude of pavement condition improvement.	2	PNF indicates longitudinal & lateral pavement cracking, utility patch failure, shoving and rutting of pavement along route.
В.	Magnitude of improvement of other infrastructure.	2	Current shoulder width 0' to 2', project to increase shoulder width to 4' or 5' for bikes and > safety for pe- destrians, upgrade signals, drainage improvements
	Condition Average	2.0	

Mobility	Score	Additional Comments
A. Effect on magnitude and duration of congestion.	3	Adding left turn lanes at intersection at MA-133/
		Lovejoy /Greenwood. Also Rt 133/ Rt 28 improvements
B. Effect on travel time and connectivity / access.	2	Widening shoulder, realigning Rt 133/ Lovejoy and add-
		ing left turn lanes.
C. Effect on other modes using the facility.	3	Widening shoulder for bicycles, sidewalks on both
		sides.
D. Effect on regional and local traffic.	3	Widening shoulder, adding left turn lanes. Additional
		connector I-495 to I-93. NHS roadway.
Mobility Average	2.75	

#### Sample Project Evaluation Worksheet (Cont.)

Project: Andover - Reconstruct Rt. 133 from Lovejoy Rd to Rt. 28

Project #: 608336

Safety and Security	Score	Additional Comments
A. Effect on crash rate compared to State average.	3	PNF Rt 133/ Lovejoy / Greenwood has a crash rate of
		.94, District 4 average is .78 and the arterial between
		two signalized intersections is 3.8, Avg. is 2.12. Have
		had 1 pedestrian with injuries and 1 bicycle crash. HSIP
		eligible per MassDOT "Crash Cluster" 2 intersections.
B. Effect on bicycle and pedestrian safety.	2	Widening shoulder for bicycles, sidewalks on both
		sides.
C. Effect on transportation security and evacuation routes/	1	Is an NHS roadway. Is an evacuation route.
Safety and Security Average	2.00	

Community Effects and Support	Score	Additional Comments
<ul> <li>Residential effects: ROW, noise, aesthetics, cut through traffic, and other.</li> </ul>	2	For the most part all within ROW. General appearance and less noise from better pavement conditions.
<ul> <li>B. Public, local government, legislative, and regional support.</li> </ul>	2	
C. Effect on service to minority or low-income neighbor- hoods. (Title VI and EJ)	0	Not Title VI or EJ area.
D. Other impacts / benefits to minority or low-income neighborhoods. (Title VI and EJ).	0	Not Title VI or EJ area.
E. Effect on development and redevelopment of housing	1	
Community Effects and Support Average	1.00	

## Sample Project Evaluation Worksheet (Cont.) Project: Andover - Reconstruct Rt. 133 from Lovejoy Rd to Rt. 28

Project #: 608336

Land Use and Economic Development	Score	Additional Comments
<ul> <li>A. Business effects; ROW, noise, traffic, parking, freight access, other.</li> </ul>	2	Improve access to existing businesses.
<ul> <li>B. Sustainable development effects. Consistent with MVPGS.</li> </ul>	2	Access to MVPGS Rolling Green Regional PDA. Improves transportation choice (walk/bike) for area res- idents.
C. Consistent with regional land-use and economic devel- opment plans and PGS.	2	Access to MVPGS Rolling Green Regional PDA. Improves transportation choice (walk/bike) for area res- idents.
D. Effect on job creation.	1	Should provide better access to Brickstone Square State PDA.
Land Use and Economic Development Average	1.75	

## Sample Project Evaluation Worksheet (Cont.) Project: Andover - Reconstruct Rt. 133 from Lovejoy Rd to Rt. 28

#### Project #: 608336

Environmental Effects	Score	Additional Comments
<ul> <li>A. Air quality / Climate effects. GHG Impact Description –</li> <li>Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Other Improvements</li> </ul>	2	Adding bike lanes and sidewalks. Reducing delays at intersections.
B. Water quality/supply effects; wetlands effects.	1	There will be deep sump catch basins
C. Historic and cultural resources effects.	3	Shawsheen Village Historic District
D. Effect on wildlife habitat and endangered species.	0	Not endangered species habitat area.
Environmental Effects Average	1.5	
Overall Project TEC score	11.00	

		Montachusett TRANSPORTATIO	Regional Planning Comm IN EVALUATION CRITERIA (ver	nission rsion 3.0)	
Federal Aid Fund	ed Ro	adway Improvement, Expansior	a & Preservation Projects		
Community					
MassDOT Project No.					
Description					
Design Status					
Est Ad Date					
					Scoring Range
Category	Line Ite	em #			+4 to -4
Condition	1	What is the magnitude of impact to the pave	ement condition? Based on PCI (MRPC)		0
		Execellent to Poor (-4)	Poor to Execellent (+4)	(-4 or +4)	
		Excellent to Fair (-3)	Fair to Excellent (+3)	(-3 or +3)	
		Excellent to Good (-2)	Good to Excellent (+2)	(-2 or +2)	
		Excellent to Excellent or No Change (+1)	Excellent to Excellent or No Change (+1	) (+1)	
	2	Are there impacts (positive or negative) to o	ther infrastructure elements, i.e. utilities, d	Irainage, sewage, sidewalks, traffic control	0
		devices, etc.			
			Sidowalka	(-1 to +1)	
			Traffic Control Davisos	(-1  to  +1)	
			Utilities	(-1 to +1)	
	3	Average Daily Traffic (ADT) of Road and/or In	tersection	(100)	0
			Less than 1.000 ADT (0)	(0  to  +3)	
			1.001 to 5.000 ADT (+1)		
			5,001 to 10,000 ADT (+2)		
			Greater than 10,000 ADT (+3)		
	4	Does the project incorporate Complete Stre	et concepts?		0
			Yes (+1)	(+1)	,
			No (0)	(0)	
Mobility	5	Does the project have any impact or change	(positive or negative) to the magnitude and	I/or duration of any known congestion	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		issue?			
			Roadway Congestion	(-2 to +2)	
	6	Doos the project have any impact or change	Intersection Congestion	(-2 to +2)	·
	0	bes the project have any impact of change	(positive of negative) to the traver time, co	intectivity of access of the facility:	0
			Reduction/increase in travel time	(-2 to +2)	
			Network connection or acces change	(-2 to +2)	
	7	Does the project have any impact or change utilize the facility?	(positive or negative) to any other mode su	ch as transit, bicycles or pedestrians that	0
			Transit Service Impact - Fixed Route	(-1 to +1)	
			Transit Service Impact - Other	(-1 to +1)	
			Bicycle enhancement	(-1 to +1)	
			Pedestrian enhancement	(-1 to +1)	
	8	Does the project have any impact or change	(positive or negative) to regional or local tra	affic on the road network outside of the	0
		racility itself?			Ľ
			Reduction/increase in travel time	(-2 to +2)	
			Network connection change	(-2 to +2)	

Safety	9	Does the project have an effect (positive or ne	gative) on the crash rate of the facility?		0
			Yes (+1)	(+1)	
			No (0)	(0)	
	10	Does the project have an effect (positive or pe	Magnitude of effect (-4 to +4)	(-4 to +4)	
	10				
			$\frac{1}{100}$	(+1)	
			Magnitude of effect $(-4 \text{ to } +4)$	(-4 to +4)	
	11	Does the project address a known safety issue	on the facility?	( ,	0
			Yes (+1)	(+1)	
			No (0)	(0)	
			Magnitude of effect (-4 to +4)	(-4 to +4)	
	12	Will the project address crash severity on the f	acility?		0
			Yes (+1)	(+1)	
			No (0)	(0)	
			Magnitude of effect (-4 to +4)	(-4 to +4)	
					1
	12	le there any impact or shance (positive or poss	tive) to residential areas or neighborhoods rel	ated to right of your poice, earthetic	
and Support	15	cut-through traffic, or the development/redev	elopment of any housing stock?	area to right-or-way, hoise, aesthetics	0
			Right-of-way	(-1 to +1)	
			Noise/aesthetics	(-1 to +1)	
			Traffic flow	(-1 to +1)	
	14	Does the project have an effect (positive or pe	Housing stock	(-1 to +1)	
	14	Transit service, sidewalks, lighting, utilities, etc.	)?		0
			Transit services	(-1 to +1)	
			Sidewalks/lighting	(-1 to +1)	
			Utilities	(-1 to +1)	
	15	Deep the project have any other impacts or he	Emergency response	(-1 to +1)	
	15	(ex. Job access, development and/or redevelop	oment of any housing stock, etc.)?		0
			Job access	(-1 to +1)	
			Housing stock	(-1 to +1)	
			Safety	(-1 to +1)	
			Other	(-1 to +1)	
	16	Is there support for the project from local, regi	onal, legislative governments and the general	public?	0
			Local governments	(-1 to +1)	
			Multiple Local governments	(-1 to +1)	
			Legislative government	(-1 to +1)	
	17	Is there active participation from the communi	General public ity in the MPO, MRPC and MITC?	(-1 to +1)	
			MPO	(1 to +1)	
			MRPC	(-1 to +1)	
			MITC	(-2 to +2)	

Land Use and	18	Is there any impact or change (positive or negati	ve) to business (commercial and/or industrial)	areas related to right-of-way,	0						
Economic	_	general access, noise, tramic, parking, freight acce	iss or other?								
Development	_		Right-of-way	(-1 to +1)							
			Noise/aesthetics	(-1 to +1)							
			Traffic flow/parking	(-1 to +1)							
			Freight access/Other	(-1 to +1)							
	19	Is the project in accordance with state, regional	or local concepts related to sustainable develo	opment?	0						
			Local plans	(-1 to +1)							
	_		Regional plans	(-1 to +1)							
	_		State plans	(-1 to +1)							
	_		Other plans (ex. Federal, etc.)	(-1 to +1)							
	20	Is the project consistent with any regional land- creation?	ise and/or economic development plans and c	does it have any effect on job	0						
	_		Regional land use	(-1 to +1)							
			Regional economic development	(-1 to +1)							
			Support job creation	(-2 to +2)							
	21	21 Is the project part of or located on any transportation security or evacuation route or provide access to any major emergency facility?									
	_	facility?									
	_		Local evacuation route	(-1 to +1)							
	_		Regional evacuation route	(-1 to +1)							
			Access to emergency facilities	(-2 to +2)							
Environmental Effects	22	Does the project have an impact (positive or neg emmissions?	ative) on Air Quality, Climate standards and/o	or Green House Gas (GHG)	0						
		Air quality impact	Positive/Negative/None	(-4 to +4)							
	23	Does the project have an impact (positive or neg	ative) on water quality, supply or wetlands?		0						
		Water quality/supply/wetlands impact	Positive/Negative/None	(-4 to +4)							
	24	Does the project have an impact (positive or neg	ative) on historic and/or cultural resources?		0						
		Historic/cultural impact	Positive/Negative/None	(-4 to +4)	·						
	25	Does the project have an impact (positive or neg	ative) on wildlife habitats and/or endangered	species?	0						
		Wildlife/endangered species impact	Positive/Negative/None	(-4 to +4)	<u> </u>						
				I OTAL LEC SCOR	e U						



Roadway Project Criteria	Factor	Measure	Score +1 = Positive Impact 0 = No Impact -1 = Negative Impact	Suntside @	Bartlet	© OSR	FourComers	Milestone Ro	ary Milestone	Polpis Milestone	Washington	Pleasant @	Williams	Friendship	ane Industry &	Shedbush Rds	o Airport Rd
Condition:	Magnitude of Pavement Improvement	Extent of Pavement	(+1 to -1)	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	
	Magnitude of Other Infrastructure	Improvements to Municipal Utilities, Drainage, Sidewalks, Traffic															
	Improvements	Control Devices	(+1 to -1)	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	
		Average C	ondition Score:	0.5	0.5	1	1	0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1	1	1	1	
Mobility:	Capacity	Improvement in Volume to Capacity (V/C) Ratio	(+1 to -1)	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Improvement in Intersection Level of Service	(+1 to -1)	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Travel Time, Connectivity, and Access	Improvement in travel time, connectivity, and/or access?	(+1 to -1)	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	
	Intermodal	Will project improve bike and pedestrian access?	(+1 to -1)	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	
	Regional and Local Traffic	Improvement to Collector Street System	(+1 to -1)	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	
		Average	Mobility Score:	0.8	0.8	0.4	0.8	1	0.2	0.2	0.4	0	0.4	0.4	0	0.4	
Safety:	Crash Rate	Improvement to Documented Safety Problem	(+1 to -1)	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	
	Bicycle and	Improvement to Bicycle and Pedestrian	(+1 to -1)	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
	T cucstnan barety	Avorag	o Safoty Score:	1	4	1	1	1	0.5	0	0.5	0.5	1	0	0	0.5	
		Extent of Right-of-	e Salely Scole.						0.5	U	0.5	0.5		U	U	0.5	
Sustainability:	Residential Effects	Way Acquisition	(+1 to -1)	0	0	0	-1	-1	0	0	-1	0	0	-1	0	-1	
		Extent of Decreased	(+1 to -1)	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	0	-1	
	Environmental Justice Effects	Cut-Through Traffic Located Near Affordable Housing	(+1 to -1) (+1 to -1)	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	0	-1	
	Public Support	Listed in an NP&EDC Study or Plan	(+1 to -1)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	
	Development/ Redevelopment of Housing Stock	Located Near Housing Development or Redevelopment?	(+1 to -1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Business Effects	Extent of Access Improvement	(+1 to -1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
		Reduction in Parking Need	(+1 to -1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Freight / Delivery Access	(+1 to -1)	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	
	Environmental Effects	and Climate Improvement	(+1 to -1)	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Affect on Water Quality	(+1 to -1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Affect on Wetlands	(+1 to -1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Habitats of Endangered Species	(+1 to -1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	
	Historical and Cultural Effects	Affect on Historic and Cultural Resources	(+1 to -1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Average Susta	inability Score:	0.29	0.29	0.00	0.21	0.14	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.00	-0.07	0.07	-0.14	
		Total	Total Score: Average Score:	<b>11</b> 0.48	<b>11</b> 0.48	<b>6</b> 0.26	<b>11</b> 0.48	<b>9</b> 0.39	<mark>4</mark> 0.17	<b>3</b> 0.13	<b>5</b> 0.22	<b>3</b> 0.13	<b>6</b> 0.26	<b>3</b> 0.13	<b>3</b> 0.13	<mark>3</mark> 0.13	



			Score					del	nington										10 Vesper	
Bike and Pedestrian Criteria	Factor	Measure	+ 1 = Positive Impact 0 = No Impact -1 = Negative Impact	Mill Hill Patt	MIKSI EA	In-Town P1	In-Town P2	Orant Oran P3	Sparks Ave	FIRSTWAY	TomNevers	Bartlett Fart	Somerset	Wanninet	Quidnet	Monomov Rd	Boulevarde	HummockP	OSR-South	Eal Point Path
Condition:	Magnitude of Pavement Improvement	Extent of Pavement Improvement	(+1 to -1)	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
	Magnitude of Other Infrastructure	Improvements to Municipal Utilities, Drainage, Sidewalks, Traffic Control																		
	Improvements	Devices	(+1 to -1)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Mobility	Capacity	Average C Improvement in Volume to Capacity (V/C) Patio	(+1 to -1)	1	1	1	1	1	0.5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0.5	1
	oupuoky	Improvement in Intersection Level of Service	(+1 to -1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Travel Time, Connectivity, and	Improvement in travel time, connectivity,	() ,										-							-
	Access	and/or access? Will project improve bike and pedestrian	(+1 to -1)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
	Intermodal Regional and	access? Improvement to Collector Street	(+1 to -1)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
	Local I rattic	System	(+1 to -1)	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0
		Average	mobility Score:	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.2	0.6
Safety:	Crash Rate	Problem	(+1 to -1)	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Bicycle and Pedestrian Safety	Improvement to Bicycle and Pedestrian Infrastructure	(+1 to -1)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
		Averag	ge Safety Score:	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Sustainability:	Residential Effects	Extent of Right-of- Way Acquisition	(+1 to -1)	0	-1	-1	-1	-1	0	0	0	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	0	-1
		Extent of Noise Impacts	(+1 to -1)	-1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Environmental	Extent of Decreased Cut-Through Traffic	(+1 to -1)	-1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Justice Effects	Affordable Housing	(+1 to -1)	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Public Support	NP&EDC Study or Plan Located Near	(+1 to -1)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	11	0	0	1	1	1
	Development/ Redevelopment of Housing Stock	Housing Development or Redevelopment?	(+1 to -1)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0
	Business Effects	Extent of Access Improvement Reduction in Parking	(+1 to -1)	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
		Need Extent of Improved Freight / Delivery	(+1 to -1)	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Environmental	Access Extent of Air Quality and Climate	(+1 to -1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Effects	Improvement Affect on Water Quality	(+1 to -1)	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1
		Affect on Wetlands	(+1 to -1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	-1	-1	-1	0	0	0	-1
		Affect on Priority Habitats of Endangered Species	(+1 to -1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	0	0	-1
	Historical and Cultural Effects	Affect on Historic and Cultural Resources	(+1 to -1)	0	0	0	n	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Contras Elliptio	Average Sust	ainability Score:	0.07	0.21	0.07	0.14	0.29	0.36	0.43	0.21	0.07	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.21	0.21	0.00
			Total Score:	8	10	8	9	11	11	12	9	7	8	8	7	6	7	10	6	6
		Tota	al Average Score:	0.38	0.46	0.38	0.42	0.50	0.48	0.54	0.42	0.33	0.38	0.38	0.33	0.29	0.33	0.46	0.27	0.29

#### TRANSPORTATION EVALUATION CRITERIA

Highway-funded Bicycle/Pedestrian Improvement/Expansion Projects

Project Name:BILLERICA - YANKEE DOODLE PATHWAYProject Cost:\$, ž \$, 选')Project Number:608227Design statusPRELIMINARY DESIGNJurisdictionMassDOT

		TRANSPORTATION CRITER	RIA		OTHER IMPACT CRITERIA					
PROJECT TYPE	Condition	Mobility	Safety	Cost Effectiveness	Community Effects and Support	Land Use and Economic Development	Environmental Effects			
Bicycle/Pedestrian Facilities	Magnitude of surface Number of New Users condition improvement		Effect on Bicycle Compatability Index	Cost per User	Residential effects: right-of- way, noise, aesthetics, cut- through traffic, other	Business effects: right-of-way, access, noise, traffic, parking, freight access other	Air Quality/Climate effects			
	3	3	3		1	1	3			
	Magnitude of improvement of other infrastructure elements	Effect on travel time/access/connectivity/acc ess for existing users	Effect on pedestrian safety	Cost per Linear Mile	Environmental Justice effects	Sustainable development effects	Water quality/supply effects; wetlands effects			
	1	3	3		0	2	-1			
		Consistency with State Bicycle and/or Pedestrian Plans			Public, local government, legislative, and regional support	Consistent with regional land- use and economic development plans	Historic and cultural resource effects			
		3			3	3	1			
					Effect on development and redevelopment of housing stock.	Effect on job creation.				
					1	1				
	Ava. Score (-3 to +3)	Avg. Score (-3 to +3)	Avg. Score (-3 to +3)		Avg. Score (-3 to +3)	Avg. Score (-3 to +3)	Ava. Score (-3 to +3)			

| Avg. Score (-3 to +3) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 2                     | 3                     | 3                     | 1.25                  | 1.75                  | 1.00                  |

Total Score (-18 to +18) **12.00**
#### STATE PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA

#### **Highway-funded Preservation Projects**

					OTHER IMPACT CRITERIA	
PROJECT TYPE	Condition	Usage	Cost Effectiveness	Community Effects and Support	Land Use and Economic Development	Environmental and Air Quality/ Climate Effects
Roadway Maintenance	Extent of light and moderate cracking (Main)	Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT)	Cost per Unit Change in Condition	Residential effects: right-of- way, noise, aesthetics, other	Business effects: right-of-way, access, noise, traffic, parking, freight access other	Air Quality/Climate effects
Roadway Resurfacing						
Roadway Reconstruction	Measure of skid resistance (Main/Resurf)	Percentage of Trucks	Cost per Linear Mile	Public, local government, legislative, and regional support	Sustainable development effects	Water quality/supply effects; wetlands effects
	Measure of rideability (Resurf/Recon)	NHS Status		Effect on service to minority or low income neiahborhoods		
	Measure of surface condition (Resurf/Recon)		Cost per AADT	Other Impact/benefit to minority or low income neighborhoods	Consistent with regional land- use and economic development plans	Historic and cultural resource effects
	Pavement structural adequacy (Recon)			Effect on development and redevelopment of housing stock	Effect on job creation.	
	Avg. Score (-3 to +3)	Avg. Score (-3 to +3)		Avg. Score (-3 to +3)	Avg. Score (-3 to +3)	Avg. Score (-3 to +3)
						Total Score (-18 to +18)

#### STATE PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA

#### Highway-funded Improvement/Expansion Projects

		THRESHOLD TRANSPORT	ATION CRITERIA			OTHER IMPACT CRITERIA	
PROJECT TYPE	Condition and Service Quality	Mobility	Safety and Security	Cost Effectiveness	Community Effects and Support	Land Use and Economic Development	Environmental and Air Quality/ Climate Effects
Arterials/Intersection	Magnitude of pavement condition improvement	Effect on magnitude and duration of congestion	Effect on crash rate compared to state average	Cost per Unit Change in Condition	Residential effects: right-of- way, noise, aesthetics, other	Business effects: right-of-way, access, noise, traffic, parking, freight access other	Air Quality/Climate effects
Major Highways							
	Magnitude of improvement of other infrastructure elements	Effect on travel time and connectivity/access	Effect on bicycle and pedestrian safety	Cost per Linear Mile	Public, local government, legislative, and regional support	Sustainable development effects	Water quality/supply effects; wetlands effects
			NHS Status		Effect on service to minority or low income neiahborhoods		
		Effect on other modes using facility		Cost per AADT	Other Impact/benefit to minority or low income neighborhoods	Consistent with regional land- use and economic development plans	Historic and cultural resource effects
		Effect on regional and local traffic			Effect on development and redevelopment of housing stock	Effect on job creation.	
	Avg. Score (-3 to +3)	Avg. Score (-3 to +3)	Avg. Score (-3 to +3)		Avg. Score (-3 to +3)	Avg. Score (-3 to +3)	Avg. Score (-3 to +3)
							Total Score (-18 to +18)

#### STATE PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA

#### Highway-funded Other Enhancements (non-bike/ped) Projects

		THRESHOLD TRANSPORT	ATION CRITERIA			OTHER IMPACT CRITERIA	
PROJECT TYPE	Condition and Service Quality	Mobility	Safety and Security	Cost Effectiveness	Community Effects and Support	Land Use and Economic Development	Environmental and Air Quality/ Climate Effects
Other Enhancements (non- bike/ped)	The extent to which the project improves the transportation system	Number of users	Effect on user safety/ security	Cost per user	Residential effects: right-of- way, noise, aesthetics, other	Business effects: right-of-way, access, noise, traffic, parking, freight access other	Air Quality/Climate effects
		The extent to which the project is coordinated with other projects			Public, local government, legislative, and regional support	Sustainable development effects	Water quality/supply effects; wetlands effects
		The extent to which the project provides other benefits			Effect on service to minority or low income neiahborhoods	Consistent with regional land	
					minority or low income neighborhoods	development plans	effects
					Effect on development and redevelopment of housing stock	Effect on job creation.	
		Ave: Seere ( 2 to : 2)	Ave: Seere ( 2 to : 2)		Ave: Seere ( 2 to . 2)		Aug. Seere ( 2 to : 2)
		Avg. Score (-3 to +3)	Avg. Score (-3 to +3)		Avg. Score (-3 to +3)	Avg. Score (-3 to +3)	
							Total Score (-18 to +18)

#### STATE PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA

#### Highway-funded Bicycle Pedestrian Enhancement Projects

		THRESHOLD TRANSPORT	ATION CRITERIA			OTHER IMPACT CRITERIA	
PROJECT TYPE	Condition and Service Quality	Mobility	Safety and Security	Cost Effectiveness	Community Effects and Support	Land Use and Economic Development	Environmental and Air Quality/ Climate Effects
Bicycle/ Pedestrian Facilities Enhancements	Magnitude of surface condition improvement	Number of users	Effect of Bicycle Comfort Index	Cost per user	Residential effects: right-of- way, noise, aesthetics, other	Business effects: right-of-way, access, noise, traffic, parking, freight access other	Air Quality/Climate effects
	Magnitude of improvement of other infrastructure elements	Effect on travel time/ access/ connectivity for existing users	Effect on pedestrian safety	Cost per linear mile	Public, local government, legislative, and regional support	Sustainable development effects	Water quality/supply effects; wetlands effects
		Consistent with State Bicycle and/ or Pedestrian Plans			Effect on service to minority or low income neighborhoods		
					Other Impact/benefit to minority or low income neighborhoods	Consistent with regional land- use and economic development plans	Historic and cultural resource effects
					Effect on development and redevelopment of housing stock	Effect on job creation.	
	A	Aug. Cours ( 2 (o 2)	Aug. Coore ( 2 to		Aug. Coore ( 2 to . 2)	Aug. Coore ( 2 (o 2)	Aver Cours ( 2 to
	Avg. Score (-3 to +3)	Avg. Score (-3 to +3)	Avg. Score (-3 to +3)		Avg. Score (-3 to +3)	Avg. Score (-3 to +3)	Avg. Score (-3 to +3)
							Total Score (-18 to +18)

#### Evaluation Criteria Pioneer Valley Planning Commission

Community:	Agawam	Project Type:	Interse	ction Impro	vement	SID #:	
Year I	Project was initiated:				MassDC	OT Design Status:	0%
Cost Estimate:					Year	of Cost Estimate:	
Is the project lo	cated primarily in an	urban area?	Yes		Roadway	Functional Class:	Arterial
ADT:		Year of ADT:		# Lanes:		Length (miles):	
Cost/ADT:	#DIV/0!	Cost/Lane	e Mile:	#DIV/0!	Cost	/ADT/Lane Mile:	#DIV/0!
MassDOT Proje	ct Name:		I	nsert Name	e of Project	here	
Section	Name						Score
1	SYSTEM PRESER	VATION, MOD	DERNIZ	ZATION A	ND EFFI	CIENCY	0
2	LIVABILITY						0
3	MOBILITY						0
4	SMART GROWTH	AND ECONC	) MIC [	DEVELOP	MENT		0
5	SAFETY AND SEC	URITY					0
6	ENVIRONMENT	AND CLIMATE	CHAN	IGE			0
7	QUALITY OF LIFE						0
8	ENVIRONMENTA	L JUSTICE					0
	-					Grand Total	0
				Cost	/Point	#DIV/0	!
					1		

Pioneer Valley Planning Commission

1	SYSTEM PRESERVATION	N, MODERNIZATION AND EFFICIENCY		SID #		0
			Maximum Po	ints for this Subsection:	19	0
	Criterion	Factor	Instructions	Details	Max Score	Actual Score
а	Improves substandard pavement	<ul> <li>OCI rating less than 48.5 (arterial) or 47.5 (Collector): Poor, and pavement improvements are included in the project – 8 points</li> <li>OCI rating between 48.5 and 69.5 (arterial) or 47.5 and 68.5 (collector): Fair, and pavement improvements are included in the project – 4 points</li> <li>OCI rating greater than 69.5 (arterial) or 68.5 (collector): Good or better – 1 point</li> <li>OCI rating greater than 85 or the project is an intersection improvement or off-road bicycle facility – 0 points</li> </ul>	Select one only	Based on Pavement Condition Ratings as defined in current RTP. Attach Photos	8	0
Ь	Improves intersection operations (signal equipment upgrades, adaptive signal controls and coordination with adjacent signals, roundabout, geometric improvements, adds turn lanes, improves alignment, improves sight distance.)	Meets or addresses criteria to a high degree - improves multiple locations- 6 points Meets or addresses criteria to a medium degree - improves at least one locations with multiple upgrades - 4 points Meets or addresses criteria to a low degree - improves one location - 2 points Does not meet or address criteria - 0 points	Select one only		6	0
с	In a Congestion Management Process Identified Area	CMP data indicates project improves a corridor of Severe congestion– 5 points CMP data indicates project improves a corridor of Serious congestion – 3 points CMP data indicates project improves a corridor of Moderate congestion – 1 points CMP data indicates project improves a corridor of Minimal congestion or corridor is not currently monitored – 0 points	Select one only	Based on most recent regional CMP data	5	0

Pioneer Valley Planning Commission

2	LIVABILTY			SID #	(	0
			Maximum Poi	ints for this Subsection:	12	0
	Criterion	Factor	Instructions	Details	Max Score	Actual Score
a	Design is consistent with complete streets policies. Complete Streets are designed and operated to enable safe access for all motorists, pedestrians, cyclists, and transit users. Applicant must provide supporting documentation that project is consistent with a locally adopted complete	<ul> <li>Project is a "complete street" consistent with a locally adopted complete streets policy – 1 point</li> <li>Project provides bicycle facilities or accommodations – 1 point</li> <li>Project provides pedestrian facilities – 1 point</li> <li>Does not provide any complete streets components – 0 points</li> </ul>	Select all criteria that apply to project.	Provide plans illustrating facilities provided. <u>MassDOT Project</u> <u>Development and</u> <u>Design Guide</u> <u>FHWA Livability in</u> <u>Transportation</u> <u>Guidebook</u>	3	0
b	Provides multi-modal access to a downtown, village center or employment center.	Provides continuous bicycle access (i.e. bike lanes or bike path) to a downtown or center – 1 point Provides pedestrian access to a downtown or center – 1 point Does not provide multimodal access – 0 points	Select all criteria that apply to project.	Project proponent must provide plans illustrating facilities provided and information on the downtown or village district	2	0
с	Reduces auto dependency	<ul> <li>Project completes a known gap in the bicycle or pedestrian network – 0.5 point</li> <li>Project provides for a new bicycle facility – 0.5 point</li> <li>Project provides for a new pedestrian facility – 0.5 point</li> <li>Project implements a transportation demand management (TDM) strategy – 0.5 point</li> <li>Does not provide any of the above measures – 0 points</li> </ul>	Select all criteria that apply to project.	Project proponent must provide plans illustrating facilities provided.	2	0
d	Project serves a targeted development site (Priority Development Area identified in Valley Vision, rail station area, Chapter 40R or 43D or 43E District)	<ul> <li>Project mostly serves a targeted development site – 1 points</li> <li>Project partly serves a targeted development site – 0.5 point</li> <li>Project supports local zoning or other regulations that are supportive of smart growth – 0.5 point</li> <li>Project provides for bicycle or pedestrian access to or within a targeted development site – 0.5 point</li> </ul>	Select all criteria that apply to project.	Project proponent must provide map of project location, and identify project location in relation to identified targeted development site. Information on special districts should also be provided.	2	0
e	Completes off-road bike and pedestrian network (copy of the most recent regional bicycle/trail map is attached.)	<ul> <li>Project provides an important link or component of the region's off-road bicycle and pedestrian network – 3 points</li> <li>Project includes an off-road bike and pedestrian component as part of a road project or a community adopted bicycle sharing program – 2 points</li> <li>Project provides a connection to a regional bikeway/walkway – 1 point</li> </ul>	Select one only	Based on Regional Bicycle/Trail Map (provided) or the Regional Bike Linkages Map (proposed pending adoption)	3	0

Pioneer Valley Planning Commission

3	MOBILITY			SID #	(	)
			Maximum Poi	ints for this Subsection:	17	0
	Criterion	Factor	Instructions	Details	Max Score	Actual Score
a	Improves Efficiency, Reliability and Attractiveness of Public Transit	<ul> <li>Project increases fixed route bus transit service efficiency and attractiveness through design or ITS technology – 1 point</li> <li>Project provides new or improved linkages to adjacent existing or planned public transit stations/stops – 0.5 point</li> <li>Project prioritizes signals for transit vehicles – 1 points</li> <li>Project provides for a dedicated busway – 1 points</li> <li>Project provides for bus bump out – 0.5 point</li> </ul>	Select all criteria that apply to project.	Identify affected bus service, design features, and/or ITS components	4	0
Ъ	Improves existing peak hour level of service (LOS)	Source data indicates project improves a location that operates at LOS F in an urban area or LOS E in a rural area – 6 points Source data indicates project improves a location that operates at LOS E in an urban area or LOS D in a rural area – 5 points Source data indicates project improves a location that operates at LOS D in an urban area or LOS C in a rural area – 3 points	Select one only	Attach Functional Design Report or recent planning study.	6	0
С	Reduces traffic congestion without adding unnecessary turn lanes.	Reduces congestion to a high degree –         project significantly improves traffic flow         for a location in the Regional Bottlenecks         Report or Regional Congestion         Management Process – 7 points         Reduces congestion to a medium degree –         project improves vehicle storage, installs         exclusive turn lanes as warranted, improves         access management at more than two         locations– 5 points         Reduces congestion to a low degree –         provides modest improvements such as         signal retiming, lane striping, upgraded         detection, turn restrictions, or access         management upgrades at a single location –         2.5 points         Does not reduce congestion – 0 points	Select one only	Attach Functional Design Report or recent planning study.	7	0

Pioneer Valley Planning Commission

4	SMART GROWTH AND E	CONOMIC DEVELOPMENT		SID #	SID #0r this Subsection:10DetailsMax ScoreA Sde a site map rating the project ny related public or sewer lines or e center.2	
			Maximum Poi	ints for this Subsection:	10	0
	Criterion	Factor	Instructions	Details	Max Score	Actual Score
a	Encourages Development around Existing or Enhanced Infrastructure.	Public water and sanitary sewer lines serve the project area 2 points For rural areas, project is within a 1/4 mile radius of a village center 2 points The community will invest in the expansion of existing public water and sanitary sewer lines or install new infrastructure to compliment the project 2 points	Select only one	Provide a site map illustrating the project and any related public water or sewer lines or village center.	2	0
		Or				
		Public water and sanitary sewer lines are within close proximity (within 150 feet) of the project ROW – 1 point For rural areas, project is within a ½ mile radius of a village center – 1 point Public water and sanitary sewer lines do not serve the project area – 0 points				
b	Prioritizes Transportation Investments that Support Land Use and Economic Development Goals	Project is identified in the most recently adopted Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS) for the region – 0.5 points Project serves an area that is targeted as a Priority Development Area (PDA) in Valley Vision Map – 0.5 points Project serves an area that is targeted as a Priority Protection Area (PPA) in Valley Vision Map - (-1 points)	Select if applicable	Submit plan excerpts	1	0
с	Provides service to a Transit Oriented District (TOD), Traditional Neighborhood District (TND), and Cluster or Open Space Development District	Project serves an area that is identified in an existing or planned transit oriented development, traditional neighborhood development, cluster or open space development district in an adopted plan	Select if applicable	Submit plan excerpts referencing the appropriate district.	0.5	0
d	Support Mixed-Use Downtowns and Village Centers	Project serves an existing or planned mixed use downtown or village center	Select if applicable	Identify the downtown	0.5	0
e	Improves intermodal accommodations/connection s to transit (project enhances access, amenities, or service to an existing transit intermodal center or pulse point.)	Meets or addresses criteria to a high degree – project enhances service for three or more transit routes– 4 points Meets or addresses criteria to a medium degree – project results in multiple upgrades for one or two transit routes – 2 points Meets or addresses criteria to a low degree - project enhances service for a single transit route – 1 points Does not meet or address criteria– 0 points	Select one only	Include most recent PVTA route ridership data.	4	0
f	Reduces Congestion on Freight Routes	Project will reduce congestion on roadways with more than 5% trucks per day – 1 point	Select all criteria that apply to project.	Attach Truck Count	2	0

Pioneer Valley Planning Commission

4	SMART GROWTH AND E	MART GROWTH AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT SID #				
		Maximum Poi	Aaximum Points for this Subsection:			
	Criterion	Factor	Instructions	Details	Max Score	Actual Score
		Project implements a strategy identified in the State or Regional Freight Plan – 1 point				

Pioneer Valley Planning Commission

5	SAFETY AND SECURITY			SID #	(	0
			Maximum Poi	ints for this Subsection:	16	0
	Criterion	Factor	Instructions	Details	Max Score	Actual Score
а	Reduces Number and	Project includes ITS elements that will reduce		Identify specific ITS	1	0
	Seventy of Comstons	A roadway safety audit has been completed for	Select if	Submit RSA report	2	0
		the project.	applicable	Sublint KSA teport	2	0
		Project addresses a safety problem as	Select one (if	Submit report excerpts.	4	0
		identified in the PVPC "Top 100" High	applicable)	Documented crashes		Ĩ
		Crash Intersections Report, Top 25 High	11 /	per Million Entering		
		Crash Roadway Segments or is identified as		Vehicles/Million		
		a High Bicycle or Pedestrian Crash Cluster		Vehicle Miles		
		by MassDOT - 4 points				
		The location has a history of lane departure				
		crashes and the project will remove				
		hazardous objects such as utility poles and				
		trees from the roadside – 4 points				
		The location has a history of lane departure				
		crashes and the project will install rumble				
		strips, improve visibility through enhanced				
		edge lines, or enhance pavement to improve				
		skid resistance – 2 points				
		The location has a crash rate greater than	1			
		the state or district average 2 points				
b	Promotes Safe and	Project includes bike safety improvements –	Select if	Identify the safety	5	0
	Accessible Pedestrian and	2 points	applicable	improvements		
	Bicycle Environment	Project includes pedestrian safety				
		improvements – 2 points				
		Project provides bike amenities, such as				
		bike racks or lockers, off-road bike lanes,				
		connections to bike paths, or bike-sharing				
		infrastructure – 1 point			4	-
с	Improves Emergency	Project is identified as an existing or	Select all criteria	Attach EMS plan	4	0
	Response	planned priority emergency response route	that apply to	excerpts or other		
		by one of more Local Public Agencies and	project.	documents		
		EMS, fire, and police agencies $-2$ points				
		Project improves an evacuation route to, or				
		In proximity to, an emergency support				
		10cation - 2 points				

Pioneer Valley Planning Commission

6	ENVIRONMENT AND CL	IMATE CHANGE		SID #	(	)
			Maximum Poi	ints for this Subsection:	12	0
	Criterion	Factor	Instructions	Details	Max Score	Actual Score
а	Preserves Floodplains and Wetlands (310 CMR)	Project is not located in a floodplain.	Select all criteria that apply to project.	Submit floodplain map.	0.5	0
		Project is not located in an existing wetland			0.5	0
b	Promotes Green Infrastructure and Low Impact Development to Reduce Stormwater Impacts	Project involves use of green infrastructure or low impact development (LID) best management practices (BMPs) to reduce stormwater impacts. Eligible BMPs include: rain gardens, green streets, tree box filters, bioretention areas, sheet flow runoff, permeable pavement, vegetated swales, engineered soils for expanded root growth, and measures to improve infiltration	Select if applicable	Identify best management practices	2	0
с	Reduces Impervious Surfaces	Project reduces impervious surface area, or reduces stormwater runoff discharge rate and volume, from pre-existing conditions.	Select if applicable	Identify design features	0.5	0
d	Protects or Enhances Environmental Assets	Project will improve high priority regional environmental assets or enhance protection of Priority Protection Areas (PPAs) identified in Valley Vision.	Select if applicable	Identify affected assets from map	0.5	0
e	Supports Brownfields Redevelopment	Project serves a brownfield redevelopment site. Or Project helps to implement an adopted brownfield redevelopment plan	Select one only, if applicable	Supply map	0.5	0
f	Improves Air Quality Major improvements include projects that demonstrate significant reduction in single occupant vehicles. Minor improvements include reductions in vehicle idling.	Project includes major elements improving air quality – 1 point Project includes minor elements improving air quality – 0.5 point Project has no significant air quality impact – 0 points Project has negative air quality impacts – (-	Select if applicable	Show CMAQ Analysis (PVPC). The level of improvement based on CMAQ analysis shall be considered in determining major and minor improvements.	1	0
σg	Reduces CO2 Emissions	<ol> <li>points</li> <li>Project significantly reduces CO2 emissions         <ul> <li>1 point</li> </ul> </li> <li>Project modestly reduces CO2 emissions –         <ul> <li>0.5 point</li> </ul> </li> <li>Project has no significant CO2 emissions impact – 0 points</li> </ol>	Select one only.	Provide information documenting CO2 reduction strategy, for example, purchase of fuel efficient or electric vehicles or LED traffic lights or solar panels or wind generators. Provide Greenhouse Gas Analysis (PVPC)	1	0

Pioneer Valley Planning Commission

6	ENVIRONMENT AND CL	IMATE CHANGE		SID #	SID #     0       for this Subsection:     12       Details     Max Score     Ac       Details     1       Intify how project     1       1 accomplish mode     1       ft.     1			
			Maximum Po	ints for this Subsection:	12	0		
	Criterion	Factor	Instructions	Details	Max Score	Actual Score		
		Project increases CO2 emissions impacts – (-1) points						
h	Promotes Mode Shift	Project will provide significant reduction in single occupancy vehicle trips through a shift to another transportation mode (i.e. bicycling)	Select if applicable	Identify how project will accomplish mode shift.	1	0		
i	Improves Fish and Wildlife Passage	Project includes stream crossing or culvert improvements designed to improve fish and wildlife passage, in accordance with Massachusetts River and Stream Crossing standards <u>MA Stream Crossings Handbook</u>	Select if applicable	Identify design features in accordance with Massachusetts River and Stream Crossing Standards.	1	0		
j	Supports Green Communities	Project is located in an approved Green Community, in accordance with the MA Green Communities Act	Select if applicable	See MA Green Communities map <u>Link to MA Green</u> <u>Communities Map</u>	0.5	0		
k	Improves Storm Resilience	<ul> <li>Project addresses a flooding problem or increases resilience of the transportation system to floods – 1 point</li> <li>Project improves storm flows by enlarging culverts or stream crossings, where there is demonstrated likelihood of extreme weather damage, while improving fish and wildlife passage – 2 points</li> <li>Or</li> <li>The Project incorporates stormwater BMPs or implements improvements that meet National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) requirements – 2 points</li> </ul>	Select all criteria that apply to project.	Document BMPs	3	0		

Pioneer Valley Planning Commission

7	QUALITY OF LIFE			SID #		0
			Maximum Po	ints for this Subsection:	11	0
	Criterion	Factor	Instructions	Details	Max Score	Actual Score
а	Enhances or and Preserves Greenways and Blueways	Project is adjacent to, AND incorporates enhanced public access or trails or protection related to a designated National Scenic River (Westfield River), National Blueway (Connecticut River), the Baystate Greenway, a National Scenic Trail, a National Recreation Trail, or regional greenway as identified in the Pioneer Valley Greenways Plan	Select if applicable	Identify the designated greenway or blueway, and the public access or land to be protected	1	0
b	Improves Access to Parks and Open Space	Project improves the public's direct access to identified municipal or state parks and/or open space	Select if applicable	Identify the park, and/or open space	1	0
С	Improves Access to Jobs	Project will serve an existing or planned area identified as a major employment center in the Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS) for the region. 2013 CEDS	Select if applicable	Identify the major employment center	2	0
d	Preserves Historical and Cultural Resources	Project itself involves preservation of property designated as a National Historic site or in National Historic District, or is a Historical or Cultural resource as defined by state, local, or federal inventories.	Select if applicable	Identify property and source of listing.	0.5	0
e	Preserve Prime Agricultural Land	Project will not decrease the amount of adjacent farmland in active agricultural production Project makes financial contribution to farmland preservation fund to mitigate impacts to active farmland	Select if applicable	Utilize aerial photos to identify lands in active agricultural production	0.5	0
f	Provide Safe and Reliable Access to Education	<ul> <li>Project includes design elements to improve safety and/or access (regardless of mode) to an existing or planned educational facility (sidewalks, traffic calming measures, crosswalk signals)</li> <li>Project helps to implement an accepted Safe Route to School or the recommendations of a Safe Route to School study</li> <li>Safe Routes to Schools</li> </ul>	Select if applicable	Identify the educational facility and the design elements	0.5	0
β	Support Designated Scenic Byways	Project implements a recommendation of a Corridor Management Plan for a designated National or State Scenic Byway Link to MA Scenic Byways Map	Select if applicable	Identify the recommendation and Corridor Management Plan	0.5	0
h	Implements ITS strategies other than traffic signal operations	Project includes ITS equipment (e.g. variable message signs) – 2 points No proposed ITS equipment – 0 points	Select one only	Improves traffic flow as identified by an identified ITS strategy for the municipality or state	2	0
i	Improve Network Wayfinding/Retro- reflectivity	Project includes improved wayfinding signage – 1 point Project upgrades existing signs to meet current retro-reflectivity standards – 1 point	Select only one		1	0

Pioneer Valley Planning Commission

7	QUALITY OF LIFE SID #				(	)
		Maximum Points for this Subsection:			11	0
	Criterion	Factor	Instructions	Details	Max Score	Actual Score
j	Health Impact Assessment	A health impact assessment was completed for the project per MassDOT guidelines - 1 point	Select one if applicable	Attach completed analysis	1	0
k	Length of Time Project has been in queue for TIP funding	< 3 years - 0 points 3 - 5 years - 0.5 points > 5 years - 1 point	Select Only One	Length of time calculated from date of the first TEC review for the project	1	0

Pioneer Valley Planning Commission

8	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE SID #			0		
			Maximum Po	ints for this Subsection:	3	0
	Criterion	Factor	Instructions	Details	Max Score	Actual Score
a	Reduce and Limit Disproportionate Environmental Impacts on EJ Communities	Project is located within one or more identified Environmental Justice (EJ) Areas, has no adverse impacts projected, and will reduce travel time to work	Select if applicable	Identify project on EJ map	1	0
Ъ	Improve Transit or pedestrian connections for EJ Populations	<ul> <li>Project is located within half-mile buffer of, or affects, an environmental justice area and will provide new transit or pedestrian access – 2 points</li> <li>Project is located within half-mile buffer of, or affects, an environmental justice area and will provide improved transit or pedestrian access – 1 points</li> <li>Project provides no improvement in transit or pedestrian access or is not in an environmental justice area – 0 points</li> </ul>	Select one only.	Identify project on EJ map	2	0
с	Reduce Burdens on EJ Areas	Project creates a burden or negative impact in identified EJ Area	Select if applicable	Identify project on EJ map	-5	0

### **Transportation Evaluation Criteria**

Several years ago, the Southeastern Massachusetts Metropolitan Planning Organization (SMMPO) determined that the selection of highway projects for funding in southeastern Massachusetts will be based on evaluation criteria. The SMMPO directed the SRPEDD Transportation Planning Staff and the Joint Transportation Planning Group (JTPG) to develop and maintain an evaluation process in selecting transportation projects for inclusion in the regional Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). Each project is reviewed to estimate the impact on, or sensitivity to each of the criteria categories as follows:

- Community Impact & Support the community and public support of a project;
- Maintenance & Infrastructure infrastructure to be repaired;
- Safety & Security improvements to all modes for safer operation;
- Mobility/Congestion to improve efficiency of transportation;
- Livability/Sustainable Development examining the potential impacts to the surrounding land use, neighborhoods, and community; and
- Environmental & Climate Change determining the positive/negative environmental impacts of the project.

The application of the evaluation criteria requires documentation to explain the assumptions, measures of effectiveness, source of data, potential impacts and proof of public outreach and support. Providing this information assists the SRPEDD Transportation Planning Staff to score and prioritize projects within the TIP. This prioritization process is a means to properly fund projects under the fiscal constraints of the TIP. This process also informs communities and state agencies on what should be done by the project proponent to maximize the benefits of federal funding.

The evaluation of transit projects for the Southeastern Regional Transit Authority (SRTA) and the Greater Attleboro Taunton Regional Transit Authority (GATRA), bridge projects and major transit investments to be implemented by the Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) are not covered in this document.

The SMMPO, through SRPEDD, operates its programs, services and activities in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 and all related statutes and regulations. Title VI prohibits discrimination on the grounds of race, color, national origin (including limited English proficiency), as well as on the grounds of age,



gender or disability. Additionally, related federal and / or state laws provide similar protections on the basis of a person's religion, sexual orientation, veteran's status and other protected characteristics and requires that no one be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity or service receiving federal assistance.

#### COMMUNITY IMPACT & SUPPORT (15 Total Points Possible)

Within this section, questions are intended to determine if the project has the support of the community, including residents and business owners, as well as federal, state, or local elected officials and designated representatives of the town and the residents. It requests documentation as proof of this support through public participation and outreach or discussion with the affected surrounding residents and businesses. It also asks for determination on the impact of the surrounding land use and impact to Environmental Justice areas.

As well as operating programs, services and activities in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 and all related statutes and regulations, the evaluation of every project must also consider Environmental Justice (EJ) principles as defined by the U.S. Department of Transportation and the SMMPO's Public Participation Program. These principals are designed:

- To avoid, minimize, or mitigate disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects, including social and economic effects, on minority populations and low-income populations,
- To ensure the full and fair participation by all potentially affected communities in the transportation decision-making process,
- To prevent the denial of, reduction in, or significant delay in the receipt of benefits by minority populations and low-income populations.

A chief measure for meeting the community impact and support criteria will be documentation of a public participation process early in the planning of a project and as it progresses from the concept stage to an accepted project by MassDOT. A review of the proponent's efforts to inform all affected parties will be considered, and the community support or opposition duly noted.



#### Question 1 - Has the project been identified as a need in the Regional Transportation Plan or is it part of a planning or engineering study? (Max 3 Points)

Scoring Guidance

Positive points can be awarded if the project results from an SRPEDD traffic study, an independent study endorsed by the SMMPO, an environmental impact statement or report.

Zero points if a project is simply initiated by a town without support or study.

Negative points might result from a project that is not supported or contradicts recommendations from an engineering/traffic study.

### Questions 2 - Has there been adequate public outreach performed? (Range -3 to 3 Points)

#### Scoring Guidance

Positive points are awarded to a project where public informational meetings were held to inform and gather local support, especially before and/or at the inception of the project. This includes town meetings, city council meetings and similar forums where a project's details are presented and allowed to be commented on by elected officials and local citizens. Points are awarded if the project proponent has reached out to surrounding businesses and/or local residents neighborhoods to obtain their input and support through site visits or group meetings. Federal or State legislative support is also a plus. Documentation of all public outreach efforts are required.

Negative points are applied if no public outreach was attempted, or a meeting was held and the project received significant opposition or criticism.

# Question 3 - If the project falls within or near an Environmental Justice area, has the proponent made adequate efforts to reach the affected populations? (Range -3 to 3 Points)

Positive points are awarded if the project proponent has reached out to surrounding Environmental Justice areas to obtain input and support including LEP populations through site visits or group meetings with



translations and interpreters. Documentation of all public outreach efforts is required.

Zero points are awarded when the project is not located within or near an EJ area.

Negative points are applied if the project falls within an Environmental Justice area and no public outreach was attempted, or a meeting was held and the project received significant opposition or criticism.

# Question 4 - Does the project negatively affect or improve an Environmental Justice area? (Range -6 to 6 Points)

Scoring Guidance

Positive points are awarded if a project specifically improves an Environmental Justice (EJ) area, promotes alternative transportation including transit or bicycle/pedestrian facilities that are ADA compliant, or implements noise or traffic calming measures within the project area.

Zero points if project does not fall within or near an EJ area.

Negative points can be applied when the project adversely impacts EJ areas and the proponent does not make any effort to mitigate those impacts.

"Does the project benefit the neighborhood or simply the people passing through the neighborhood?"

#### MAINTENANCE & INFRASTRUCTURE (12 Total Points Possible)

Within this section, questions are intended to determine if a project is correcting documented physical defects within the project's traveled way. This could entail pavement conditions, drainage or culverts, as well as signal equipment. A pavement condition survey may be required. In the absence of a municipally prepared survey, information gathered by SRPEDD or MassDOT can be used. The survey rating process should consider various types of pavement distress (longitudinal, transverse, alligator, and edge cracking; surface rutting, and drainage issues, etc). The survey should recommend a repair strategy that is used to determine the extent of pavement deterioration. The proposed improvement should be consistent with the recommended repair strategy from a Pavement Management Program or engineering evaluation.



# Questions 1 - Does the project improve substandard pavement conditions? (Range -3 to 3 Points)

#### Scoring Guidance

Positive points are awarded if a project improves the substandard pavement. Points can also be awarded if the current pavement condition will change prior to the need for federal or state transportation funding because of a pending utility project or if the condition is already poor. Positive points are awarded if the project improves pavement condition where traffic flow is slowed or forced to drive erratically to avoid damage to vehicles, additional points can be considered.

Zero points can be applied when the project does not change or improve the existing pavement condition or applies improvements to a pavement that is currently considered to be in good to excellent condition according to a pavement condition survey.

Negative points may be applied when the project does not include measures to address any obvious or documented pavement issues.

### Question 2 - Has the project been identified as a need through a Pavement Management program? (Range -3 to 3 Points)

Scoring Guidance

Positive points are awarded if a project is identified through SRPEDD, Transportation Consulting firm, or highway maintenance department with an established pavement management program.

Zero points are applied when there are no pavement issues to be addressed.

Negative points can be applied when the project claims specific pavement conditions, but lacks documentation from a qualified pavement management program. Negative points can apply if the project will unnecessarily improve pavement documented in currently good to excellent condition.

### Question 3 - Does the project improve traffic control devices? (Range -3 to 3 Points)

Scoring Guidance



Positive points are awarded if a project includes the improvement or replacement of an outdated traffic control devices. This includes conduits, loop detectors, pavement markings, signage, etc. that make up a signalized / unsignalized intersection.

Zero points are applied if the project simply replaces the existing traffic control devices.

Negative points can be applied when the need for updated traffic controls has been identified and the project simply replaces the traffic control devices (loop detectors, pavement markings, signs, etc) as part of the project.

### Question 4 - Does the project address drainage issues? (Range -3 to 3 Points)

Scoring Guidance

Positive points are awarded if a project improves structures that maintain adequate drainage of precipitation from the paved surface. Points can be awarded if those structures were identified by the SRPEDD GRRIP program, MEPA, or other documented study.

Zero points applied if there are no drainage issues to be addressed.

Negative points can be applied when the project does not improve structures that are known to be or identified as a drainage problem or does not address a drainage problem identified through GRRIP, MEPA or any other documents studies or agencies.

#### SAFETY & SECURITY (21 Total Points Possible)

Safety has traditionally been considered the foremost element of a project's importance in the SRPEDD region. The SMMPO's Regional Transportation Plan currently considers safety problems as pre-existing conditions that merit maximum consideration for corrective measures. The project must address the documented safety problem. Paving a corridor that has a high crash problem may not score high if specific relevant safety improvements are not planned. The proponent must provide SRPEDD with copies of the last 3 most current years of police crash reports to substantiate the predominant safety problem(s), or the results of a safety analysis.



The project should identify all improvements to be made to the corridor or intersection that impact the element of safety. It should take into account utility improvements, drainage or stormwater improvements, traffic signals, sidewalk and bicycle accommodations and document how they will improve safety.

# Question 1 - Is the project identified on High Crash Listings from SRPEDD or MassDOT? (Range -6 to 6 Points)

#### Scoring Guidance

Positive points are awarded if a project is proposed for a location listed in SRPEDD's Top Crash Location List, MassDOT Top 100, or documented in the Regional Transportation Plan and the project intends to improve an identified safety issue. In addition to the crash ranking the EPDO and ACC/MEV or ACC/MVM should be calculated to determine if it is above or below the statewide average and to validate necessary improvements to further assist in calculating how valuable the project was through the Performance Measure evaluation.

Zero points are applied if there are no documented or minor safety issues involved.

Negative points can be applied when the project is proposed for a location on a documented safety list but does not include measures to address the safety issues.

# Question 2 - Does the design address the primary safety concerns identified through safety analysis? (Range -6 to 6 Points)

Scoring Guidance

Positive points are awarded if a project is a result of a documented safety study or Road Safety Audit completed by SRPEDD, MassDOT or an engineering firm and includes identified recommendations in the design or documents viable reasons for not including the recommendations.

Zero points can be applied if the project has no safety issues or is a non safety project.



Negative points can be applied when the project has no documentation of an identified safety issue or claims it will resolve a safety issue but provides no documented proof of a safety issue.

### Question 3 - Does the project affect bicycle and pedestrian safety? (Range -3 to 3 Points)

Scoring Guidance

Positive points are awarded if a project provides accommodations for improved pedestrian and bicycle safety. This includes increased shoulder width, sidewalks, bike path, markings, etc.

Zero points are applied when no improvements for pedestrian or bicycle safety are proposed and there are no documented safety problems.

Negative points can be applied when the project does not address an identified pedestrian or bicycle safety problem.

# Question 4 - Does the project improve an emergency evacuation route or access to emergency facilities? (Range -3 to 3 Points)

Scoring Guidance

Positive points are awarded if a project is part of a community or regional evacuation route or is part of a route that provides access to hospitals or emergency facilities (Police, Fire, ambulance, shelters).

Zero Points are applied when the project is not part of an evacuation route or routing to an emergency facility.

Negative points can be applied when the project is part of an evacuation route or routing to emergency facilities, yet does nothing to improving congestion or safety issues that might inhibit emergency response.

### Question 5 - Does the project improve freight related safety issues? (Range -3 to 3 Points)

Scoring Guidance



Positive points are awarded if a project improves documented issues related to the movement of freight. This might include the elimination of curves on ramps to minimize rollovers, increased height to bridges for greater clearance, greater turning radii at intersections, etc.

Zero Points are awarded if there are no known freight safety issues related to the project.

Negative points can be applied when the project does not address documented safety problems related to the transportation of freight.

#### MOBILITY & CONGESTION (18 Total Points Possible)

Traffic congestion adversely impacts the movement of people and goods. Congestion is measured based on traffic volume and its impact on the road or intersections' ability to handle that volume. It is calculated in terms of volume to capacity (v/c) ratio and travel delay, and is normally expressed as level of service from A thru F; A being free flow conditions and F being congested.

Traffic congestion can be either an existing measurable condition or it can be a projected future condition. Within the SRPEDD region, we generally consider conditions to warrant attention if the volume to capacity ratio of a corridor is at or above 0.8. This is calculated using the regional Travel Demand Model which determines v/c ratios for all major roadways in a base year (currently year 2010) and future years (to the year 2035).

Intersections are generally handled through a detailed capacity analysis that determines the level of service (LOS) and delay for the intersection as a whole or in fine detail by specific turning movement. Generally, a location with a LOS of D or worse is considered to have a congestion problem. Any changes in traffic controls must be determined by a detailed analysis of the overall characteristics of the intersection. An appropriate warrants analysis should be used as an important component in the ultimate decision to change or install traffic controls.

In addition to the V/C ratio and the LOS, the intersection delay will be evaluated to determine how valuable the project was through the Performance Measure evaluation.

### Question 1 - Does the project address an existing or projected congestion problem (Bottlenecks)? (Range -6 to 6 Points)



#### Scoring Guidance

Positive points are awarded if a project is determined to improve an identified congestion problem or congested area through a documented study/analysis.

Zero Points are awarded if no known congestion problem is evident.

Negative points can be applied when the project does not address or worsens the identified congestion problem.

### Question 2 - Does the project improve mobility, connectivity or access for multi modes of travel? (Range -6 to 6 Points)

#### Scoring Guidance

Positive points are awarded if a project improves access to park n ride lots , ferry parking, multi-modal hubs and/or transit connections, enables ridesharing or carpooling, includes ITS technology or enhances pedestrian and bicycling connections and facilities, etc.

Zero Points are awarded if there are no known congestion issues addressed by the project.

Negative points can be applied when the project if it improves congestions but does not accommodate other modes of transportation as part of those improvements. This might include the lack of Pre-emptive signal controls, high occupancy travel lanes, bicycle/pedestrian accommodations, etc.

Question 3 - Is the project on an existing freight route AND does it address issues identified by a State or SMMPO documented Freight Plans? (Range -3 to 3 Points)

#### Scoring Guidance

Positive points are awarded if a project is on an existing Freight route and addresses issues outlined in a documented study by the SMMPO or MassDOT.



Zero Points are awarded if there are no known freight issues with the project.

Negative points can be applied when the project is on an existing Freight route and does not address issues outlined in a documented study by the SMMPO or MassDOT.

#### Question 4 - Does the project improve reliability for Transit/Emergency Vehicles and/or includes pre-emptive technologies (ITS)? (Range -3 to 3 Points)

Scoring Guidance

Positive points are awarded if a project includes ITS prioritization for transit and emergency vehicles.

Zero Points are awarded if there are no opportunities to incorporate ITS in the project.

Negative points can be applied when the project does not include ITS prioritization for emergency or transit vehicles.

### LIVABILITY / SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT EFFECTS (12 Total Points Possible)

The surrounding area of a project will ultimately be impacted by a project. At times, a project can be interpreted as a positive impact with enhanced safety and mobility or as a negative where the project further separates and isolates neighborhoods from the rest of the community or degrades the overall aesthetic appeal of the impacted neighborhood. This particular section looks at the impact from a project in regards to the concepts of Complete Streets, access to transportation options including TOD, Residential Effects and Quality of Life as well as Land Use, Priority Areas and Economic Development.

These particular questions are subjective and require staff to address various issues and questions to determine a project's true impact to the surrounding area.



### Question 1 - Does the project meet all of the Complete Streets criteria and reduce auto dependency? (Range -3 to +3 Points)

Scoring Guidance

Positive points are awarded if a project will implement the concepts of complete streets to enhance safe access and travel for pedestrians, bicyclists, and transit users to assist in reducing auto dependency. The total points will depend on specifics regarding complete streets to be implemented with the project.

Zero Points are awarded if there are no issues applicable to the project with regards to the complete street criteria.

Negative points can be applied when the project does not include complete streets as part of the improvements for a known issue or inhibits safe access and travel for modes of transportation other than the automobile.

### Question 2 - Does the project improve residential effects or Quality of Life? (Range -3 to +3 Points)

Scoring Guidance

Positive points are awarded if a project provides a positive improvement to the neighborhood or surrounding land use. This might include improved access, aesthetic improvements, the reduction of additional traffic, discouragement of cut-through traffic or enhanced modes of alternative transportation facilities.

Zero points are awarded if there are no discernible effects on quality of life or residential or neighborhood effects.

Negative points can be applied when the project negatively impacts the quality of life, increasing traffic or noise or decreasing access, etc.

Question 3 - Does the project provide or improve multimodal access to / from / within Economic Target Areas, Economic Opportunity Areas, Priority Development Areas, 43D sites, Transit Oriented Developments (TOD's) or Environmental Justice areas? (Range -3 to +3 Points)

Scoring Guidance



Positive points are awarded if a project provides or improves multimodal access to / from / within areas identified in SRPEDD's Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy document, and identified as Economic Target Areas, Economic Opportunity Areas, Priority Development Areas, 43D sites, TOD's and Environmental Justice areas.

Zero Points are awarded if the project does not fall within or near these identified areas.

Negative points can be applied when the project does not provide improved or multimodal access to an identified economic development or priority area.

### Question 4 - Does the project have a negative or positive impact on or access to Historical/Cultural Resources? (Range -3 to 3 Points)

#### Scoring Guidance

Positive points are awarded if a project positively impacts, improves or preserves access to historical or cultural resources or scenic and recreational resources.

Zero Points are awarded if there are no historical or cultural resources are near the project.

Negative points can be applied when the project adversely access to impacts historical or cultural resources or scenic and recreational resources.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL & CLIMATE CHANGE (9 Total Points Possible)

In addition to the impacts surrounding land use, the impact of a project specific to the environment needs to be considered. MassDOT's GreenDOT policy requires a reduction in air pollutants by 25% by 2020. SRPEDD's Geographic Roadway Runoff Inventory Program (GRRIP) identifies drainage or stormwater problems on federally eligible roadways. There is also growing evidence that climate change and tidal rise are beginning to impact infrastructure along the coastal communities as documented in SRPEDD's Flood Hazard Reduction study of 2012. More than ever before, these particular issues pertaining to the environment need consideration with project development.



The Green House Gas reduction will be calculated to determine how valuable the project will be through the Performance Measure evaluation.

### Question 1 - Does the project have a negative or positive impact on Air Quality? (Range -3 to 3 Points)

Scoring Guidance

Positive points are awarded if a project demonstrates the reduction in emissions as part of the documented analysis.

Zero Points are awarded if there are no applicable air quality impacts

Negative points can be applied when the project if a project demonstrates a negative impact as part of a documented analysis.

### Question 2 - Does the project have a negative or positive impact on Water Quality? (Range -2 to 2 Points)

Scoring Guidance

Positive points are awarded if a project is identified in the GRRIP analysis, includes stormwater or drainage improvements (mitigates stormwater runoff or improves water flow within drainage structures), seeks to replicate, repair or improve on any negative impact to the surrounding environment.

Zero Points are awarded if there are no impacts to the surrounding environment.

Negative points can be applied when the project impacts or adversely affects wetlands, public or private water supplies or any other environmental issue related to water.

### Question 3 - Does the project have a negative or positive impact on Habitat/Wildlife? (Range -2 to 2 Points)

Scoring Guidance



Positive points are awarded if a project positively mitigates or impacts any habitat or wildlife in the form of runoff, noise, or other undue hardship as a result of the project.

Zero Points are awarded if there are no applicable impacts identified.

Negative points can be applied when the project does have significant impact to habitat or wildlife in the form of runoff, noise, or other undue hardship as a result of the project.

#### Question 4 - Does the project have a negative or positive impact on an identified flooding and/or sea level rise area? (Range -2 to 2 Points)

Scoring Guidance

Positive points are awarded if a project was identified in a SRPEDD, MassDOT or other documented analysis and the project will specifically address and/or resolve the issue of impacts from river/tidal flooding.

Zero Points are awarded if there are no applicable impacts identified.

Negative points can be applied when the project contributes to, worsens, or will be significantly damaged by continual impacts related to repeat flooding and/or sea level rise.



Community :	Project Description:		
COMMUNITY IMPACT & SUPPORT (15 Total Points)	Explanation / Additional Comments	Point Range	POINTS
Has the project been identified as a need in the Regional Transportation Plan or is it part of a planning or engineering study?		0 to +3	
Has there been adequate public outreach performed?		-3 to +3	
If the project falls within or near an Environmental Justice area, has the proponent made adequate effforts to reach the affected populations?		-3 to +3	
Does the project negatively affect or benefit an Environmental Justice area?		-6 to +6	
	Total COMMUNITY IMPACT & SUB	PPORT Points	0
MAINTENANCE & INFRASTRUCTURE (12 Points Total)	Explanation / Additional Comments	Point Range	POINTS
Does the project improve substandard pavement conditions?		-3 to +3	
Has the project been identified as a need through a Pavement Management program?		-3 to +3	
Does the project improve traffic control devices?		-3 to +3	
Does the project address drainage issues?		-3 to +3	
	Total MAINTENANCE & INFRASTRU	CTURE Points	0
SAFETY & SECURITY (21 Points Total)	Explanation / Additional Comments	Point Range	POINTS
Is the project identified on High Crash Listings from SRPEDD or MassDOT?		-6 to +6	
Does the design address the primary safety concerns identified through safety analysis?		-6 to +6	
Does the project affect bicycle and pedestrian safety?		-3 to +3	
Does the project improve an emergency evacuation route or access to emergency facilities?		-3 to +3	
Does the project improve freight related safety issues?		-3 to +3	
	Total SAFETY & SEC	URITY Points	0

Community :	Project Description:		
MOBILITY/CONGESTION (18 Points Total)	Explanation / Additional Comments	Point Range	POINTS
Does the project address an existing or projected congestion problem ( <i>Bottlenecks</i> )?		-6 to +6	
Does the project improve mobility, connectivity or access for multi modes of travel?		-6 to +6	
Is the project on an existing freight route AND does it address issues identified by a State or SMMPO documented Freight Plans?		-3 to +3	
Does the project improve reliability for Transit/Emergency Vehicles and/or includes B23pre-emptive technologies (ITS)?		-3 to +3	
	Total MOBILITY/CONGE	STION Points	0
LIVABILITY / SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT EFFECTS (12 Points Total)	Explanation / Additional Comments	Point Range	POINTS
Does the project meet all of the Complete Streets criteria and reduce auto dependency?		-3 to +3	
Does the project improve residential effects or Quality of Life?		-3 to +3	
Does the project provide or improve multimodal access <b>to/</b> <b>from/within</b> Economic Target Areas, Economic Opportunity Areas, Priority Development Areas, 43D sites, Transit Oriented Developments (TOD's) or <b>Environmental Justice areas?</b>		-3 to +3	
Does the project have a negative or positive impact on or access to Historical/Cultural Resources?		-3 to +3	
	Total LIVABILITY / SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT EF	FECTS Points	0
ENVIRONMENTAL & CLIMATE CHANGE (9 Points Total)	Explanation / Additional Comments	Point Range	POINTS
Does the project have a negative or positive impact on Air Quality?		-3 to +3	
Does the project have a negative or positive impact on Water Quality?		-2 to +2	
Does the project have a negative or positive impact on Habitat/Wildlife?		-2 to +2	
Does the project have a negative or positive impact on an identified flooding and/or sea level rise area?		2 to +2	
	Total ENVIRONMENTAL & CLIMATE CHANGE Points		0
	Total Project Possible Score 87 Points - Total PROJECT SCORE		0

### Appendix E—Boston Region MPO TIP Evaluation Criteria

# **B**APPENDIX Roadway Project Funding Application Forms & Evaluations

This appendix provides an explanation of the project funding application form for roadway projects that is used to understand requests for funding and to evaluate projects for possible programming. MPO staff and project proponents update these project funding application forms when new information becomes available. The forms are used to evaluate projects using criteria that reflect MPO visions and policies. Some information is provided specifically by the project proponent and other information is provided by MPO staff or by various state agencies.

Project funding application forms are available on the MPO website, http://www.ctps.org/. Proponents enter the project information on-line. Other information is input by MPO staff or automatically updated through links to other databases.

# ROADWAY PROJECT FUNDING APPLICATION FORMS

#### **Overview Tab**

#### **Project Background Information**

1 ID Number

The MassDOT Project Information System (PROJIS) number assigned to the project. If the project does not have a PROJIS number, an

identification number will be assigned to the project by the MPO for internal tracking purposes.

#### 2 Municipality(ies)

The municipality (or municipalities) in which the project is located.

3 Project Name

The name of the project. (Source: MassDOT)

4 Project Category

(determined by MPO staff):

- Arterial and Intersection Arterial roadway and intersection projects
- Major Highway Limited access roadway projects
- Bridge Bridge projects
- Bicycle and Pedestrian Projects dedicated solely to bicycle and pedestrian facilities such as walkways, paths, and trails
- Transit Transit projects consisting of improvements to trains, buses, and ferries
- Enhancement Streetscape improvements and enhancements to transportation facilities
- Regional Mobility Transportation demand management (TDM) and Transportation Systems Management (TSM) programs or projects

5 MassDOT Highway District

The MassDOT Highway District in which the project is located.

6 MAPC Subregion

The MAPC subregion in which the project is located.

7 MAPC Community Type

The MAPC community type in which the project is located as defined by land use and housing patterns, recent growth trends, and projected development patterns.

8 Estimated Cost

The estimated total cost of the project. (Source: MassDOT)

9 Evaluation Rating

The number of points scored by the project, if it has been evaluated.

10 Description

A description of the project, including its primary purpose, major elements and geographic limits. (Source: MassDOT).

11 Project Length (Miles)

Total length of project in miles.

12 Project Lane Miles

Total lane miles of project.

#### **Project Background Information**

P1 Community Priority

The priority rank of the project as determined by the community. (Source: Proponent)

#### **Additional Status**

#### 13 MPO/CTPS Study

Past UPWP-funded studies or reports conducted within the project area.

#### 14 Air Quality Status

The air quality status of the project in the MPO's travel demand model. Projects with "exempt" status do not add capacity to the transportation system. Projects with "model" status add capacity to the transportation system and are included in the travel demand model.

### **Readiness Tab**

"Readiness" is a determination of the appropriate year of programming for a project. In order to make this determination, the MPO tracks project development milestones and coordinates with the MassDOT Highway Division to estimate when a project will be ready for advertising.

All **non-transit** projects programmed in the first year of the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) must be advertised before the end of the federal fiscal year (September 30). That funding authorization is not transferred to the next federal fiscal year, therefore any "leftover" funds are effectively "lost" to the region. If a project in the first year of the TIP is determined as "not ready to be advertised before September 30," it
will be removed from the TIP and replaced with another project by amendment.

For projects in the first year of the TIP, it is important to communicate any perceived problems that may affect the schedule to the Boston Region MPO as soon as possible.

### **Project Background Information**

### *15 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Status*

Advertised, Programmed, Pre-TIP, or Conceptual (Source: MPO database):

- **Advertised** projects have been advertised by the implementation agency for bids.
- **Programmed** projects have been identified for funds in the current TIP.
- **Pre-TIP** projects have received Project Review Committee (PRC) approval from MassDOT Highway Division and have an "active" PROJIS number, but do not have funds identified in the TIP.
- **Conceptual** projects are project concepts or ideas that are not yet under design.

# 16 Functional Design Report (FDR) Status

The year that a functional design report was completed, if one has been conducted for the project.

17 Design Status

Current design status of the project in the MassDOT Highway Division Design Process.

Dates are provided where available. (Source: MassDOT Project Info)

- Project Review Committee (PRC) Approved
- 25% Submitted
- 25% Approved
- 75% Submitted
- 75% Approved
- 100% Submitted
- 100% Approved
- PS&E Submitted

# 18 Right-of-Way (ROW) Requirement

(Source: MassDOT Project Info):

Required – ROW action is required for completion of the project

Not Required – No ROW action required for completion of the project

# 19 Right-of-Way (ROW) Responsibility

(Source: MassDOT Project Info):

MassDOT Responsibility – Providing the required right-of-way is the responsibility of MassDOT.

Municipal Responsibility – Providing the required right-of-way is the responsibility of the municipality.

Municipal Approval – Municipal approval has been given to the right-of-way plan (with date of approval):

# 20 Right-of-Way (ROW) Certification

(Source: MassDOT Project Info):

Expected – Expected date of ROW plan and order of taking

Recorded – Date the ROW plan and order of taking were recorded at the Registry of Deeds

Expires – Expiration date of the rights of entry, easements, or order of taking

### 21 Required Permits

Permits required by the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act (MEPA). (Source: MassDOT Project Info.)

Possible required permits include:

- Environmental Impact Statement
- Construction Engineering Checklist
- Clean Water Act Section 404 Permit
- Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 Permit
- MEPA Environmental Notification Form
- MEPA Environmental Impact Report
- Massachusetts Historical Commission Approval
- M.G.L. Ch. 131 Wetlands Order of Conditions
- Conservation Commission Order of Conditions

# Safety Tab

The evaluation criteria below serve as a way to guide investments that implement the following MPO safety objectives:

- Reduce the number and severity of crashes, all modes
- Reduce serious injuries and fatalities from transportation
- Protect transportation customers and employees from safety and security threats

### **Project Background Information**

22 Top 200 Rank

Ranks of highest crash intersection clusters in the project area listed within MassDOT's top 200 high crash intersection locations. The crash rankings are weighted by crash severity as indicated by Equivalent Property Damage Only (EPDO) values. (Source: MassDOT Highway Division 2011-2013 Top Crash Locations Report)

# 23 EPDO/Injury Value

An estimated value of property damage. Fatal crashes are weighted by 10, injury crashes are weighted by 5 and property damage only or nonreported is weighted by 1. (Source: MassDOT Highway Division, 2011-2013)

### 24 Crash Rate/Crashes per Mile

Intersection projects list the crash rate as total crashes per million vehicle entering the intersection. Arterial projects list the crash rate as total crashes per mile. (Source: MassDOT Highway Division, 2011-2013) 25 Bicycle-Involved Crashes (Total EPDO)

Total EPDO value of bicycle-involved crashes in the project area. (Source: MassDOT Highway Division, 2011-2013)

26 Pedestrian-Involved Crashes (Total EPDO)

Total EPDO value of pedestrian-involved crashes in the project area. (Source: MassDOT Highway Division, 2011-2013)

27 Truck-Involved Crashes (Total EPDO)

Total EPDO value of truck-involved crashes in the project area. (Source: MassDOT Highway Division, 2011-2013)

### **Proponent Provided Information**

P2 What is the primary safety need associated with this project and how does it address that need?

Describe the need for the project from a local and a regional perspective. What are the existing safety needs/improvements the project is designed to address? How will this design accomplish those needed improvements? Please be as specific as possible. When applicable, this information should be consistent with project need information provided in the MassDOT Highway Division Project Need Form. (Source: Proponent)

### **Evaluation**

Safety Evaluation Scoring (30 total points possible):

### Crash Severity Value: Equivalent Property Damage Only (EPDO) index (up to 5 points)

- +5 EPDO value of 300 or more
- +4 EPDO value between 200-299

- +3 EPDO value between 100-199
- +2 EPDO value between 50-99
- +1 EPDO value less than 50
- +0 No EPDO value

### Crash Severity Rate: Equivalent Property Damage Only (EPDO) index per VMT (up to 5 points)

- +5 Average annual EPDO per 1,000,000 VMT of 20 or more
- +4 Average annual EPDO per 1,000,000 VMT between 15-20
- +3 Average annual EPDO per 1,000,000 VMT between 10-15
- +2 Average annual EPDO per 1,000,000 VMT between 5-10
- +1 Average annual EPDO per 1,000,000 VMT less than 5
- +0 No EPDO rate

# Improves truck-related safety issue (up to 5 points)

- +3 High total effectiveness of truck safety countermeasures
- +2 Medium total effectiveness of truck safety countermeasures
- +1 Low total effectiveness of truck safety countermeasures
- +0 Does not implement truck safety countermeasures

If project scores points above, then it is eligible for additional points below:

+2 Improves truck safety at HSIP Cluster

### Improves bicycle safety (up to 5 points)

- +3 High total effectiveness of bicycle safety countermeasures
- +2 Medium total effectiveness of bicycle safety countermeasures
- +1 Low total effectiveness of bicycle safety countermeasures
- 0 Does not implement bicycle safety countermeasures

If project scores points above, then it is eligible for additional points below:

- +2 Improves bicycle safety at HSIP Bicycle Cluster
- +1 Improves bicycle safety at HSIP Cluster

### Improves pedestrian safety (up to 5 points)

- +3 High total effectiveness of pedestrian safety countermeasures
- +2 Medium total effectiveness of pedestrian safety countermeasures
- +1 Low total effectiveness of pedestrian safety countermeasures
- 0 Does not implement pedestrian safety countermeasures

If project scores points above, then it is eligible for additional points below:

- +2 Improves pedestrian safety at HSIP Pedestrian Cluster
- +1 Improves pedestrian safety at HSIP Cluster

# Improves safety or removes an at-grade railroad crossing (up to 5 points)

- +5 Removes an at-grade railroad crossing
- +3 Significantly improves safety at an at-grade railroad crossing
- +1 Improves safety at an at-grade railroad crossing
- 0 Does not include a railroad crossing

# System Preservation Tab

The evaluation criteria below serve as a way to guide investments that implement the following MPO system preservation objectives:

- Improve the condition of on- and off-system bridges
- Improve pavement condition on the MassDOTmonitored roadway system
- Maintain and modernize capital assets throughout the system
- Maintain and modernize capital assets throughout the system (surface condition of sidewalks)
- Prioritize projects that support planned response capability to existing or future extreme conditions (sea level rise, flooding, and other natural and security-related man-made hazards)
- Protect freight network elements, such as port facilities, that are vulnerable to climate-change impacts

### **Project Background Information**

### 28 Existing Pavement Condition

(Source: MassDOT Roadway Inventory File)

Pavement Roughness (IRI) – International Roughness Index (IRI) rating reflects the calibrated value in inches of roughness per mile. IRI ratings are classified as follows:

- Good Ranges of 0 190
- Fair Ranges of 191- 320
- Poor Above 320

### 29 Equipment Condition

Existing signal equipment condition. (Source: CMP, Massachusetts permitted signal information, municipal signal information, submitted design).

### 30 Natural Hazard Zones\*\*

- Project lies within a flood zone
- Project lies within a hurricane surge zone
- Project lies within ¼ mile of an emergency support location
- Project lies within an area of liquefiable soils

\*\*Please refer to the All-hazards Planning Application (hyperlink to http://www.ctps.org/map/www/apps/eehmApp/pub \_eehm\_index.html) for more information on natural hazard zones.

### **Proponent Provided Information**

*P3* What are the infrastructure condition needs or issues of the project area?

Please include additional pavement information from municipal pavement management programs.

In addition, qualitative descriptions of existing problems or anticipated needs can be provided. When applicable, this information should be consistent with project need information provided in the MassDOT Project Need Form. (Source: Proponent)

# P4 How does this project address the infrastructure condition needs or issues in the project area?

Please include detail regarding the pavement management system employed by the community or agency, and of how this system will maximize the useful life of any pavement repaired or replaced by the project. (Source: Proponent)

*P5* What is the primary security need associated with this project and how does it address that need?

Describe the need for the project from a local and a regional perspective. What are the existing security needs/improvements the project is designed to address? How will this design accomplish those needed improvements? Please be as specific as possible. When applicable, this information should be consistent with project need information provided in the MassDOT Highway Division Project Need Form. (Source: Proponent)

#### **Evaluation**

System Preservation Evaluation Scoring (29 total points possible):

# Improves substandard roadway bridge(s) (up to 3 points)

+3 Condition is structurally deficient and improvements are included in the project

- +1 Condition is functionally obsolete and improvements are included in the project
- +0 Does not improve substandard bridge or does not include a bridge

### Improves substandard pavement (up to 6 points)

- +6 IRI rating greater than 320: Poor and pavement improvements are included in the project
- +4 IRI rating between 320 and 191: Fair and pavement improvements are included in the project
- 0 IRI rating less than 190: Good or better

# Improves substandard signal equipment condition (up to 6 points)

- +6 Poor condition, improvements are included in the project
- +4 Fair condition, improvements are included in the project
- 0 Does not meet or address criteria

### Improves transit asset(s) (up to 3 points)

- +2 Brings transit asset into State of Good Repair
- +1 Meets an identified-need in an Asset Management Plan
- +0 Does not meet or address criteria

# Improves substandard sidewalk(s) (up to 3 points)

- +3 Poor condition and sidewalk improvements are included in the project
- +2 Fair condition and sidewalk improvements are included in the project
- +0 Sidewalk condition is good or better

# Improves emergency response (up to 2 points)

- +1 Project improves an evacuation route, diversion route, or alternate diversion route
- +1 Project improves an access route to or in proximity to an emergency support location

# Improves ability to respond to extreme conditions (up to 6 points)

- +2 Addresses flooding problem and/or sea level rise and enables facility to function in such a condition
- +1 Brings facility up to current seismic design standards
- +1 Addresses critical transportation infrastructure
- +1 Protects freight network elements
- +1 Implements hazard mitigation or climate adaptation plans

# Capacity Management/Mobility Tab

The evaluation criteria below serve as a way to guide investments that implement the following MPO capacity management/mobility objectives:

- Improve reliability of transit
- Implement roadway management and operations strategies, constructing improvements to the bicycle and pedestrian network, and supporting community-based transportation
- Create connected network of bicycle and accessible sidewalk facilities (at both regional and neighborhood scale) by expanding existing facilities and closing gaps

- Increase automobile and bicycle parking capacity and usage at transit stations
- Increase the percentage of population and places of employment within one-quarter mile of transit stations and stops
- Increase the percentage of population and employment with access to bicycle facilities
- Improve access to and accessibility of transit and active modes
- Enhance intermodal connections
- Support community-based and private-initiative services and programs to meet last mile, reverse commute and other non-traditional transit/ transportation needs, including those of the elderly and persons with disabilities
- Eliminate bottlenecks on the freight network

# **Project Background Information**

31 Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities

(Source: MassDOT Bicycle Facility Inventory and Roadway Inventory File and MPO bicycle GIS coverage)

# Pedestrian Facilities:

- Sidewalks Indicates if sidewalks are present on one side or on both sides of the roadway.
- Shared Use Path Facilities with a stabilized firm surface and separated from motor vehicle traffic by an open space or barrier.
- Minimally Improved Path Facilities with a rough surface and separated from motor vehicle traffic by an open space or barrier.

# **Bicycle Facilities:**

- Cycle Track Bikeways separated from parallel motor vehicle roadway by a line of parked cars, landscaping, or another form of physical barrier that motor vehicles cannot cross.
- Striped Bicycle Lane A portion of a roadway (greater than or equal to 4 feet) which has been designated by striping, and pavement markings for preferential or exclusive use by bicyclists.
- Marked Shared Lane Travel lanes with specific bicycle markings, often referred to as *sharrows*.
- Signed Route Roadway is designated and signed as a bicycle route.
- Shared Use Path Facilities with a stabilized firm surface and separated from motor vehicle traffic by an open space or barrier.
- Minimally Improved Path Facilities with a rough surface and separated from motor vehicle traffic by an open space or barrier.
- 32 Transit Vehicles Use of Roadway

Identifies the fixed route transit vehicles using the roadway

- 33 Usage
  - Average Daily Traffic Volumes
  - Average Daily Truck Volumes
  - Average Weekday Transit Rider Volumes
  - AM Peak Hour Pedestrian Volumes
  - AM Peak Hour Bicyclist Volumes
  - PM Peak Hour Pedestrian Volumes
  - PM Peak Hour Bicyclist Volumes

### 34 A.M./P.M. Travel Time Index\*\*\*

Travel Time Index directly compares peak-period travel time conditions with free-flow travel time conditions. Travel time Index indicates how much contingency time should be considered to ensure an on-time arrival during the peak period versus optimum travel times.

Travel time index = average peak-period travel time / free-flow travel time

Information provided is determined by the Boston Region MPO's CMP Arterial Performance Dashboard. If a Project Funding Application Form does not have any CMP data listed, this does not necessarily mean that the roadway or intersection does not experience congestion problems; this simply means that data from the CMP are not available.

### 35 A.M./P.M. Speed Index\*\*\*

Speed index is equal to the average speed divided by the posted speed limit of a Traffic Message Channel (TMC). Speed index indicates congestion more accurately than travel speeds alone because low travel speeds may be a result of low speed limits on certain facilities.

Speed Index = average speed / posted speed limit

Information provided is determined by the Boston Region MPO's CMP Arterial Performance Dashboard. If a Project Funding Application Form does not have any CMP data listed, this does not necessarily mean that the roadway or intersection does not experience congestion problems; this simply means that data from the CMP are not available.

\*\*\*Please refer to the CMP Arterial Performance Dashboard (hyperlink to http://www.ctps.org/map/www/apps/arterialHighw ayPerformanceDashboard/index.html) for data on roadway congestion in the MPO region.

# **Proponent Provided Information**

# *P6* What is the primary mobility need for this project and how does it address that need?

Describe the need for the project from a local and a regional perspective. What are the existing or anticipated mobility needs the project is designed to address? Please include information on how the project improves level of service and reduces congestion, provides multimodal elements (for example, access to transit stations or parking, access to bicycle or pedestrian connections), enhances freight mobility, and closes gaps in the existing transportation system. For roadway projects, it is MPO and MassDOT policy that auto congestion reductions not occur at the expense of pedestrians, bicyclists, or transit users. Please explain the mobility benefits of the project for all modes. When applicable, this information should be consistent with project need information provided in the MassDOT Project Need Form. (Source: Proponent)

P7 What intelligent transportation systems (ITS) elements does this project include?

Examples of ITS elements include new signal systems or emergency vehicle override applications. (Source: Proponent)

P8 How does the project improve access for pedestrians, bicyclists, and public transportation? How does the project support MassDOT's mode shift goal of tripling the share of walking, biking, and transit travel?

Describe what improvements are in the project for pedestrians, bicyclists, and public transportation, and what level of improvement will be achieved over existing conditions. (Source: Proponent)

### **Evaluation**

Capacity Management/Mobility Evaluation Scoring (29 total points possible):

### Reduces transit vehicle delay (up to 4 points)

- +3 5 hours or more of daily transit vehicle delay reduced
- +2 1-5 hours of daily transit vehicle delay reduced
- +1 Less than one hour of daily transit vehicle delay reduced
- +0 Does not reduce transit delay

If project scores points above, then it is eligible for additional points below:

+1 Improves one or more key bus route(s)

# Improves pedestrian network and ADA accessibility (up to 5 points)

- +2 Adds new sidewalk(s) (including shared-use paths)
- +2 Improves ADA accessibility
- +1 Closes a gap in the pedestrian network
- 0 Does not improve pedestrian network

### Improves bicycle network (up to 4 points)

- +3 Adds new physically separated bicycle facility (including shared-use paths)
- +2 Adds new buffered bicycle facility
- +1 Adds new standard bicycle facility
- +1 Closes a gap in the bicycle network
- +0 Does not improve bicycle network

### Improves intermodal accommodations/ connections to transit (up to 6 points)

- +6 Meets or addresses criteria to a high degree
- +4 Meets or addresses criteria to a medium degree
- +2 Meets or addresses criteria to a low degree
- +0 Does not meet or address criteria

### Improves truck movement (up to 4 points)

- +3 Meets or addresses criteria to a high degree
- +2 Meets or addresses criteria to a medium degree
- +1 Meets or addresses criteria to a low degree
- +0 Does not meet or address criteria

If project scores points above, then it is eligible for additional points below:

+1 Addresses MPO-identified bottleneck location

### Project reduces congestion (up to 6 points)

- +6 400 hours or more of daily vehicle delay reduced
- +4 100-400 hours of daily vehicle delay reduced
- +2 Less than 100 hours of daily vehicle delay reduced
- 0 Does not meet or address criteria

### Clean Air/Clean Communities Tab

The evaluation criteria below serve as a way to guide investments that implement the following MPO clean air/clean communities objectives:

- Reduce GHGs generated in the Boston Region by all transportation modes as outlined in the Global Warming Solutions Act
- Reduce other transportation-related pollutants
- Minimize negative environmental impacts of the transportation system, when possible
- Support land use policies consistent with smart and healthy growth

### **Project Background Information**

36 CO<sub>2</sub> Impact

The quantified or assumed annual tons of carbon dioxide estimated to be reduced by the project. (Source: MPO Database)

#### 37 Located in a Green Community

Project is in an Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs (EOEEA) certified Green Community. (Source: EOEEA)

### *38 Located in an Area of Critical Environmental Concern*

Areas designated as Areas of Critical Environmental Concern by the Massachusetts Secretary of Environmental Affairs. (Source: MassGIS)

# *39 Located adjacent to (within 200 feet of) a waterway*

Hydrographic (water related) features, including surface water (lakes, ponds, reservoirs), flats, rivers, streams, and others from MassGIS. Two hundred feet from the hydrographic feature is the distance protected by the Massachusetts Rivers Protection Act. (Source: MassGIS)

#### **Proponent Provided Information**

# *P9 How does the project relate to community character?*

Is the project located in an existing community or neighborhood center or other pedestrian-oriented area? Explain the community context (cultural, historical, other) in which the project will occur and indicate the positive or negative effect this project will have on community character. (Source: Proponent)

# P10 What are the environmental impacts of the project?

How will this project improve air quality, improve water quality, or reduce noise levels in the project area and in the region? Air quality improvements can come from reductions in the number or length of vehicle trips or from reductions in vehicle cold starts. Water quality improvements can result from reductions in runoff from impervious surfaces, water supply protection, and habitat protection. Noise barriers can reduce noise impacts. (Source: Proponent)

### **Evaluation**

Clean Air/Clean Communities Evaluation Scoring (16 total points possible):

# Reduces CO<sub>2</sub> (up to 5 points)

- +5 1,000 or more annual tons of  $\mbox{CO}_2$  reduced
- +4 500-999 annual tons of  $CO_2$  reduced
- +3 250-499 annual tons of  $CO_2$  reduced
- +2 100-249 annual tons of CO<sub>2</sub> reduced
- +1 Less than 100 annual tons of CO<sub>2</sub> reduced 0 No impact
- -1 Less than 100 annual tons of CO<sub>2</sub> increased
- -2 100-249 annual tons of CO<sub>2</sub> increased
- -3 250-499 annual tons of CO<sub>2</sub> increased
- -4 500-999 annual tons of CO<sub>2</sub> increased
- -5 1,000 or more annual tons of  $CO_2$  increased

# Reduces other transportation-related emissions (VOC, NOx, CO) (up to 5 points)

- +5 2,000 or more total kilograms of VOC, NOx, CO reduced
- +4 1,000-1999 total kilograms of VOC, NOx, CO reduced
- +3 500-999 total kilograms of VOC, NOx, CO reduced
- +2 250-499 total kilograms of VOC, NOx, CO reduced
- +1 Less than 250 total kilograms of VOC, NOx, CO reduced

- 0 No impact
- -1 Less than 250 total kilograms of VOC, NOx, CO increased
- -2 250-499 total kilograms of VOC, NOx, CO increased
- -3 500-999 total kilograms of VOC, NOx, CO increased
- -4 1,000-1999 total kilograms of VOC, NOx, CO increased
- -5 2,000 or more total kilograms of VOC, NOx, CO increased

# Addresses environmental impacts (up to 4 points)

- +1 Addresses water quality
- +1 Addresses cultural resources/open space
- +1 Addresses wetlands/resource areas
- +1 Addresses wildlife preservation/protected habitats 0 Does not meet or address criteria

### Project is in an Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs (EOEEA)-certified "Green Community" (up to 2 points)

- +2 Project is located in a "Green Community"
- 0 Project is not located in a "Green Community"

# Transportation Equity Tab

The evaluation criteria below serve as a way to guide investments that implement the following MPO transportation equity objectives:

• Target investments to areas that benefit a high percentage of low income and minority populations

- Minimize any burdens associated with MPOfunded projects in low income and minority areas
- Break down barriers to participation in MPOdecision making

### **Proponent Provided Information**

# P11 Are any other transportation equity issues addressed by this project?

This answer should only be addressed by those projects that serve Title VI/non-discrimination populations. Please be specific. (Source: Proponent)

### **Evaluation**

Transportation Equity Evaluation Scoring (12 total points possible):

# Serves Title VI/non-discrimination populations (up to 12 points)

- +2 Serves minority (high concentration) population
- +1 Serves minority (low concentration) population
- +2 Serves low-income (high concentration) population
- +1 Serves low-income (low concentration) population
- +2 Serves limited-English proficiency (high concentration) population
- +1 Serves limited-English proficiency (low concentration) population
- +2 Serves elderly (high concentration) population
- +1 Serves elderly (low concentration) population
- +2 Serves zero vehicle households (high concentration) population

- +1 Serves zero vehicle households (low concentration) population
- +2 Serves persons with disabilities (high concentration) population
- +1 Serves persons with disabilities (low concentration) population
- +0 Does not serve Title VI or non-discrimination populations
- -10 Creates a burden for Title VI/non -discrimination populations

# **Economic Vitality Tab**

The evaluation criteria below serve as a way to guide investments that implement the following MPO economic vitality objectives:

- Prioritize transportation investments that serve targeted development sites
- Prioritize transportation investments that support development consistent with the compact growth strategies of MetroFuture
- Minimize the burden of housing and transportation costs for residents in the region

# **Proponent Provided Information**

### P12 How is the project consistent with local land use policies? How does the project advance local efforts to improve design and access?

Explain how this project will support existing or proposed local land use policies. (Source: Proponent)

P13 How does the zoning of the area within ½ mile of this project support transit-oriented development and preserve any new roadway capacity?

Will the project have an impact on adjacent land uses? Please review the land use information if the project is expected to have an impact on land use. Is there a local project currently under development that would provide a better balance between housing and jobs in this corridor? If so, please provide details on the project status. (Source: Proponent)

P14 How is the project consistent with state, regional, and local economic development priorities?

Explain how this project will support economic development in the community or in the project area (Source: Proponent)

### **Evaluation**

Economic Vitality Evaluation Scoring (18 total points possible):

# Serves targeted development site (up to 6 points)

- +2 Provides new transit access to or within site
- +1 Improves transit access to or within site
- +1 Provides for bicycle access to or within site
- +1 Provides for pedestrian access to or within site
- +1 Provides for improved road access to or within site
- +0 Does not provide any of the above measures

# Provides for development consistent with the compact growth strategies of MetroFuture (up to 5 points)

- +2 Mostly serves an existing area of concentrated development
- +1 Partly serves an existing area of concentrated development
- +1 Supports local zoning or other regulations that are supportive of smart growth development
- +2 Complements other local financial or regulatory support that fosters economic revitalization in a manner consistent with smart growth development principles
- 0 Does not provide for any of the above measures

# Provides multimodal access to an activity center (up to 4 points)

- +1 Provides transit access (within a quarter mile) to an activity center
- +1 Provides truck access to an activity center
- +1 Provides bicycle access to an activity center
- +1 Provides pedestrian access to an activity center
- 0 Does not provide multimodal access

# Leverages other investments (non-TIP funding) (up to 3 points)

- +3 Meets or addresses criteria to a high degree (>30% of the project cost)
- +2 Meets or addresses criteria to a medium degree (10-30% of the project cost)
- +1 Meets or addresses criteria to a low degree (<10% of the project cost)
- 0 Does not meet or address criteria

# Other Tab

# **Cost per Unit**

These two measures of cost per unit are derived by dividing project cost by quantified data in the MPO database. These measures can be used to compare similar types of projects.

### 40 \$ per User

Cost divided by ADT (ADT for roadway projects or other user estimate)

### 41 \$ per Lane Mile

Cost divided by proposed total lane miles

# Additional Project Background Information

# Targeted Development Areas

A targeted development area is located within ½ mile of the project area. Eligible targeted development areas include 43D, 43E, and 40R sites, Regionally Significant Priority Development Areas, Growth District Initiatives, and MBTA transit station areas.

• **43D Priority Development Site**: The Chapter 43D Program offers communities expedited permitting to promote targeted economic and housing development. Sites approved under the program are guaranteed local permitting decisions on priority development sites within 180 days. (Source: Executive Office of Housing and Economic Development)

- **43E Priority Development Site:** The Chapter 43E Program promotes the expedited permitting of commercial, industrial, residential and mixed-use projects on sites with dual designation as a Priority Development Site and Growth District. Sites approved under the program are guaranteed state permitting decisions on priority development sites within 180 days. (Source: Executive Office of Housing and Economic Development)
- **40R Smart Growth Zoning Overlay District:** The program encourages communities to zone for compact residential and mixed-use development in "smart growth" locations by offering financial incentives and control over design. (Source: Department of Housing and Community Development)
- Regionally Significant Priority Development Area: A site or district that has been identified by the local municipality as an eligible and desirable site for housing and/or economic development, and which has been identified as a "regionally significant" site by MAPC through a subregional screening process that considers development potential, accessibility, environmental impacts, equity, and other factors.
- **Growth District Initiative**: The EOHED initiative focuses on expediting commercial and residential development at appropriate locations for significant new growth. (Source:

Executive Office of Housing and Economic Development)

• Eligible MBTA Transit Station Area: Areas within ½ mile of existing or proposed subway, trolley, commuter rail, or ferry service, with the exception of "Undeveloped" station areas as defined by MAPC (www.mapc.org/TOD); or areas within ¼ mile of an MBTA "Key Bus Route."

### Municipality Provides Financial or Regulatory Support for Targeted Development

The proposed project will improve access to or within a commercial district served by a Main Street organization, local business association, Business Improvement District, or comparable, geographically targeted organization (i.e., not a city/town-wide chamber of commerce).

### Local Efforts to improve Design and Access:

- Form-based codes
- Official design guidelines for new development/redevelopment
- Official local plan for pedestrian/bike/handicap access, the recommendations of which are reflected in the proposal

# Appendix F—Transportation Evaluation Criteria for Agencies Outside of Massachusetts



### Criteria for prioritizing projects in the TIP

Project sponsors must consider a range of criteria when submitting projects for consideration in the TIP. Sponsors ascertain the ability of projects to meet the following criteria which supports long-range plan goals. Additionally, capacity projects must come from the region's approved long-range transportation plan.

- 1. Preserves the regional transportation system.
- 2. Implements emission reduction measures.
- 3. Reduces congestion and prevents congestion where it does not yet occur.
- 4. Is consistent with all applicable short-range and long-term comprehensive land use plans.
- 5. Implements MAP-21 Transportation Alternatives activities, including historic resource preservation where related to transportation facilities.
- 6. Provides or enhances accessibility and/or intermodal connectivity among major destinations important to the regional economy.
- 7. Provides for connectivity of transportation facilities within the metropolitan area with transportation facilities outside the metropolitan area.
- 8. Enhances social, energy and environmental efforts.
- 9. Facilitates the use of transit and/or alternatives to the single occupant vehicle.
- 10. Implements transportation system management strategies so as to meet transportation needs by using existing facilities more efficiently.
- 11. Improves pedestrian safety and access for transportation.
- 12. Improves bicycle safety and access for transportation.
- 13. Permits timely advancement and continuity of transportation projects.
- 14. Enhances transportation safety.



# **Appendix F: Project Evaluation and Scoring**



# **Evaluation and Scoring Process**

As indicated in Chapter 4, the local jurisdictions, in consultation with the Maryland Transit Administration and the Maryland State Highway Administration, submitted projects for consideration for *Maximize2040*.

# **Technical Score**

BMC staff members scored each project for technical merit, based on consistency with regional goals and strategies.

See the table on the following page for explanations of criteria and methodologies. Unless otherwise indicated, a candidate project receives 5, 3, or 1 points, depending on the degree to which it addresses a problem or provides benefits. High = 5 points; medium = 3 points, low = 1 point. A "not applicable" condition scores 0 points.

The maximum technical score for transit and highway projects is 50 points.

# **Policy Score**

Each submitting jurisdiction and agency provided a policy score, depending on priority and demonstrated support.

- High Priority (up to 5 projects can have this rating) 30 points
- Medium Priority (up to 4 projects can have this rating) 20 points
- Low Priority (an unlimited number of projects can have this rating) 10 points
- Demonstrated MDOT Financial Support 10 points added to priority score

# **Maximum Score**

The maximum total score (technical score + policy score) is 90 points.









modes	Modes Criteria Methodologies				
Gool Safaty	Citteria	Methodologies			
Highway	Crash severity (injuries and fatalities) – 5, 3, or 1 points	Total number of injuries and fatalities for mo 3 years, multiplied by 2 and added to total n of injuries; divide this total by annual VMT in for this segment to determine accident seve 1,000,000 VMT			
Goal: Accessibility					
Highway	Complete Streets features – 5, 3, or 0 points	Degree to which project delivers safety / acc benefits for all modes (ADA improvements, in bike facilities, etc.) – total population first, the population – per mile benefits Significant features = 5 points			
		Moderate features = 3 points Not applicable = 0 points			
Highway	Access to Job/Activity Centers – 5, 3, or 1 points	Degree to which project improves infrastruct abling access to and supporting major Job/A Centers – 1/2 mile buffer analysis – per mile b			
Transit	Transit station/stops – 10, 6, or 2 points	Degree to which project supports access to s destinations – EJ population – 1/4 mile buffer			
		Improve existing station/stops = 10 points New station/stops = 6 points Operations improvement plan = 2 points			
Transit	Access to Job/Activity Centers – 10, 6, or 2 points	Degree to which project improves infrastruct abling access to and supporting major Job/A Centers – 1/4 mile buffer analysis – per mile b			
Goal: Mobili	ty				
Highway	2020 Level of Service (LOS) –	2020 LOS (with Existing + Committed) –			
	7, 4, or 1 points	LOS E-F = 7 points LOS D = 4 points LOS C-A = 1 point			
Highway	2040 LOS -	2040 LOS (with Existing + Committed) –			
Highway	2040 LOS – 3, 2, or 1 points	2040 LOS (with Existing + Committed) – LOS E-F = 3 points LOS D = 2 points LOS C-A = 1 point			
Highway Transit	2040 LOS – 3, 2, or 1 points Transit options –	2040 LOS (with Existing + Committed) – LOS E-F = 3 points LOS D = 2 points LOS C-A = 1 point Extent to which project provides options (fro			
Highway Transit	2040 LOS – 3, 2, or 1 points Transit options – 5, 3, or 1 points	2040 LOS (with Existing + Committed) – LOS E-F = 3 points LOS D = 2 points LOS C-A = 1 point Extent to which project provides options (fro Transit project focused on mobility (MARC, Bl muter bus) = 5 points Metro or light rail project = 3 points Local bus project = 1 point			



# Appendix F: Project Evaluation and Scoring

Technical Criteria and Scoring Methodologies							
Modes	Criteria	Methodologies					
Goal: Environm	ental Conservation						
Highway and Transit	Effects on ecologically significant lands / historical properties – 5, 3, or 0 points	Geographic proximity to ecologically significant lands (using Maryland green infrastructure mapping data) / geographic proximity to culturally significant properties and resources (using National Register of Historic Places, Maryland Inventory of Historic Prop- erties)					
		Little to no effects = 5 points Moderate effects = 3 points Significant effects = 0 points					
Highway and Transit	Emissions and greenhouse gas (GHG) Reductions – 5, 3, or 1 points	Degree to which project includes components that reduce GHG emissions (e.g., Transportation Demand Management or Transportation System Management components, carbon sequestration, electric vehicle infrastructure)					
Goal: Security							
Highway	Evacuation route or parallels – 5, 3, or 0 points	Degree to which project falls on an existing evacua- tion route (as defined in <i>Evacuation Traffic Manage- ment Support</i> document) or improves a critical link to an existing evacuation route –					
		Falls on evacuation route = 5 points Improves critical link = 3 points No evacuation function = 0 points					
Goal: Economic	Prosperity						
Highway and Transit	Connection to Priority Funding Area (PFA) –	Points assigned depending on project location rela- tive to PFA –					
	5, 3, or 0 points	Within PFA = 5 points Connecting to PFA = 3 points Outside PFA = 0 points					
Highway and Transit	Connection to Sustainable Community –	Points assigned depending on project location rela- tive to Sustainable Community –					
	5, 3, or 0 points	Within Sustainable Community = 5 points Connecting to Sustainable Community = 3 points Outside Sustainable Community = 0 points					









	TEMPLATE	Strategy Type	Potential GHG reduction (e.	Potential risk protection (A)	Feasibility	Cost effectivence	Applicable <sup>scale</sup>	Climate Score (Max = 21)	Other benefits	Adverse effects	Adjusted Score (Max=24)
			Low=4	Low=4	None= <b>0</b>	None= <b>0</b>	None= <b>0</b>		None= <b>0</b>	None= <b>0</b>	
		Mitigation or	MedLo=6	MedLo=6	Low=1	Low=1	Low=1		One= <b>1</b>	One= <b>-1</b>	
Item	Climate Action and Analysis	Ацартаціон	MedHI=8	WedHi= <b>8</b> High= <b>12</b>	Wed= <b>2</b> High= <b>3</b>	Med= <b>2</b> High= <b>3</b>	Med= <b>2</b> High= <b>3</b>		1wo= <b>2</b>	1wo=-2	
۸۸_#	Strategy/Action Title		111611-12	111g11=12		ingii- <b>J</b>	ingii- <b>J</b>		×100-3	>1w0=- <b>3</b>	
~~*	A-# Strategy/Action Title										
	Implementation level: Regional Municipal Employer etc										
/sis	<b>Comments:</b> Additional background/discussion on why the strategy/action is presented. May include some examples of what strategy/action might include but not										
intended to be a detailed discussion of how strategy/action would be implemented				inat strat			include) of				
& A	A Related Policies/Programs in Place: Identification of what is already being done										
ion	Implementation speed: Educated guess at how fast strategy can be Other benefits: Climate Mitigation/Adaptation + ECOS goals + financial benefits										
ripti	done.										
Results timeframe: Educated guess at how fast strategy benefits Adverse effects: Climate Mitigation/Adaptation + ECOS goals adversely affecte							ed				
	occur.			0	-	-	-		-		
	Net Cost/MtCO2e reduced: Reported values from literature	Source: Whe	ere strate	gy/action	was iden	ified; see	Referenc	es			

Evaluation Criteria:

Strategy Type: Mitigation or Adaptation (not a scored criteria)

**Potential GHG reduction**: A measure of <u>mitigation effectiveness</u>. <u>High</u> = Directly reduces GHGs from transportation or thermal energy use OR Directly increases carbon sequestration. <u>MedHi</u> = Directly reduces GHGs from non-transportation or non-thermal sources. <u>MedLo</u> = Indirectly reduces GHGs from transportation or thermal use OR indirectly increases carbon sequestration. <u>Low</u> = Indirectly reduces GHGs from non-transportation or non-transportation or non-thermal sources. (Rationale: Actions that directly reduce GHGs are more effective than activities that indirectly reduce GHGs. Transportation and thermal sources are ~80% of county GHG emissions and must be reduced to reduce overall county GHGs )

**Potential risk protection**: A measure of <u>adaptation effectiveness</u>. <u>High</u> = Directly protects > 50% of impacted people, properties, or public assets. <u>MedHi</u> = Directly protects > 33% of impacted people, properties or public assets. <u>MedLo</u> = Indirectly protects > 50% of impacted people, properties or public assets. <u>Low</u> = Directly protects < 33% of impacted people, properties or public assets OR Indirectly protects < 50%.

**Feasibility**: A measure of whether strategy/action can be implemented in near term. <u>High</u> = Technology/methodology, program and implementing organization all currently exist. <u>Med</u> = Technology/methodology currently exists, either program or implementing organization currently exist. <u>Low</u> = Technology/methodology currently exists, neither program nor implementing organization currently exists. <u>None</u> = Technology/methodology doesn't currently exist.

**Cost effectiveness**: Professional judgment of benefits to costs at scale implemented. <u>High</u> = High benefits/costs OR positive financial benefits. <u>Med</u> = Moderate benefits/costs. <u>Low</u> = Low benefits/costs.

**Applicable scale**: A measure of scale at which strategy/action can be effectively implemented. <u>High</u> = Applied regionally to benefit >50% of county population or towns. <u>Med</u> = Applied regionally to benefit >33 % of population or towns OR Applied locally with high transferability (model suitable for other locations). <u>Low</u> = Applied locally.

**Other benefits**: # of other goals + cost savings benefited by strategy/action. (Importance of other goals is not weighted.) Other goals are based on ECOS Project goal topics: Land use, Housing, Transportation, Energy, Infrastructure, Economy, Household financial security, Ecological systems, Scenic and recreational resources, Working lands, Education and Knowledge, Health, Public Safety and Hazard Mitigation, Civic engagement and Governance, and Social connectedness. Climate mitigation may be a benefit for predominantly adaptation strategies; climate adaptation may be a benefit for predominantly mitigation strategies. Other benefits may exist that are not addressed by this list.

Adverse effects: # of other goals adversely affected by strategy/action. (Importance of other goals is not weighted.) Other goals are based on ECOS Project goal topics: Land use, Housing, Transportation, Energy, Infrastructure, Economy, Household financial security, Ecological systems, Scenic and recreational resources, Working lands, Education and Knowledge, Health, Public Safety and Hazard Mitigation, Civic engagement and Governance, and Social connectedness. Climate mitigation may be adversely affected by predominantly adaptation strategies; climate adaptation may be adversely affected by predominantly mitigation strategies. Other adverse effects may exist that are not addressed by this list.



MERIT CATEGORIES	NUMER		ALU	JES	SCOR
REGIONAL BENEFIT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)					
Benefit beyond project to transportation system or quality region	SCORE	-2	to	+5	
	SUBTOTAL	-2	to	+5	0
COMMUNITY QUALITY OF LIFE & EQUITY (10 POINTS POSSIBLE)	•				
Land Use Compatability	SCORE	-1	to	+3	0
Smart Growth	SCORE	-1	to	+3	0
Environmental Justice	SCORE	-1	to	+2	0
Accessibility / ADA / Universal Design/Human Services Transport	SCORE	-1	to	+2	0
	SUBTOTAL	-4	to	+10	0
APPROPRIATE INFRASTRUCTURE (10 POINTS POSSIBLE)					
Preservation/Renewal of Existing	SCORE	-2	to	+5	0
Complete Streets	SCORE	-2	to	+5	0
	SUBTOTAL	-4	to	+10	0
AULTI-MODALISM (10 POINTS POSSIBLE)					
Transit	SCORE	-2	to	+5	0
Pedestrian	SCORE	-1	to	+3	0
Bicycle	SCORE	-1	to	+2	0
	SUBTOTAL	-4	to	+10	0
ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH (8 POINTS POSSIBLE)					
Sensitive Area Preservation/Mitigation	SCORE	-1	to	+2	0
Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction	SCORE	-1	to	+2	0
Alternative Fuels Support	SCORE	-1	to	+2	0
Other Health Benefit	SCORE	-1	to	+2	0
	SUBTOTAL	-4	to	+8	0
CONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)					
Economic Impact	SCORE	-2	to	+5	0
	SUBTOTAL	-2	to	+5	0
AFETY & SECURITY (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)					
Additional Safety Benefit Beyond Crash History	SCORE	-1	to	+3	0
Security and Resiliency to Natural Hazards and Human Caused Events	SCORE	-1	to	+2	0
	SUBTOTAL	-2	to	+5	0
DPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)					
Traffic Operations & Reliability Improvements	SCORE	-1	to	+3	0
Use of Beneficent Advanced Technologies	SCORE	-1	to	+2	0
	SUBTOTAL	-2	to	+5	0
REIGHT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)					
Freight and Goods Movement	SCORE	-2	to	+5	0
	SUBTOTAL	-2	to	+5	0
NNOVATION (2 POINTS POSSIBLE)	•				
Innovative Solutions	SCORE	0	to	+2	0
	SUBTOTAL	0	to	+2	0
PROJECT DELIVERY (2 POINTS POSSIBLE)					-
On Schedule/On Budget	SCORF	-2	to	+2	0
	SUBTOTAL	-2	to	+2	0
	1000.0.742	_			<u> </u>
Total from Line Items Above	SUBTOTAL	-28	to	+67	0
					~ ~

MERIT POINTS TOTAL

B/C RATIO B/C Ratio Value (imported from separate analysis)

SUBTOTAL 0 to +50

TOTAL

B/C SCORE CONVERTED TO POINT SCALE

PROJECT TOTAL (UP TO 100 POINTS) Merit Categories + B/C Value

-21 to 100 0.0 TOTAL PROJECT SCORE

CHITTENDEN COUNTY RPC Communities Planning Together PROJECT NAME:

RecideAuBeNETIC (# CONTRACTORSULT)         Image: Control of one or more of the following CDTC "Big initiatives":           Benefit beyond project to transmortation system or quality region (B points)         Image: Control of the control of one or more of the following CDTC "Big initiatives":           Begint beyond project to transmortation system or quality region (B points)         Image: Control of the con
Benefit beyond project to transportation system or quality region (5 points)         Project ingenents a substantia portion of one or more of the following: CDTC "Tig initiatives":         Project ingenents a substantia portion of one or more of the following: CDTC "Tig initiatives":         Project ingenents a substantia portion of one or more of the following:           • Regional Greenway Program         • Street Reconstruction and Reconfiguration         •           • Street Reconstruction and Reconfiguration         •         •           • Detained Management Program         •         •           • Project ingenents a small portion of one or more of CDTC's <sup>1</sup> B <sub>0</sub> Initiatives."         •         •           • Project ingenents a small portion of one or more of CDTC's <sup>1</sup> B <sub>0</sub> Initiative, or initiative or broad geographic scope and inpact, aimed at one or more of the following:         •           • Project ingenentia fination of and or more of CDTC's <sup>1</sup> B <sub>0</sub> Initiative, <sup>1</sup> •         •           • Project ingenentia fination of and or more of CDTC's <sup>1</sup> B <sub>0</sub> Initiative, <sup>1</sup> •         •           • Project ingenentia fination do mobility at regional or intermunicipal teaptings, such as impact or multiple municipalities instante, on this post description for such of the following:         •           • Project ingenentia         •         •         •         •         •         •         •         •         •         •         •         •         •         •
Project implements a subtantial portion of one or more of the following CDTC*Tig initiatives?: • Reperfront Access and Urban Development Program • Street Reconstruction and Reconfiguration • Suburban Town Center Development • Suburban Town Center Development • Suburban Town Center Development • Under Management Program • Demaid Management Program • Depti influences and portion of one or more of CDC's 'lig initiatives." • Project contributes to a region-wide (inclusive of 3 or more municipalities) initiative, or initiative of broad geographic scope and impact, aimed at one or more of the following: • Project inplements a and portion of one or more of CDC's 'lig initiatives." • Project is partially funded by innovative finding sources/mechanisms or internuncipal lead, improve region-wide or multiple municipalities' starty. • Project is partially funded by innovative finding sources/mechanisms or internuncipal partnerships, such as: impact or mitigg study and includes other travel demand management strategies, to is an outcome from, a Travel Demand Management (TDM) Plan, a plan which goes beyond a traffic engineering study and includes other travel demand management strategies, to is an outcome from, a Travel Demand Management (TDM) Plan, a plan which goes beyond a traffic engineering study and includes other travel demand management strategies, to is an outcome from, a Travel Demand Management (TDM) Plan, a plan which goes beyond a traffic engineering study and includes other travel demand # Project supports as impedment or borrier to a CDTC 'Big initiative' <u>DM</u> has a negative impact is any impact described below in any category which trease for the origin to a CDTC 'Big initiative' <u>DM</u> has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category <b>Broject supports as impedment or borrier to a CDTC 'Big initi</b>
Regional Greenway Program     Regional Access and Urab Development Program     Street Recentry Links and Reconfiguration     Subcleve Transit System with Transit-Oriented Development     Subcleve Transit System with Transit-Oriented Development     Regional Greenway Program     Deta and Management Program     Deta and Management Program     Deta and Management Program     Project inplements a small portion of one or more of UDC's "Big Initiatives."     Project contributes to a region-wide (inclusive of 3 or more multipabilities infative, or initiative of throad geographic scope and impact, aimed at one or more of the following:     revitatize urban andes, anage constraint and mobility at a regional or intermunicipal level, improve communities intraves communities'     transportation options, manage congestion and mobility at a regional or intermunicipal level, improve region wide or multipa multipabilities 'afarty.     Project is partially funded by innovative funding sources/mechanisms or intermunicipal partnerships, such as: impact or mitigation fees, usef fees, dedicated transportation     fees, public/private partnerships, intermunicipal financial partnerships, such as: impact or mitigation fees, usef fees, dedicated transportation     fees, public/private partnerships, intermunicipal financing, etc.     Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or megative of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category     which reases core).     Project supports an impediment or barrier to a CDTC'Big Initiative' ( <u>A happ has a negative impact or regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category     which travel so and model and there and the effects are contained to the immediate project     urbuints in a negative effects.     Project supports an impediment or barrier to a CDTC'Big Initiative' (<u>A happ has a negative impact or regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category     which traves or theoris and imp</u></u>
<ul> <li>Newfront Access and Urban Development Program</li> <li>Sthurban Toum Center Development</li> <li>Suburban Toum Center Development</li> <li>Suburban Toum Center Development</li> <li>Suburban Toum Center Development</li> <li>Integrated Corridor Management Program</li> <li>Dermand Management Program</li> <li>Dermand Management Program</li> <li>Project information and proton of one or more of CDC's "Bg Initiative."</li> <li>Project information and proton of one or more of CDC's "Bg Initiative."</li> <li>Project information and proton one or more of CDC's "Bg Initiative."</li> <li>Project information and proton one or more of CDC's "Bg Initiative."</li> <li>Project information and proton constructure in growing suburbs, preserve one space and agricultural land, make communities more levels of the Below):</li> <li>Project Information and proton constructure in growing suburbs, preserve one space and agricultural land, make communities in formation of the Information of the Information</li></ul>
Street Reconstruction and Reconfiguration     Subtract Transit System with Transit-Oriented Development     Guideway Transit System with Transit-Oriented Development     Subtract Corridor Management Program     Demand Management Program     Det of optios completively favoral 1 point for each of the below):     Project implements a small point for each of the below):     Project implements a small point for each of the below):     Project implements a small point for each of the below):     Project implements a small point for each of the below):     Project implements a small point for each of the below):     Project implements a small point for each of the below):     Project implements a small point for each of the below in intermunicipal level, improve region-wide or multiple municipalities' safey.     Project implements areas, improve community structure in growing suburity, preserve open space and agricultural land, make communicaties more lhable, increase communities'     transportation options, manage congestion and mobility at a regional or intermunicipal lavel, improve region-wide or multiple municipalities' safey.     Project requires, or is an outcome from, a Travel Demand Management (TMA) Plan, a plan which goes beyond a traffic angineering study and includes other travel demand     management strategies, such as caponice, vanopolity, awaling, biking, carbane, bikelane, transportation, commuter buses, park & ride los, sitemative parking strategies which     encourage reduced nato use.     Project tequinediment or hardre to a CDC "big Initiative" <u>Ob</u> has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category     which results in a negative score).     RecionAL BENEFIT SUBTORL SCIENCE     ComMUNITY (LO FORTS SCIENCE)     RecionAL BENEFIT SUBTORL SCIENCE     Compatibility (B points)     Project implements care accomment fortures (e.g. shared drivewaye, raised melatas, service roads, dediclated turing lanes, driveway reduction, and croase-sesement
<ul> <li>Suburban Town Center Development</li> <li>Guidway Transt System with Transt Oriented Development</li> <li>Integrated Corridor Management Program</li> <li>Demand Management Program</li> <li>Up to 4 points cumulatively (award 1 point for each of the below):</li> <li>Project implements a small portion of one or more OTCS "Big Initiatives."</li> <li>Project contributes to a region-wide (inclusive of 3 or more municipalities) initative, or initiative of broad geographic scope and impact, aimed at one or more of CCTs "Big Initiatives."</li> <li>Project contributes to a region-wide (inclusive of 3 or more municipalities) initative, or initiative of proad geographic scope and impact, aimed at one or more of CCTs "Big Initiatives."</li> <li>Project spartally funded by innovative funding sources/mechanisms or intermunicipal partnerships, scuch as: impact or multipal municipalities' safety.</li> <li>Project to another form, a Travel Demand Management (TDM) Plan, a plan which goes beyond a raffic engineering study and includes other travel demand management strategies, such as: carbonic plant, a travel of mand an another project is a raffic engineering study and includes other travel demand management strategies, such as: carbonic plant, a travel of regional scale (a negative impact os a region-wide to raginal scale (a negative impact os a region-wide in any category which results in a negative sore).</li> <li>Project supports an impact, positive or negative) on the region as a whole. Projects positive or negative impact of support and project locals.</li> <li>Project supports an impact described below in any category which results in a negative sore).</li> <li>Project supports an impact described below in any category which results in a negative sore).</li> <li>Project indement or barrie to a CDTC "Big Initiative" <u>ADD</u> has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category which results in a negative sore).&lt;</li></ul>
Guideway Transit System with Transit-Oriented Development     Horgram     Demand Management Program     Demand Management Program     Defect Apoints comutatively Jaward 1 point for each of the below):     Project implements a small point for each of the below):     Project implements a small point for each of the below):     Project implements a small point for each of the below):     Project implements a small point for each of the below):     Project implements a small point for each of the below):     Project implements a small point for each of the below):     Project implements a small point for each of the below):     Project molitives or a rome on el CDTCS "Big Initiative."     Project implements a small point for ada of the below in intermunicipal level, improve region-wide or multiple municipalities' safety.     Project implements structure in growing suburits, presence open space and agricultural land, make communicities' transportation options, manage congestion and mobility at a regional or intermunicipal partnerships, such as: impact or multiple municipalities' safety.     Project requires, or is an outcome from, a Trave Demand Management (TMN) Plan, a plan which goes beyond a traffic engineering study and includes other travel demand management strategies us that: a caponoling, withing, buing, carbane, bikelaute, transur, commuter buses, park & ride loss, iternative parking strategies which encourage reduced auto use.     Project requires, or is an outcome from, a Trave Demand Management (TMN) Plan, a plan which goes beyond a traffic engineering study and includes other travel demand management strategies tech los kown impact, positive or negative) on the region as a whole. Projects positive or negative effects are contained to the immediate project     surroundings or project logal.     Project requires a construct of any impact described below in any category which results in a negative score).     Project supports an impediment or barrier to a CDTC* Big Initiative* <u>AND</u> has a negative imp
Integrated Corridor Management Program     Up to 4 points cumulatively (award 1 point for each of the below):     Project implements a small portition of neor or more of CDTCs <sup>®</sup> Hig initiatives."     Project contributes to a region-wide (inclusive of 3 or more municipalities) initiative, or initiative of broad geographic scope and impact, almed at one or more of the following:     revitalize urban areas, improve community structure in growing suburbs, preserve open space and agricultural land, make communities more livable, increase communities'     transportation options, manage congestion and mobility at a regional or intermunicipal level, improve region-wide or multiple municipalities' safety.     Project is partially funded by innovative funding sources/mechanisms or intermunicipal partnerships, such as: impact or mitigation fees, user fees, dedicated transportation     frees, public/private partnerships, intermunicipal level, improve region-wide or multiple municipalities' safety.     Project reguries, or is an outcome from, a Travel Demand Management (TOM) Plan, a plan which goes beyond a traffic engineering study and includes other travel demand     management strategies, such as: carpooling, wappooling, walking, bing, carshare, bikeshare, transit, commuter buses, park & ride lots, alternative parking strategies which     encourage reduced auto use.     Project so protos an impediment or barrier to a CDTC <sup>®</sup> Bg initiative <sup>®</sup> <u>QB</u> has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category     which results in a negative score).     ReGIONAL BENEFIT SUBTOTISED SUBTOR SU
Demand Management Program     Up to 4 points cumulatively (award 1 point for each of the below):         Project contributes to a region-wide (inclusive of 3 or more of CDTC's "Big initiatives."         Project contributes to a region-wide (inclusive of 3 or more of CDTC's "Big initiatives."         Project is partially funded by innovative funding sources/mechanisms or intermunicipal partnerships, such as community structure in growing suburbs, preserve open space and agricultural land, make communities more livable, increase community structure in growing suburbs, preserve open space and agricultural land, make communities more livable, increase community structure in growing suburbs, preserve open space and agricultural land, make communities more livable, increase community structure in growing suburbs, preserve open space and agricultural land, make communities more livable, increase continuunties of the suburb indives of the travel demand management strategies, such as canopolitic, such as canopolitic, wante be made and sussement (TDM) Plan, a plan which goes beyond a traffic engineering study and includes other travel demand management strategies, such as canopolitie, wanted ber and the negion as a whole. Projects positive or negative effects are contained to the immediate project surrounding or project locale.         Project isoporta in impediment or barrier to a CDTC "Big Initiative" <u>Di</u> has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category which results in a negative score).         Project supports an impediment or barrier to a CDTC "Big Initiative" <u>AND</u> has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category which results in a negative score).         Project supports an impediment or barrier to a CDTC "Big Initiative" <u>AND</u> has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category which results in a negative score).         Project impediments ar
Up to 4 points cumulatively (award 1 point for each of the below):         • Project implements a small portion of neor ormore of CDTS* "Big inflatives."         • Project contributes to a region-wide (inclusive of 3 or more municipalities) instatives, or initiative of broad geographic scope and impact, aimed at one or more of the following:         • Project is partially funded by innovative funding sources/mechanisms or intermunicipal level, improve region-wide or multiple municipalities' safety.         • Project is partially funded by innovative funding sources/mechanisms or intermunicipal partnerships, such as: impact or multiple municipalities' safety.         • Project registres or is an outcome from, a Travel Demand Management (TOM) Plan, a plan which goes beyond a traffic engineering study and includes other travel demand management strategies, such as: carpeoling, vanpooling, walking, bing, carshare, bikeshare, transit, commuter buses, park & ride lots, alternative parking strategies which encourage reduced auto use.         Project bas numing of project locale.       Project registres or a CDTC**Big Initiative** OB has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category which results in a negative score).       ReGIONAL BENEFITS UBJOTAL SCORE         COMMUNITY COLIFE & COLIFY (10) POINTS POSIBLE)       Regional colification or a colification from a Linkage Study, town center plan, or similar plan and aligns transportation system with existing or desired land uses.       I point for neor both of the following:         • Project implements a recommendiation from a Linkage Study, town center plan, or similar plan and aligns transportation system with existing or desired land uses.
Project Implements a small portion of one or more of CDTC "Big Initiatives."     Project nontributes to a region-web (inclusive of 3 or more multiplaticles) initiative, or initiative of bread geographic scope and impact, aimed at one or more of the following:     revitatize urban areas, improve community structure in growing suburbs, preserve open space and agricultural land, make communities more livable, increase communities'     transportation options, manage congestion and mobility at a regional or intermunicipal partnerships, such as: impact or multiple municipalities' safety.     Project is partially funded by innovative funding sources/mechanisms or intermunicipal partnerships, such as: impact or mitigation fees, user fees, dedicated transportation     fies, public/private partnerships, intermunicipal farantering, etc.     Project is partially funded by innovative funding sources/mechanisms or intermunicipal partnerships, such as: impact or mitigation fees, user fees, dedicated transportation     fies, public/private partnerships, interve demand     management strategies, such as: compooling, vanpooling,
Project contributes to a region-wide (inclusive of 3 or more municipalities) initiative of bread geographic scope and impact, aimed at one or more of the following:     retransportation options, manage congestion and mobility at a regional or Intermunicipal level, improve region-wide or multiple municipalities' safety.     Project is partially funded by innovative funding sources/mechanisms or intermunicipal partnerships, such as: impact or mitigation fees, user fees, dedicated transportation     fees, public/private partnerships, intermunicipal financial partnership, such as: impact or mitigation fees, user fees, dedicated transportation     fees, public/private partnerships, intermunicipal financial partnering, etc.     Project requires, or is an outcome from, a Travel Demand Management (TOM) Plan, a plan which goes beyond a traffic engineering study and includes other travel demand     management strategies, such as: carpooling, walking, biking, carshare, bikeshare, transit, commuter buses, park & ride lots, alternative parking strategies which     encourage reduced atto use.     Project fage function for nown impact, positive or negative (Departnerships, Such as: any longent of barrier to a CDTC "Big Initiative" <u>OR</u> has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category     which results in a negative score).     Project supports an impediment or barrier to a CDTC "Big Initiative" <u>AND</u> has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category     which results in a negative score).     Project impediment or barrier to a CDTC "Big Initiative" <u>AND</u> has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category     which results in a negative score).     Project implements a recommendation from a Linkage Study, town center plan, or similar plan and aligns transportation system with existing or desired land uses.     Project implements a accosm management fatures (e.
revitalize urban areas, improve community structure in growing suburbs, preserve open space and agricultural land, make communities more livable, increase communities' transportation options, manage congestion and mobility at a regional or intermunicipal level, improve region-wide or multiple municipalities' safety.  Project is partially funded by innovative funding sources/mechanisms or intermunicipal partnerships, such as: impact or mitigation fees, user fees, dedicated transportation fees, public/private partnerships, intermunicipal flancial partnership, such as: impact or mitigation fees, user fees, dedicated transportation fees, public/private partnerships, includes other travel demand management strategies, such as: carpoling, vanpooling, wanking, biking, carshare, bikeshare, transit, commuter buses, park & ride lots, alternative parking strategies which encourage reduced auto use. Project tas neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on the region as a whole. Projects positive or negative effects are contained to the immediate project surroundings or project locale. Project supports an impediment or barrier to a CDTC 'Big Initiative' <u>AND</u> has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category which results in a negative score). <b>EXECOMMUNITY OF LIFE &amp; CULLY (OF DOINTS POSIBLE)</b> Land Use Compatibility (3 points) <b>Z points for the following</b> • Project implements are recommendation from a Linkage Study, town center plan, or similar plan and aligns transportation system with existing or desired land uses. <b>I point for one or both of the following</b> • Project inplements access management features (e.g. shared driveways, raised medians, service roads, dedicated turning lanes, driveway reduction, and cross-easement tarcess) which remove transportation/and use comflicts between transportation and development. Project includes, willies, introduces, or implements focus engative or negative or adual desc. <b>I point for one or both of the following</b> • Pr
transportation options, manage congestion and mobility at a regional or intermunicipal level, improve region-wide or multiple municipalities' safety.  Project Is partially funded by innovative funding sources/mechanisms or intermunicipal partnerships, such as: impact or mitigation fees, user fees, dedicated transportation fees, public/private partnerships, intermunicipal financial partnering, etc. Project requires, or is an outcome from, Travel Demand Wanagement (TDM) Plan, a plan which goes beyond a traffic engineering study and includes other travel demand management strategies, such as: carpooling, vanpooling, walking, biking, carshare, bikeshare, transit, commuter buses, park & ride lots, alternative parking strategies which encourage reduced ato use. Project nas neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on the region as a whole. Projects positive or negative effects are contained to the immediate project survivaling or project locale. Project supports an impediment or barrier to a CDTC "Big Initiative" <u>AND</u> has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category which results in a negative score). ReGIONAL BENEFIT SUBTOTAL SCORE CoMMUNITY OUTLY to EFE & CUITY (10 POINTS POSSIBLS) Eard Use Compatibility (3 points) Project inglements a recommendation from a Linkage Study, town center plan, or similar plan and aligns transportation system with existing or desired land uses. Project interformance are informediate or form conflicts. Project interformation from increase Busen of from vieways, raised medians, service roads, dedicated turning lanes, driveway reduction, and cross-easement access) which remove transportation/and use conflicts. Project introduces, or implements local mitigation fees, such as by means of a Municipal EES, or other significant developer or business contributions for any opticat ladgers dating using and of aligns transportation addevelopment. Project introduces, or implements local mitigation fees, such as by means of a Munic
Project is partially funded by innovative funding sources/mechanisms or intermunicipal partnerships, such as: impact or mitigation fees, user fees, dedicated transportation fees, public/private partnerships, intermunicipal financial partnering, etc.     Project requires, or is an outcome from, a Travel Demand Management (TDM) Plan, a plan which goes beyond a traffic engineering study and includes other travel demand management strategies, such as: carpooling, vanpooling, walking, biking, carshare, bikeshare, transit, commuter buses, park & ride lots, alternative parking strategies which encourage reduced auto use.     Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on the region as a whole. Projects positive or negative effects are contained to the immediate project surroundings or project locale.     Project supports an impediment or barrier to a CDTC "Big Initiative" <u>QR</u> has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact described below in any category which results in a negative score).     Project supports an impediment or barrier to a CDTC "Big Initiative" <u>AND</u> has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact described below in any category which results in a negative score).     REGIONAL BENEFIT SUBTOTAL SCORE COMMUNITY OULTY OF UFE & ECUITY (10 POINTS POSSIBLE)     Land Use compatibility (1 points)     Project implements a recommendation from a Linkage Study, town center plan, or similar plan and aligns transportation system with existing or desired land uses.     I point for ne or both of the following     Project inglements a recommendation from a Linkage Study, town center plan, or similar plan and aligns transportation system with existing or desired land uses.     I point for new cransportation/land use conflicts.     Project induces, or implements local mitigation fees, such as by means of a Municipal GEIS, or other significant developer or business contributions for any potential degradation from increased facility utilization or from conflicts between t
Project is partially funded by innovative funding sources/mechanisms or intermunicipal partnerships, such as: impact or mitigation fees, user fees, dedicated transportation fees, public/private partnerships, intermunicipal financial partnerships, such as: carpooling, value bemand Management (TDM) Plan, a plan which goes beyond a traffic engineering study and includes other travel demand management strategies, such as: carpooling, values bemand Management (TDM) Plan, a plan which goes beyond a traffic engineering study and includes other travel demand Management strategies, such as: carpooling, values bemand Management (TDM) Plan, a plan which goes beyond a traffic engineering study and includes other travel demand Management strategies, such as: carpooling, values between the study and includes other travel demand Management strategies, such as: carpooling, values between travel bemand Vanagement (TDM) Plan, a plan which goes beyond a traffic engineering study and includes other travel demand Management strategies, such as: atterative parking strategies which encourage reduced auto use. Project supports an impediment or barrier to a CDTC "Big Initiative" <u>AND</u> has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category which results in a negative score). REGIONAL BENEFIT SUBTOTAL SCORE COMMUNITY OF UFE & EQUITY (10 POINTS POSSIBLE) Land Use Compatibility (3 points) Project implements a recommendation from a Linkage Study, town center plan, or similar plan and aligns transportation system with existing or desired land uses. 1 point for one or both of the following Project tailex, or intilement local mitigation fees, such as by means of a Municipal GEIS, or other significant developer or business contributions for any potential degradation from increased facility utilization or from conflicts between transportation and development. Project induces a new, significant conflict between transportation and development. Project induces a new, significant conflict betwee
fees, public/private partnerships, intermunicipal financial partnering, etc.         • Project requires, or is an outcome from, a Travel Demand Management (TDM) Plan, a plan which goes beyond a traffic engineering study and includes other travel demand management strategies, such as: carpooling, wanpoling, walking, bikking, carshare, bikeshare, transit, commuter buses, park & ride lots, alternative parking strategies which encourage reduced auto use.         Project supports an impediment or barrier to a CDTC "Big Initiative" OB has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category which results in a negative score).         Project supports an impediment or barrier to a CDTC "Big Initiative" OB has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category which results in a negative score).         COMMUNITY OLIFE & EQUITY (10 POINTS POSSIBLE)       REGIONAL BENEFIT SUBTOTAL SCORE         Community Quality of Life & EQUITY (10 POINTS POSSIBLE)       REGIONAL BENEFIT SUBTOTAL SCORE         Lind Use Compatibility (3 points)       2         Project implements a recommendation from a Linkage Study, town center plan, or similar plan and aligns transportation system with existing or desired land uses.       1         Project implements a crease management features (e.g. shared driveways, raised medians, service roads, dedicated turning lanes, driveway reduction, and cross-easement access) which remove transportation from conflicts between transportation and development.         Project implements access danagement features (e.g. shared driveways, raised medians, service roads, dedicated turning lanes, driveway reduction, and cro
<ul> <li>Project requires, or is an outcome from, a Travel Demand Management (TOM) Plan, a plan which goes beyond a traffic engineering study and includes other travel demand management strategies, such as: carpooling, vanpooling, walking, biking, carshare, bikeshare, transit, commuter buses, park &amp; ride lots, alternative parking strategies which encourage reduced auto use.</li> <li>Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on the region as a whole. Projects positive or negative impact is any impact described below in any category which results in a negative score).</li> <li>Project supports an impediment or barrier to a CDTC "Big Initiative" <u>AND</u> has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category which results in a negative score).</li> <li>REGIONAL BENEFIT SUBTOTAL SCORE</li> <li>COMMUNITY QUAITY OF LIF &amp; CQUITY (10 POINTS POSSIBLE)</li> <li>Land Use Compatibility (3 points)</li> <li>Project implements a recommendation from a Linkage Study, town center plan, or similar plan and aligns transportation system with existing or desired land uses.</li> <li>Spoint for the following:         <ul> <li>Project implements accommendation from a Linkage Study, town center plan, or similar plan and aligns transportation system with existing or desired land uses.</li> <li>Project inplements access management features (e.g. shared driveways, raised medians, service roads, dedicated turning lanes, driveway reduction, and cross-easement access) which remove transportation, and use conflicts.</li> <li>Project includes, utilizes, introduces, or implements local mitigation fees, such as by means of a Municipal GEIS, or other significant developer or business contributions for any potential degradation from increased facility utilization or from conflicts between transportation and development.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Project includes, utilizes, introduces, orimplements lo</li></ul>
management strategies, such as: carpooling, vanpooling, walking, biking, carshare, bikeshare, transit, commuter buses, park & ride lots, alternative parking strategies which encourage reduced auto use. Project as protect for known impact, positive or negative) on the region as a whole. Projects positive or negative effects are contained to the immediate project surroundings or project locale. Project supports an impediment or barrier to a CDTC "Big Initiative" <u>OR</u> has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category which results in a negative score). Project supports an impediment or barrier to a CDTC "Big Initiative" <u>AND</u> has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category which results in a negative score). <b>REGIONAL BENEFIT SUBTOTAL SCORE</b> <b>COMMUNITY OLITER &amp; COUTY (10 POINTS POSSIBLE)</b> Land Use Compatibility (3 points) <b>2 points for the following:</b> • Project implements a recommendation from a Linkage Study, town center plan, or similar plan and aligns transportation system with existing or desired land uses. <b>1 point for one or both of the following</b> • Project implements access management features (e.g. shared driveways, raised medians, service roads, dedicated turning lanes, driveway reduction, and cross-easement access) which renove transportation/land use conflicts. • Project indudes, utilizes, introduces, or implements local mitigation fees, such as by means of a Municipal GELS, or other significant developer or business contributions for any potential degradation from increased facility utilization or from conflicts between transportation and development. Project tinglements changes study on land use compatibility. Project maintains existing or implements changes with neutral impacts with regard to land use. Project singentical conflicts between transportation system and land use. • To advance projects for the use, maintenance or improvement of existing infrastructure • To advance projects in mai
encourage reduced auto use. Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on the region as a whole. Projects positive or negative effects are contained to the immediate project surroundings or project locale. Project supports an impediment or barrier to a CDTC "Big Initiative" <u>OR</u> has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category which results in a negative score). REGIONAL BENEFIT SUBTOTAL SCORE COMMUNITY QUALITY OF LIFE & EQUITY (10 POINTS POSSIBLE) End Use Compatibility (3 points) 2 points for the following: Project implements a recommendation from a Linkage Study, town center plan, or similar plan and aligns transportation system with existing or desired land uses. 1 point for ore or both of the following Project indudes, utilizes, introduces, or implements local mitigation fees, such as by means of a Municipal GELS, or other significant developer or business contributions for any potential degradation from increased facility utilization or from conflicts between transportation and development. Project includes, utilizes, introduces, or implements local mitigation fees, such as by means of a Municipal GELS, or other significant developer or business contributions for any potential degradation from increased facility utilization or from conflicts between transportation and development. Project includes, utilizes, introduces, or implements local mitigation fees, such as by means of a Municipal GELS, or other significant developer or business contributions for any potential degradation from increased facility utilization or from conflicts between transportation and development. Project insplements changes may the setting or desired land use. Project supports 5 or more of the following Project sort ports for the use, maintenance or improvement of existing infrastructure To advance projects for municipal centers To advance projects for the use, maintenance or improvement of existing infrastructure To advance projects for municipal cente
Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on the region as a whole. Projects positive or negative effects are contained to the immediate project surroundings or project locale.         Project supports an impediment or barrier to a CDTC "Big initiative" <u>OR</u> has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category which results in a negative score).         Project supports an impediment or barrier to a CDTC "Big initiative" <u>AND</u> has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category which results in a negative score).         REGIONAL BENEFIT SUBTOTAL SCORE         COMMUNITY QUALITY OF LIFE & EQUITY (LO POINTS POSSIBLE)         Land Use Compatibility (3 points)         2 points for the following:         • Project implements a recommendation from a Linkage Study, town center plan, or similar plan and aligns transportation system with existing or desired land uses.         1 point for one or both of the following         • Project inplements a recommendation from a Linkage Study, town center plan, or similar plan and aligns transportation system with existing or desired land uses.         1 point for one or both of the following         • Project inplements access management features (e.g. shared driveways, raised medians, service roads, dedicated turning lanes, driveway reduction, and cross-easement access) which remove transportation/land use conflicts.         • Project introduces, utilizes, introduces, or implements local mitigation free, such as by means of a Municipal GEIS, or other significant developer or business contributions for any potertal
surroundings or project locale. Project supports an impediment or barrier to a CDTC "Big Initiative" <u>OR</u> has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category which results in a negative score). Project supports an impediment or barrier to a CDTC "Big Initiative" <u>AND</u> has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category which results in a negative score). <b>REGIONAL BENEFIT SUBTOTAL SCORE</b> COMMUNITY QUALITY OF UFE & COUITY (10 POINTS POSSIBLE) <b>REGIONAL BENEFIT SUBTOTAL SCORE Commendation from a Linkage Study, town center plan, or similar plan and aligns transportation system with existing or desired land uses.  1 points for the following: • Project implements a recommendation from a Linkage Study, town center plan, or similar plan and aligns transportation system with existing or desired land uses.  1 point for one or both of the following • Project includes, utilizes, introduces, or implements local mitigation fees, such as by means of a Municipal GEIS, or other significant developer or business contributions for any potential degradation from increased facility utilization or from conflicts between transportation and development. Project includes, a new, significant conflict between transportation and use.  <b>Smart Growth (3 points)</b> Project supports 5 or more of the following New York State Smart Growth criteria; • To advance projects in developed areas or areas designated for concentrated infill development in a municipally approved comprehensive land use plan, local waterfront revitalization plan infrastructure • To advance projects in developed areas or areas designated for concentrated infill development in a municipally approved comprehensive land use plan, local waterfront revitalization plan and/or brownfield opportunity area plan • To protect, preserve and enhance the state's resources, including agricultural land, forests surface and ground water, air quality, recreation and open space, scenic</b>
Project supports an impediment or barrier to a CDTC "Big Initiative" <u>OR</u> has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category which results in a negative score). Project supports an impediment or barrier to a CDTC "Big Initiative" <u>AND</u> has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category which results in a negative score). REGIONAL BENEFIT SUBTOTAL SCORE COMMUNITY QUALITY OF LIFE & EQUITY (10 POINTS POSSIBLE) Land Use Compatibility (3 points) 2 points for the following: Project implements a recommendation from a Linkage Study, town center plan, or similar plan and aligns transportation system with existing or desired land uses. 1 point for one or both of the following Project implements access management features (e.g. shared driveways, raised medians, service roads, dedicated turning lanes, driveway reduction, and cross-easement access) which remove transportation/land use conflicts. Project includes, utilize, introduces, or implements local mitigation fees, such as by means of a Municipal GEIS, or other significant developer or business contributions for any potential degradation from increased facility utilization or from conflicts between transportation and development. Project includes, utilize, introduces, or implements local mitigation system and land use. Project introduces a new, significant conflicts between transportation and law compatibility. Project maintains existing or implements changes with neutral impacts with regard to land use. Project introduces a new, significant conflict between transportation system and land use. Project introduces a new, significant conflict between transportation system and land use. Project introduces a new, significant conflict between transportation system and land use. Project induces or the following New York State Smart Growth criteria; To advance projects in developed areas or areas designated for concentrated infill development in a municipally approved co
which results in a negative score). Project supports an impediment or barrier to a CDTC "Big Initiative" <u>AND</u> has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any impact described below in any category which results in a negative score). REGIONAL BENEFIT SUBTOTAL SCORE COMMUNITY QUALITY OF LIFE & EQUITY (10 POINTS POSSIBLE) Land Use Compatibility (3 points) 2 points for the following: • Project implements a recommendation from a Linkage Study, town center plan, or similar plan and aligns transportation system with existing or desired land uses. 1 point for one or both of the following • Project implements access management features (e.g. shared driveways, raised medians, service roads, dedicated turning lanes, driveway reduction, and cross-easement access) which remove transportation/land use conflicts. • Project includes, utilizes, introduces, or implements local mitigation fees, such as by means of a Municipal GEIS, or other significant developer or business contributions for any potential degradation from increased facility utilization or from conflicts between transportation and development. • Project includes, utilizes, introduces, or implements local mitigation fees, such as by means of a Municipal GEIS, or other significant developer or business contributions for any potential degradation from increased facility utilization or from conflicts between transportation and development. • Project includes, utilizes, introduces, or implements local mitigation system and land use. • Project introduces and the support of the following New York State Smart Growth criteria; • To advance projects for the use, maintenance or improvement of existing infrastructure • To advance projects in developed areas or areas designated for concentrated infil development in a municipally approved comprehensive land use plan, local waterfront revitalization plan and/or brownfield opportunity area plan • To advance projects in developed areas or areas designated for concentrated infil development in a municipally appro
Project supports an impediment or barrier to a CDTC "Big Initiative" AND has a negative impact of regional scale (a negative impact is any Impact described below in any category which results in a negative score).  REGIONAL BENEFIT SUBTOTAL SCORE COMMUNITY QUALITY OF LIFE & EQUITY (10 POINTS POSSIBLE)  Land Use Compatibility (3 points)  2 points for the following:  Project implements a recommendation from a Linkage Study, town center plan, or similar plan and aligns transportation system with existing or desired land uses.  1 point for one or both of the following  Project implements access management features (e.g. shared driveways, raised medians, service roads, dedicated turning lanes, driveway reduction, and cross-easement access) which remove transportation/land use conflicts.  Project includes, utilizes, introduces, or implements local mitigation fees, such as by means of a Municipal GEIS, or other significant developer or business contributions for any potential degradation from increased facility utilization or from conflicts between transportation and development. Project thirtoduces a new, significant conflict between transportation system and land use.  Project supports 5 or more of the following New York State Smart Growth criteria; To advance projects in municipal centers To advance projects in municipal centers To advance projects in municipal centers To advance projects in development estate's resources, including agricultural land, forests surface and ground water, air quality, recreation and open space, scenic areas and significant historic and archeological resources. To forte, proved Landence or imperiment development in a municipally approved comprehensive land use plan, local waterfront revitalization plan and/or brownfield opportunity area plan To protect, preserve and enhance the state's resources, including agricultural land, forests surface and ground water, air quality, recreation and open space, scenic areas and significant historic and archeological resources, including agricultural
which results in a negative score).
REGIONAL BENEFIT SUBTOTAL SCORE         COMMUNITY QUALITY OF LIFE & EQUITY (LO POINTS POSSIBLE)         Land Use Compatibility (3 points)           2 points for the following: <ul> <li>Project implements a recommendation from a Linkage Study, town center plan, or similar plan and aligns transportation system with existing or desired land uses.</li> </ul> 1 point for one or both of the following: <ul> <li>Project implements access management features (e.g. shared driveways, raised medians, service roads, dedicated turning lanes, driveway reduction, and cross-easement access) which remove transportation/land use conflicts.</li> <li>Project includes, utilizes, introduces, or implements local mitigation fees, such as by means of a Municipal GEIS, or other significant developer or business contributions for any potential degradation from increased facility utilization or from conflicts between transportation and development.         Project introduces a new, significant conflict between transportation system and land use.         Project introduces a new, significant conflict between transportation system and land use.         Example Start Growth (3 points)         Project supports 5 or more of the following New York State Smart Growth criteria;         To advance projects in municipal centers         To advance projects in municipal centers</li></ul>
COMMUNITY QUALITY OF LIFE & EQUITY (10 POINTS POSSIBLE)  Land Use Compatibility (3 points)  2 points for the following:  Project implements a recommendation from a Linkage Study, town center plan, or similar plan and aligns transportation system with existing or desired land uses.  1 point for one or both of the following  Project implements access management features (e.g. shared driveways, raised medians, service roads, dedicated turning lanes, driveway reduction, and cross-easement access) which remove transportation/land use conflicts. Project includes, utilizes, introduces, or implements local mitigation fees, such as by means of a Municipal GEIS, or other significant developer or business contributions for any potential degradation from increased facility utilization or from conflicts between transportation and development. Project introduces a new, significant conflict between transportation system and land use. Project introduces a new, significant conflict between transportation system and land use. Project introduces a new, significant conflict between transportation system and land use. Project introduces a new, significant conflict between transportation system and land use. Project supports 5 or more of the following New York State Smart Growth criteria; To advance projects in municipal centers To advance projects in municipal centers To advance projects in developed areas or areas designated for concentrated infill development in a municipally approved comprehensive land use plan, local waterfront revitalization plan and/or brownfield opportunity area plan To protect, preserve and enhance the state's resources, including agricultural land, forests surface and ground water, air quality, recreation and open space, scenic areas and significant historic and archeological resources To forture or advance and comparent downlawneant downlawneant the aphancement of headuti in public spaces, the divertity and
Land Use Compatibility (3 points)       Image: Project implements a recommendation from a Linkage Study, town center plan, or similar plan and aligns transportation system with existing or desired land uses.       Image: Project implements a recommendation from a Linkage Study, town center plan, or similar plan and aligns transportation system with existing or desired land uses.       Image: Project implements a ceres management features (e.g. shared driveways, raised medians, service roads, dedicated turning lanes, driveway reduction, and cross-easement access) which remove transportation/land use conflicts.       Image: Project includes, utilizes, introduces, or implements local mitigation fees, such as by means of a Municipal GEIS, or other significant developer or business contributions for any potential degradation from increased facility utilization or from conflicts between transportation and development.       Image: Project introduces a new, significant conflict between transportation and development.         Project introduces a new, significant conflict between transportation system and land use.       Image: Project introduces a new, significant conflict between transportation system and land use.       Image: Project introduces a new, significant conflict between transportation system and land use.         Project supports 5 or more of the following New York State Smart Growth criteria;       Image: To advance projects in municipal centers       Image: To advance projects in developed areas or area designated for concentrated infill development in a municipally approved comprehensive land use plan, local waterfront revitalization plan and/or brownfield opportunity area plan       Image: To advance projects in developed areas or areas designated for concentrated infill development in a municipally approved comprehensive land use
2 points for the following: <ul> <li>Project implements a recommendation from a Linkage Study, town center plan, or similar plan and aligns transportation system with existing or desired land uses.</li> <li>I point for one or both of the following</li> <li>Project implements access management features (e.g. shared driveways, raised medians, service roads, dedicated turning lanes, driveway reduction, and cross-easement access) which remove transportation/land use conflicts.</li> <li>Project includes, utilizes, introduces, or implements local mitigation fees, such as by means of a Municipal GEIS, or other significant developer or business contributions for any potential degradation from increased facility utilization or from conflicts between transportation and development.</li> <li>Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on land use compatibility. Project maintains existing or implements changes with neutral impacts with regard to land use.</li> </ul> <li>Project introduces a new, significant conflict between transportation system and land use.</li> <li><b>EAND USE COMPATIBILITY SCORE</b></li> <li>Smart Growth (3 points)</li> <li>Project s or more of the following New York State Smart Growth criteria;         <ul> <li>To advance projects for the use, maintenance or improvement of existing infrastructure</li> <li>To advance projects in municipal centers</li> <li>To advance projects in developed areas or areas designated for concentrated infill development in a municipally approved comprehensive land use plan, local waterfront revitalization plan and/or brownfield opportunity area plan</li> <li>To protect, preserve and enhance the state's resources, including agricultural land, forests surface and ground water, air quality, recreation and open space, scenic areas and significant historic and archeological resources</li> <ul></ul></ul></li>
<ul> <li>Project implements a recommendation from a Linkage Study, town center plan, or similar plan and aligns transportation system with existing or desired land uses.</li> <li>1 point for one or both of the following</li> <li>Project implements access management features (e.g. shared driveways, raised medians, service roads, dedicated turning lanes, driveway reduction, and cross-easement access) which remove transportation/land use conflicts.</li> <li>Project includes, utilizes, introduces, or implements local mitigation fees, such as by means of a Municipal GEIS, or other significant developer or business contributions for any potential degradation from increased facility utilization or from conflicts between transportation and development.</li> <li>Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on land use compatibility. Project maintains existing or implements changes with neutral impacts with regard to land use.</li> <li>Project supports 5 or more of the following New York State Smart Growth criteria;</li> <li>To advance projects for the use, maintenance or improvement of existing infrastructure</li> <li>To advance projects in developed areas or areas designated for concentrated infill development in a municipally approved comprehensive land use plan, local waterfront revitalization plan and/or brownfield opportunity area plan</li> <li>To protect, preserve and enhance the state's resources, including agricultural land, forests surface and ground water, air quality, recreation and open space, scenic areas and significant historic and archeological resources</li> </ul>
1 point for one or both of the following         • Project implements access management features (e.g. shared driveways, raised medians, service roads, dedicated turning lanes, driveway reduction, and cross-easement access) which remove transportation/land use conflicts.         • Project includes, utilizes, introduces, or implements local mitigation fees, such as by means of a Municipal GEIS, or other significant developer or business contributions for any potential degradation from increased facility utilization or from conflicts between transportation and development.         Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on land use compatibility. Project maintains existing or implements changes with neutral impacts with regard to land use.         Project introduces a new, significant conflict between transportation system and land use.         Project supports 5 or more of the following New York State Smart Growth criteria;         • To advance projects in municipal centers         • To advance projects in developed areas or areas designated for concentrated infill development in a municipally approved comprehensive land use plan, local waterfront revitalization plan and/or brownfield opportunity area plan         • To protect, preserve and enhance the state's resources, including agricultural land, forests surface and ground water, air quality, recreation and open space, scenic areas and significant historic and archeological resources
<ul> <li>Project implements access management features (e.g. shared driveways, raised medians, service roads, dedicated turning lanes, driveway reduction, and cross-easement access) which remove transportation/land use conflicts.</li> <li>Project includes, utilizes, introduces, or implements local mitigation fees, such as by means of a Municipal GEIS, or other significant developer or business contributions for any potential degradation from increased facility utilization or from conflicts between transportation and development.</li> <li>Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on land use compatibility. Project maintains existing or implements changes with neutral impacts with regard to land use.</li> <li>Project introduces a new, significant conflict between transportation system and land use.</li> <li><b>Smart Growth (3 points)</b></li> <li>Project supports 5 or more of the following New York State Smart Growth criteria;</li> <li>To advance projects for the use, maintenance or improvement of existing infrastructure</li> <li>To advance projects in developed areas or areas designated for concentrated infill development in a municipally approved comprehensive land use plan, local waterfront revitalization plan and/or brownfield opportunity area plan</li> <li>To protect, preserve and enhance the state's resources, including agricultural land, forests surface and ground water, air quality, recreation and open space, scenic areas and significant historic and archeological resources</li> <li>To forteer mixed land use and ensure the ensure of the ensure revitalization phoemetical and ground water, air quality, recreation and open space, scenic areas and significant historic and archeological resources</li> </ul>
access) which remove transportation/land use conflicts.  Project includes, utilizes, introduces, or implements local mitigation fees, such as by means of a Municipal GEIS, or other significant developer or business contributions for any potential degradation from increased facility utilization or from conflicts between transportation and development. Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on land use compatibility. Project maintains existing or implements changes with neutral impacts with regard to land use. Project introduces a new, significant conflict between transportation system and land use.  Smart Growth (3 points) Project supports 5 or more of the following New York State Smart Growth criteria; To advance projects for the use, maintenance or improvement of existing infrastructure To advance projects in developed areas or areas designated for concentrated infill development in a municipally approved comprehensive land use plan, local waterfront revitalization plan and/or brownfield opportunity area plan To protect, preserve and enhance the state's resources, including agricultural land, forests surface and ground water, air quality, recreation and open space, scenic areas and significant historic and archeological resources To protect preserve and enhance the state's resources including agricultural land, forests surface and ground water, air quality, recreation and open space, scenic areas and significant historic and archeological resources to development.
<ul> <li>Project includes, utilizes, introduces, or implements local mitigation fees, such as by means of a Municipal GEIS, or other significant developer or business contributions for any potential degradation from increased facility utilization or from conflicts between transportation and development.</li> <li>Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on land use compatibility. Project maintains existing or implements changes with neutral impacts with regard to land use.</li> <li>Project introduces a new, significant conflict between transportation system and land use.</li> <li><b>EXPOSITION COMPATIBILITY SCORE</b></li> <li><b>Smart Growth (3 points)</b></li> <li>Project supports 5 or more of the following New York State Smart Growth criteria;</li> <li>To advance projects for the use, maintenance or improvement of existing infrastructure</li> <li>To advance projects in municipal centers</li> <li>To advance projects in developed areas or areas designated for concentrated infill development in a municipally approved comprehensive land use plan, local waterfront revitalization plan and/or brownfield opportunity area plan</li> <li>To protect, preserve and enhance the state's resources, including agricultural land, forests surface and ground water, air quality, recreation and open space, scenic areas and significant historic and archeological resources</li> <li>To forter mixed land use and compact development downtown revitalization prownfield redevelopment the enhancement of baauty in public spaces, the diversity and</li> </ul>
In the potential degradation from increased facility utilization or from conflicts between transportation and development.   Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on land use compatibility. Project maintains existing or implements changes with neutral impacts with regard to land use.   Project introduces a new, significant conflict between transportation system and land use.   Example Compartibility Score   Smart Growth (3 points)   Project supports 5 or more of the following New York State Smart Growth criteria;   • To advance projects for the use, maintenance or improvement of existing infrastructure   • To advance projects in municipal centers   • To advance projects in developed areas or areas designated for concentrated infill development in a municipally approved comprehensive land use plan, local waterfront revitalization plan and/or brownfield opportunity area plan   • To protect, preserve and enhance the state's resources, including agricultural land, forests surface and ground water, air quality, recreation and open space, scenic areas and significant historic and archeological resources
Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on land use compatibility. Project maintains existing or implements changes with neutral impacts with regard to land use. Project introduces a new, significant conflict between transportation system and land use. <b>LAND USE COMPATIBILITY SCORE</b> Smart Growth (3 points) Project supports 5 or more of the following New York State Smart Growth criteria; • To advance projects for the use, maintenance or improvement of existing infrastructure • To advance projects in municipal centers • To advance projects in developed areas or areas designated for concentrated infill development in a municipally approved comprehensive land use plan, local waterfront revitalization plan and/or brownfield opportunity area plan • To protect, preserve and enhance the state's resources, including agricultural land, forests surface and ground water, air quality, recreation and open space, scenic areas and significant historic and archeological resources
to land use. Project introduces a new, significant conflict between transportation system and land use. LAND USE COMPATIBILITY SCORE Smart Growth (3 points) Project supports 5 or more of the following New York State Smart Growth criteria; To advance projects for the use, maintenance or improvement of existing infrastructure To advance projects in municipal centers To advance projects in developed areas or areas designated for concentrated infill development in a municipally approved comprehensive land use plan, local waterfront revitalization plan and/or brownfield opportunity area plan To protect, preserve and enhance the state's resources, including agricultural land, forests surface and ground water, air quality, recreation and open space, scenic areas and significant historic and archeological resources To foster mixed land uses and compact development development the enhancement of heauty in public spaces the diversity and
Project introduces a new, significant conflict between transportation system and land use.  LAND USE COMPATIBILITY SCORE  Smart Growth (3 points)  Project supports 5 or more of the following New York State Smart Growth criteria;  To advance projects for the use, maintenance or improvement of existing infrastructure  To advance projects in municipal centers  To advance projects in developed areas or areas designated for concentrated infill development in a municipally approved comprehensive land use plan, local waterfront revitalization plan and/or brownfield opportunity area plan  To protect, preserve and enhance the state's resources, including agricultural land, forests surface and ground water, air quality, recreation and open space, scenic areas and significant historic and archeological resources  To forter mixed land uses and compact development, development, the enhancement of beauty in public spaces, the diversity and
LAND USE COMPATIBILITY SCORE  Smart Growth (3 points)  Project supports 5 or more of the following New York State Smart Growth criteria;  To advance projects for the use, maintenance or improvement of existing infrastructure  To advance projects in municipal centers  To advance projects in developed areas or areas designated for concentrated infill development in a municipally approved comprehensive land use plan, local waterfront revitalization plan and/or brownfield opportunity area plan  To protect, preserve and enhance the state's resources, including agricultural land, forests surface and ground water, air quality, recreation and open space, scenic areas and significant historic and archeological resources  To factor mixed land uses and compact development downtown revitalization brownfield redevelopment the enhancement of heauty in public spaces, the diversity and
Smart Growth (3 points)         Project supports 5 or more of the following New York State Smart Growth criteria;         • To advance projects for the use, maintenance or improvement of existing infrastructure         • To advance projects in municipal centers         • To advance projects in developed areas or areas designated for concentrated infill development in a municipally approved comprehensive land use plan, local waterfront revitalization plan and/or brownfield opportunity area plan         • To protect, preserve and enhance the state's resources, including agricultural land, forests surface and ground water, air quality, recreation and open space, scenic areas and significant historic and archeological resources         • To foster mixed land uses and compact development downtown revitalization brownfield redevelopment the enhancement of heavity in public spaces, the diversity and
<ul> <li>Project supports 5 or more of the following New York State Smart Growth criteria;</li> <li>To advance projects for the use, maintenance or improvement of existing infrastructure</li> <li>To advance projects in municipal centers</li> <li>To advance projects in developed areas or areas designated for concentrated infill development in a municipally approved comprehensive land use plan, local waterfront revitalization plan and/or brownfield opportunity area plan</li> <li>To protect, preserve and enhance the state's resources, including agricultural land, forests surface and ground water, air quality, recreation and open space, scenic areas and significant historic and archeological resources</li> <li>To foster mixed land uses and compact development, downtown revitalization brownfield, redevelopment, the enhancement of heauty in public spaces, the diversity and</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>To advance projects for the use, maintenance or improvement of existing infrastructure</li> <li>To advance projects in municipal centers</li> <li>To advance projects in developed areas or areas designated for concentrated infill development in a municipally approved comprehensive land use plan, local waterfront revitalization plan and/or brownfield opportunity area plan</li> <li>To protect, preserve and enhance the state's resources, including agricultural land, forests surface and ground water, air quality, recreation and open space, scenic areas and significant historic and archeological resources</li> <li>To foster mixed land uses and compact development, downtown revitalization brownfield, redevelopment, the enhancement of heauty in public spaces, the diversity and</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>To advance projects in municipal centers</li> <li>To advance projects in developed areas or areas designated for concentrated infill development in a municipally approved comprehensive land use plan, local waterfront revitalization plan and/or brownfield opportunity area plan</li> <li>To protect, preserve and enhance the state's resources, including agricultural land, forests surface and ground water, air quality, recreation and open space, scenic areas and significant historic and archeological resources</li> <li>To foster mixed land uses and compact development, downtown revitalization brownfield, redevelopment, the enhancement of heauty in public spaces, the diversity and</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>To advance projects in developed areas or areas designated for concentrated infill development in a municipally approved comprehensive land use plan, local waterfront revitalization plan and/or brownfield opportunity area plan</li> <li>To protect, preserve and enhance the state's resources, including agricultural land, forests surface and ground water, air quality, recreation and open space, scenic areas and significant historic and archeological resources</li> <li>To foster mixed land uses and compact development, downtown revitalization brownfield, redevelopment, the enhancement of heauty in public spaces, the diversity and</li> </ul>
revitalization plan and/or brownfield opportunity area plan <ul> <li>To protect, preserve and enhance the state's resources, including agricultural land, forests surface and ground water, air quality, recreation and open space, scenic areas and significant historic and archeological resources</li> <li>To focter mixed land uses and compact development, downtown revitalization brownfield, redevelopment, the enhancement of heauty in public spaces, the diversity and</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>To protect, preserve and enhance the state's resources, including agricultural land, forests surface and ground water, air quality, recreation and open space, scenic areas and significant historic and archeological resources</li> <li>To foster mixed land uses and compact development, downtown revitalization brownfield, redevelopment, the enhancement of heauty in public spaces, the diversity and</li> </ul>
significant historic and archeological resources
• To forter mixed land uses and compact development, downtown revitalization brownfield, redevelopment, the enhancement of heavity in public spaces, the diversity and
affordability of housing in proximity to places of employment, recreation and commercial development and the integration of all income groups
To provide mobility through transportation choices including improved public transportation and reduced automobile dependency.
<ul> <li>To provide mobility through transportation choices including improved public transportation and reduced automobile dependency</li> <li>To coordinate between state and local government and municipal and regional planning.</li> </ul>
• To coordinate between state and local government and municipal and regional planning
• To participate in community based planning and conaboration
• To ensure predictability in building and land use codes
• To promote sustainability by strengthening existing and creating new communities which reduce greenhouse gas emissions and do not compromise the needs of future
generations, by among other means encouraging broad based public involvement in developing and implementing a community plan and ensuring the governance structure is
adequate to sustain and implement.
Project serves existing development and/or encourages one or more of the following: rehabilitation or densification of existing development; development of infill; growth in an
existing corridor within or contiguous to existing development; brownfield or greyfield redevelopment.
Project serves new development which encourages one or more of the following development characteristics: mixed use development; compact development; range of housing
types; jobs-housing balance; support for compact growth; or growth within or adjacent to an activity center.
Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on smart growth or only replaces appropriately scaled infrastructure.
Project contradicts smart growth by: encouraging creation of new sprawl; inducing new greenfield development not contiguous to existing development; supporting creation or
expansion of new low-density single-use development; providing capacity expansion to induce remote development or unknown future development. NOTE: Transportation
expansion of new low-density single-use development; providing capacity expansion to induce remote development or unknown future development. NOTE: Transportation investment serving existing low-density suburban or rural development is to be supported and not penalized with a negative score.
expansion of new low-density single-use development; providing capacity expansion to induce remote development or unknown future development. NOTE: Transportation investment serving existing low-density suburban or rural development is to be supported and not penalized with a negative score.
expansion of new low-density single-use development; providing capacity expansion to induce remote development or unknown future development. NOTE: Transportation investment serving existing low-density suburban or rural development is to be supported and not penalized with a negative score.

improvements are provided over a portion of the project which is significant relative to the overall project.	2
Project is within or directly connected to an EJ area and maintains existing infrastructure, with a primary purpose or significant focus on automobiles. Included are most highway resurfacing, traffic operations improvement, bridge deck repair, and preservation and rehabilitation type projects.	1
Project excludes EJ areas and maintains existing infrastructure, with a primary purpose or significant focus on automobiles. Included are most highway resurfacing, traffic operations improvement, bridge deck repair, and preservation and rehabilitation type projects.	0
Project is either A) within or directly connected to an EJ area and is new construction, vehicle capacity improvements, or reconstruction projects which add auto capacity or B) excludes EJ areas and has a primary purpose or significant focus on transit, bicycling, walking, or carpool.	-1
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE SCORE	
Accessibility / ADA / Universal Design/Human Services Transport (2 points)	
Project's primary purpose is to upgrade accessible features, introduce new accessible features, or remove barriers to universal access and is in an area identified as a high priority for access improvement/compliance in an ADA Transition Plan. Alternatively, project's primary purpose is improved operation or coordination of human services transport.	2
Project includes the addition or upgrade of accessibility features such as upgrading or adding ADA curb ramps, audio-visual signals, etc.	1
Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on accessibility/ADA/universal design/human services transport.	0
Project removes an accessible element without replacing or upgrading, adds features(s) which impede universal access, or otherwise compromises accessibility. Alternatively, project impedes operation or coordination of human services transport.	-1
ACCESSIBILITY/ADA/UNIVERSAL DESIGN/HUMAN SERVICES SCORE	
COMMUNITY QUALITY OF LIFE & EQUITY SUBTOTAL SCORE	0
APPROPRIATE INFRASTRUCTURE (10 POINTS POSSIBLE)	
Preservation/Renewal of Existing ( 5 points)	
Project reconstructs, renews, or preserves infrastructure (highway and bridge) with regional significance (inclusive of 3 or more municipalities) to the transportation system, such as a port, airport, transit system, or interstate system.	5



Project preserves or renews critical infrastructure or critical linkages (defined as facilities with greater importance to the transportation system, such as: bridges lacking a reasonable redundant parallel route, major arterial providing community access or connectivity, etc.); and includes preservation, renewal, or upgrade to adjacent or associated facilities, such as: sidewalks, pedestrian crossings, ADA compliant features, safety components, bike lanes, etc.	4
Project preserves or renews critical infrastructure or critical linkages; or reduces future maintenance burden such as by reducing travel lanes of a roadway or removing a significantly underutilized facility from regional inventory.	3
Project has a primary or substantial portion of scope devoted to preservation of pavement, bridges, sidewalks, or other elements; and includes preservation, renewal, or upgra to adjacent or associated facilities, such as: sidewalks, pedestrian crossings, ADA compliant features, safety components, bike lanes, etc.	2
Project has a primary or substantial portion of scope devoted to preservation of pavement, bridges, sidewarks, or other elements. Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on preservation/renewal of existing infrastructure.	0
Project purpose is to add new auto capacity to an existing facility rather than improving existing system conditions or operational efficiency.	-1
Project purpose is to create an entirely new substantial roadway or other major auto capacity initiative which is not justified by a regional economic development project or a demonstrated serious congestion problem (e.g., an output from traffic model showing deterioration to unacceptable level of service).	-2
PRESERVATION/RENEWAL OF EXISTING SCO	RE
Project is transformative in nature, replacing infrastructure which primarily serves high or moderate speed through traffic with a facility that fully or substantially implements	
complete street design, i.e. includes 8 or more of the following 11 features:	5
<ul> <li>multimodalism</li> <li>transit infrastructure improvement</li> <li>sidewalk/bike trail connections or improvements</li> <li>appropriate road dieting</li> <li>speed reduction</li> <li>lane reduction</li> <li>lane width reduction</li> <li>shoulder improvements</li> <li>improved freight access</li> <li>green infrastructure substantially managing stormwater on local sites</li> <li>access management, as described above in the Land Use Compatibility category</li> </ul>	
assign 4 points; for the addition of 4 or 5 features, assign 3 points; and for the addition of 2 or 3 features, assign 2 points.	2 to 4
Project is a preservation/maintenance project but scope is inclusive of rehabilitation/upgrade to minor complete streets features such as sidewalks, pavement markings, plantings, etc. Alternatively, if road is rural in character with minimal demand for complete streets, shared use, or purposes other than through traffic, scope addresses one place-appropriate complete streets oriented rehab/upgrade such as to green infrastructure, plantings, adjacent/nearby trail, adequate shoulder width for occasional bicycle travel, etc.	1
Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on complete streets. Project removes, without replacement/upgrade, complete streets features, (those 11 features listed above). For the removal of 1 or 2 features, assign -1 point; and for the	0
removal of 3 or more features, assign -2 points.	-1 to -2
COMPLETE STREETS SCO	RE O
MULTI-MODALISM (10 POINTS POSSIBLE)	
Transit (5 points) Project substantially furthers a major CDTA regional transit initiative or a transit-related CDTC "Big Ticket" initiative. Project implements a new transit priority network or	
substantially expands transit or transit access.	5
Project is on or physically connects to a transit priority network and adds 3 or more transit components. Alternatively, project's primary purpose is transit improvement and ov 50% of cost is directed to transit components.	er 4
<ul> <li>Bus-only traver late</li> <li>Transit shelters, including concrete pad and access to board transit</li> <li>Concrete transit pull-offs (bus bays) adjacent to the roadway</li> <li>Curb extension at bus stops</li> <li>Sidewalks</li> <li>Transit signal priority Queue jumps</li> <li>Park and Ride lots of at least 25 spaces</li> <li>Innovative pedestrian crossings</li> <li>Accessibility above ADA guidelines</li> <li>Pedestrian signage throughout project area</li> <li>Land set aside for future transit components</li> <li>Multi-use paths</li> </ul>	
Project is on or physically connects to a transit priority network, and includes at least one new transit component or upgrade (renew or repair) to existing transit components. transit components are removed, there must be a net gain, with other transit component(s) added and/or upgraded. Project is not on and does not physically connect to a transit priority petwork but does have a transit route present and the project adds transit component(s)	f 3
Project is not on and does not physically connect to a transit priority network but does have a transit route present, and the project adds transit component(s). Project is not on and does not physically connect to a transit priority network, nor is a transit route present, and the project adds transit component(s).	1
Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on transit, and does not add, upgrade, or remove transit components. Project is not on or does not physically connect to a transit priority network and removes transit component(s) without replacement/upgrade	-1
Project is on or physically connects to a transit priority network and removes transit component(s) without replacement/upgrade. Alternatively, project is determined to have	-2
serious negative impact on transit. TRANSIT SCO	
Pedestrian (3 points)	
Project improves accessibility, safety, or connectivity of pedestrian infrastructure ~AND~ is within, or making a connection to, a Tier 1 Pedestrian District. Project improves accessibility, safety, or connectivity of pedestrian infrastructure ~AND~ is within, or making a connection to, a Tier 2 Pedestrian District	+3
Project improves accessibility, safety, or connectivity of pedestrian infrastructure while not being located within a defined pedestrian district.	+1
Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on pedestrian infrastructure. Project removes pedestrian infrastructure (e.g., sidewalk, crosswalk, ped signale, signage, etc.) without replacing or enhancing it	-1
Project removes pedesthan innastractare (e.g., . sidewark, crosswark, ped signars, signage, etc.) without replacing or enhancing it.	RE
Bicycle (2 points) Project is on, or making a connection to, the linear Bike Network and the project's primary purpose or significant focus is on bicycle infrastructure/accommodations	2
Project is not on or directly connected to the linear Bike Network but it improves accessibility, safety, or connectivity of bicycle infrastructure in a non-incidental way (e.g., project installs bike lane, widen shoulders specifically for bike usage, or implements comprehensive bicycle signage program). Projects such as highway repaving which may incidentally improve bicycle travel (e.g. by improving pavement condition) are excluded from receiving point value and are considered neutral.	1
Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on bicycle infrastructure/accommodations. Project removes bicycle infrastructure/accommodations (e.g., bike lane, multi-use path, signage, pavement markings, etc.) without replacing or enhancing it.	0
BICYCLE SCO MULTI-MODALISM SUBTOTAL SCO	RE O
ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH (8 POINTS POSSIBLE) Sensitive Areas Protection/Mitigation (2 points)	
Project includes a significant sustainable feature <u>AND</u> is not within 1/4 mile of an environmentally sensitive feature. (See lists below.)	2
Significant sustainable features include: retention/detention ponds new or improved wetlands green infrastructure (bioswales, porous pavement, etc.) native plant species planting invasive plant species removal historic building restoration stream restoration wildlife crossing construction other environmental mitigation strategies or significant sustainable features	

# CHITTENDEN COUNTY RPC Communities Planning Together

Environmentally sensitive features include:		
• sole source aquifers	<ul> <li>federal parks and lands</li> </ul>	
• aquifers	<ul> <li>state parks and forests</li> </ul>	
reservoirs	• state unique areas	
• water features (streams, lakes, rivers)	state wildlife management areas	
water reactines (streams, rakes, rivers)	• state whome management aleas	
• Wetlands	• county forests and preserves	
watersheds	<ul> <li>municipal parks and lands</li> </ul>	
• 100 year flood plains	land trust sites	
• rare animal nonulations	• NVS DEC lands	
• rare plant populations	Adirondack Park	
<ul> <li>significant ecological sites</li> </ul>	agricultural districts	
<ul> <li>significant ecological communities</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>agriculture parcels taxed as farmland</li> </ul>	
	a agriculture parcele in farm use	
• state historic sites	• agriculture parceis in farm use	
national historic sites	Class I & II soils	
<ul> <li>national historic register districts</li> </ul>		
Project includes a significant sustainable feature which proposes to fully mitigate any im	nact/risk AND is within 1/4 mile of an environmentally sensitive feature (See lists	
above 3	pacifisk <u>rive</u> is within 1/4 mile of an environmentally sensitive reactive. (See lists	1
above.)		
Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on environmentally se	nsitive areas OR includes identified minor environmental impact or risk of impact but	0
proposes to fully mitigate any and all impact/risk		0
Proposes to within 1/4 mile of on onvironmentally constitue feature is believed to have a r	stantial impact on the facture, and the scene does not prepare to fully mitigate the	
Project is within 1/4 mile of an environmentally sensitive feature, is believed to have a p	otential impact on the feature, and the scope does not propose to fully mitigate the	-1
impact/risk. Alternatively, project is deemed to include a serious environmental risk or	significant negative impact.	
	SENSITIVE AREA PROTECTION/MITIGATION SCORE	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction (2 points)		
Project reduces transportation greenhouse gas emissions through a travel demand redu	ction program or a mode shift to transit or non-motorized vehicles	
Floject reduces transportation greenhouse gas emissions through a travel demand redu		
2 points for project with a primary purpose (and over 50% of budget) devoted specifical	y to GHG Emissions Reduction	1 or 2
1 point for project which includes features likely to reduce GHG emissions, including tra	vel demand management, compact mixed-use development, etc.	
Project has neutral effect (no known impact, nositive or negative) on GHG emissions rec	uction	0
Project his indeed likely to increase transportation related CHC emissions		-1
Project is judged likely to increase transportation-related GHG emissions.		1
	GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS REDUCTION SCORE	
Alternative Fuels Support (2 points)		
Project includes infrastructure/programs which encourage electric, biofuel, natural gas,	or other alternative fuel usage, or encourage high efficiency vehicles, at the following	
levels of magnitude.		1 or 2
<ul> <li>2 point for displacement of over 1000 gas gallon equivalents (GGE's)</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>1 points for displacement of 1 to 1000 gas gallon equivalents (GGE's)</li> </ul>		
Dread has policied official in our impact positive or positive or policy		0
Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive of negative) on alternative rules.		0
Project removes without upgrading infrastructure/programs which encourage alternative	e fuel usage.	-1
	ALTERNATIVE FOELS SOPPORT SCORE	
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)		
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points) Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not car	tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt	
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points) Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap	tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt,	
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points) Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other end	tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access	
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points) Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environment to medical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs	tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features,	
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points) Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environment to medical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.	tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features,	1 or 2
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points) Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other env to medical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point. Project has paytral effect (no known impact positive or positive) on any additional env	tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features,	1 or 2
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)           Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environment comedical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.           Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional environment or the feature of the feature	tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues.	1 or 2 0
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)           Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environmential care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.           Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes	tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health.	1 or 2 0 -1
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)           Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environmential care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.           Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env           Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes	tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE	1 or 2 0 -1
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)           Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environmential care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.           Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env           Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes	tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE	1 or 2 0 -1 0
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)           Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environmedical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.           Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional environmental and health features or includes           ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)	tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE	1 or 2 0 -1 <b>0</b>
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)           Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environment or medical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.           Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes           ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)	tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE	1 or 2 0 -1 0
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)           Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environmedical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.           Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes           ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)           Economic Impact (5 points)	tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE	1 or 2 0 -1 0
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)         Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environmedical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.         Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env         Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes         ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)         Economic Impact (5 points)         2 points for the following:	tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE	1 or 2 0 -1 0
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)           Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environmential care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.           Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes           ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)           Economic Impact (5 points)           2 points for the following: Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Development	tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE Opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for	1 or 2 0 -1 0
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)         Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environmedical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.         Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env         Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes         ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)         Economic Impact (5 points)         2 points for the following:         Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Development that is consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points are points and points and points are project supports development that is consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points are points and points and points are points and points are points are points are points and points are points	tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE Opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for ad for the consistency with 1-4 strategies assign 1 point	1 or 2 0 -1 0
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)         Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environmedical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.         Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes         ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)         Economic Impact (5 points)         2 points for the following:         Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Deve descriptions of each strategy. For the consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points; and point is point.	ALTERNATIVE FOLLS SOFFORT SCORE tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE Opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for nd for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point.	1 or 2 0 -1 0
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)           Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environmedical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.           Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes           ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)           Economic Impact (5 points)           2 points for the following:           Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Deve descriptions of each strategy. For the consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points; a	ALTERNATIVE FOELS SOFFORT SCORE tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE Opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for nd for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point.	1 or 2 0 -1 0
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)         Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environmedical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.         Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes         ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)         Economic Impact (5 points)         2 points for the following:         Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Deve descriptions of each strategy. For the consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points; a         • Leverage & Collaborate       • Open New Doors	tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE Opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for and for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point.	1 or 2 0 -1 0
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)         Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environmedical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.         Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes         ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)         Economic Impact (5 points)         2 points for the following:         Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Deve descriptions of each strategy. For the consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points; a         • Leverage & Collaborate       • Open New Doors         • Prepare For Tomorrow       • Prepare For Tomorrow	tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE Opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for and for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point.	1 or 2 0 -1 <b>0</b> 0, 1, or 2
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)         Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environmedical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.         Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes         ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)         Economic Impact (5 points)         2 points for the following:         Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Deve descriptions of each strategy. For the consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points; a         • Leverage & Collaborate         • Open New Doors         • Prepare For Tomorrow         • Ruid A SuperFightway	ALTERNATIVE FOELS SOFFORT SCORE tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE Opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for nd for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point.	1 or 2 0 -1 <b>0</b> 0, 1, or 2
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)         Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environmential care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.         Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional environmental environmental environmental effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional environic reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes         ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)         Economic Impact (5 points)         2 points for the following:         Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Deve descriptions of each strategy. For the consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points; and Leverage & Collaborate         • Open New Doors       • Prepare For Tomorrow         • Build A SuperHighway	ALTERNATIVE FOELS SOFFORT SCORE tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE Opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for nd for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point.	1 or 2 0 -1 0 0, 1, or 2
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)         Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environmential care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.         Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional environmental and health features or includes         ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)         Economic Impact (5 points)         2 points for the following:         Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Deve descriptions of each strategy. For the consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points; a         • Leverage & Collaborate       • Open New Doors         • Prepare For Tomorrow       • Build A SuperHighway         • Bring Cities To Life       • Diffe	ALTERNATIVE FOLLS SOFFORT SCORE tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for nd for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point.	1 or 2 0 -1 <b>0</b> 0, 1, or 2
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)           Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environmential care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.           Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes           ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)           Economic Impact (5 points)           2 points for the following: Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Deve descriptions of each strategy. For the consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points; a • Leverage & Collaborate • Open New Doors • Prepare For Tomorrow • Build A SuperHighway • Bring Cities To Life • Sustain & Optimize Our Surroundings	ALTERNATIVE FOLLS SOFFORT SCORE tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE Opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for hd for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point.	1 or 2 0 -1 0
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)         Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environmential care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.         Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional environmental and health features or includes         ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)         Economic Impact (5 points)         2 points for the following:         Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Deve descriptions of each strategy. For the consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points; a         • Leverage & Collaborate         • Open New Doors         • Prepare For Tomorrow         • Build A SuperHighway         • Bring Cities To Life         • Sustain & Optimize Our Surroundings	ALTERNATIVE FOELS SOFFORT SCORE tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for nd for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point.	1 or 2 0 -1 0
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)         Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other end to medical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.         Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes         ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)         Economic Impact (5 points)         2 points for the following:         Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Deve descriptions of each strategy. For the consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points; a         • Leverage & Collaborate         • Open New Doors         • Prepare For Tomorrow         • Build A SuperHighway         • Bring Cities To Life         • Sustain & Optimize Our Surroundings         • Showcase Our Beauty	ALTERNATIVE FOELS SOFFORT SCORE tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE Opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for nd for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point.	1 or 2 0 -1 0
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)         Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environmential care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.         Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional environmental and health features or includes         ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)         Economic Impact (5 points)         2 points for the following:         Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Deve descriptions of each strategy. For the consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points; a         • Leverage & Collaborate         • Open New Doors         • Prepare For Tomorrow         • Build A SuperHighway         • Bring Cities To Life         • Sustain & Optimize Our Surroundings         • Showcase Our Beauty         • Spotlight Our Strengths	tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE Opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for ad for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point.	1 or 2 0 -1 0
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)         Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environmedical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.         Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes         ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)         Economic Impact (5 points)         2 points for the following:         Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Deve descriptions of each strategy. For the consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points; a         • Leverage & Collaborate         • Open New Doors         • Prepare For Tomorrow         • Build A SuperHighway         • Bring Cities To Life         • Sustain & Optimize Our Surroundings         • Showcase Our Beauty         • Spotlight Our Strengths         1 point each (up to 2 points available in total):	tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for nd for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point.	1 or 2 0 -1 0
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)         Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environmedical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.         Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes         ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)         Economic Impact (5 points)         2 points for the following:         Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Deve descriptions of each strategy. For the consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points; a         • Leverage & Collaborate         • Open New Doors         • Prepare For Tomorrow         • Build A SuperHighway         • Bring Cities To Life         • Sustain & Optimize Our Surroundings         • Showcase Our Beauty         • Spotlight Our Strengths         1 point each (up to 2 points available in total):         • Project creates (or retains) permanent jobs, for example by improving access to areas	ALTERNATIVE POLLS SOPPORT SCORE tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE Opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for nd for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point. of high job concentration or otherwise improves labor market access.	1 or 2 0 -1 0 0, 1, or 2
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)         Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environmential care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.         Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional environmental and health features or includes         ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)         Economic Impact (5 points)         2 points for the following:         Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Deve descriptions of each strategy. For the consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points; a         • Leverage & Collaborate         • Open New Doors         • Prepare For Tomorrow         • Build A SuperHighway         • Bring Cities To Life         • Sustain & Optimize Our Surroundings         • Showcase Our Beauty         • Spotlight Our Strengths         1 point each (up to 2 points available in total):         • Project creates (or retains) permanent jobs, for example by improving access to areas	ALTERNATIVE FOLLS SOFFORT SCORE tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE Opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for nd for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point. of high job concentration or otherwise improves labor market access. residential density.	1 or 2 0 -1 0 0, 1, or 2 0, 1, or 2
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)           Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other en- to medical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.           Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes           ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)           Economic Impact (5 points)           2 points for the following: Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Deve descriptions of each strategy. For the consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points; a <ul> <li>Leverage &amp; Collaborate</li> <li>Open New Doors</li> <li>Prepare For Tomorrow</li> <li>Build A SuperHighway</li> <li>Bring Cities To Life</li> <li>Sustain &amp; Optimize Our Surroundings</li> <li>Showcase Our Beauty</li> <li>Spotlight Our Strengths</li> <li>1 point each (up to 2 points available in total):</li> <li>Project creates (or retains) permanent jobs, for example by improving access to areas</li> <li>Project provides multimodal access to an urban center, activity center, or area of high</li> </ul>	ACTENNATIVE FOLLS SOFFORT SCORE tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for hd for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point. of high job concentration or otherwise improves labor market access. residential density.	1 or 2 0 -1 0 0, 1, or 2 0, 1, or 2
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)           Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other en- to medical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.           Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes           ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)           Economic Impact (5 points)           2 points for the following: Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Deve descriptions of each strategy. For the consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points; a . Leverage & Collaborate . Open New Doors . Prepare For Tomorrow . Build A SuperHighway . Bring Cities To Life . Sustain & Optimize Our Surroundings . Showcase Our Beauty . Spotlight Our Strengths 1 point each (up to 2 points available in total): . Project creates (or retains) permanent jobs, for example by improving access to areas . Project provides multimodal access to an urban center, activity center, or area of high . Project improves access to a major recreation or community facility	ALTERNATIVE FOLLS SOFFORT SCORE tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for nd for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point. of high job concentration or otherwise improves labor market access. residential density.	1 or 2 0 -1 0 0, 1, or 2 0, 1, or 2
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)           Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other en- to medical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.           Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes           ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)           Economic Impact (5 points)           2 points for the following: Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Deve descriptions of each strategy. For the consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points; a <ul> <li>Leverage &amp; Collaborate</li> <li>Open New Doors</li> <li>Prepare For Tomorrow</li> <li>Build A SuperHighway</li> <li>Bring Cities To Life</li> <li>Sustain &amp; Optimize Our Surroundings</li> <li>Showcase Our Beauty</li> <li>Spotlight Our Strengths</li> <li>1 point each (up to 2 points available in total):</li> <li>Project creates (or retains) permanent jobs, for example by improving access to areas</li> <li>Project provides multimodal access to an urban center, activity center, or area of high</li> <li>Project improves access to a major recreation or community facility</li> </ul>	ALTERNATIVE FOLLS SOFFORT SCORE tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, rrgy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for nd for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point. of high job concentration or otherwise improves labor market access. residential density.	1 or 2 0 -1 0 0, 1, or 2 0, 1, or 2
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)           Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other en- to medical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.           Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes           ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)           Economic Impact (5 points)           2 points for the following: Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Deve descriptions of each strategy. For the consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points; a • Leverage & Collaborate • Open New Doors • Prepare For Tomorrow • Build A SuperHighway • Bring Cities To Life • Sustain & Optimize Our Surroundings • Showcase Our Beauty • Spotlight Our Strengths 1 point each (up to 2 points available in total): • Project creates (or retains) permanent jobs, for example by improving access to areas • Project provides multimodal access to an urban center, activity center, or area of high • Project improves access to a major recreation or community facility 1 point for the following:	ALTERNATIVE POELS SOFPORT SCORE tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for nd for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point. of high job concentration or otherwise improves labor market access. residential density.	1 or 2 0 -1 0 0, 1, or 2
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)           Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environment or medical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.           Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional environmental and health features or includes           ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)           Economic Impact (5 points)           2 points for the following:           Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Deve descriptions of each strategy. For the consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points; a <ul> <li>Leverage &amp; Collaborate</li> <li>Open New Doors</li> <li>Prepare For Tomorrow</li> <li>Build A SuperHighway</li> <li>Bring Cities To Life</li> <li>Sustain &amp; Optimize Our Surroundings</li> <li>Showcase Our Beauty</li> <li>Spotlight Our Strengths</li> </ul> <li>Project creates (or retains) permanent jobs, for example by improving access to areas</li> <li>Project miproves access to a major recreation or community facility</li> <li>I point for the following:</li> <li>Project supports access to education-related economic drivers: job-related training loca</li>	ALTERNATIVE POELS SOFPORT SCORE tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, rrgy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for hd for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point. of high job concentration or otherwise improves labor market access. residential density.	1 or 2 0 -1 0 0, 1, or 2 0, 1, or 2
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)           Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environment or medical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.           Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes           ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)           Economic Impact (5 points)           2 points for the following:           Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Deve descriptions of each strategy. For the consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points; a           • Leverage & Collaborate           • Open New Doors           • Prepare For Tomorrow           • Build A SuperHighway           • Bring Cities To Life           • Sustain & Optimize Our Surroundings           • Showcase Our Beauty           • project reates (or retains) permanent jobs, for example by improving access to areas           • Project movides multimodal access to an urban center, activity center, or area of high           • Project supports access to education-related economic drivers: job-related training loca educational institutions, community colleges, colleges, and universities), educationally a	ALTERNATIVE FOLLS SUPPORT SCORE tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, regy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE Opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for nd for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point. of high job concentration or otherwise improves labor market access. residential density. ions, educational opportunities (including vocational schools, proprietary higher- filiated research facilities, or educationally affiliated business incubators <u>OR</u> has positive	1 or 2 0 -1 0 0, 1, or 2 0, 1, or 2
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)           Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other environment or medical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.           Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional environmental and health features or includes           ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)           Economic Impact (5 points)           2 points for the following:           Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Deve descriptions of each strategy. For the consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points; a <ul> <li>Leverage &amp; Collaborate</li> <li>Open New Doors</li> <li>Prepare For Tomorrow</li> <li>Build A SuperHighway</li> <li>Bring Cities To Life</li> <li>Sustain &amp; Optimize Our Surroundings</li> <li>Showcase Our Beauty</li> <li>Spotlight Our Strengths</li> <li>Project creates (or retains) permanent jobs, for example by improving access to areas</li> <li>Project provides multimodal access to an urban center, activity center, or area of high</li> <li>Project timproves access to education-related economic drivers: job-related training loca educational institutions, community colleges, colleges, and universities), educationally a impact on a specific industry cluster, innovative business, or industry tareet. e.e. project</li> </ul>	ALTERNATIVE FOLLS SUPPORT SCORE tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, regy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE Opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for nd for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point. of high job concentration or otherwise improves labor market access. residential density. ions, educational opportunities (including vocational schools, proprietary higher- ffiliated research facilities, or educationally affiliated business incubators <u>OR</u> has positive enhances region's technology sector.	1 or 2 0 -1 0 0, 1, or 2 0, 1, or 2
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)           Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other end to medical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.           Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes           ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)           Economic Impact (5 points)           2 points for the following: Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Deve descriptions of each strategy. For the consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points; a Leverage & Collaborate <ul> <li>Open New Doors</li> <li>Prepare For Tomorrow</li> <li>Build A SuperHighway</li> <li>Bring Cities To Life</li> <li>Sustain &amp; Optimize Our Surroundings</li> <li>Showcase Our Beauty</li> <li>Spotlight Our Strengths</li> </ul> <li>Project creates (or retains) permanent jobs, for example by improving access to areas Project improves access to a major recreation or community facility</li> <li>Project supports access to education-related economic drivers: job-related training loca educational institutions, community colleges, colleges, and universities), educationally a impact on a specific industry cluster, innovative business, or industry target, e.g. project</li>	ALTERNATIVE FOLLS SUPPORT SCORE tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE Opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for hd for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point. of high job concentration or otherwise improves labor market access. residential density. ions, educational opportunities (including vocational schools, proprietary higher- filiated research facilities, or educationally affiliated business incubators <u>OR</u> has positive enhances region's technology sector.	1 or 2 0 -1 0 0, 1, or 2 0, 1, or 2 0 or 1
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)           Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other end to medical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.           Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes           ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)           Economic Impact (5 points)           2 points for the following: Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Deve descriptions of each strategy. For the consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points; a Leverage & Collaborate <ul> <li>Open New Doors</li> <li>Prepare For Tomorrow</li> <li>Build A SuperHighway</li> <li>Bring Cities To Life</li> <li>Sustain &amp; Optimize Our Surroundings</li> <li>Showcase Our Beauty</li> <li>Spottight Our Strengths</li> <li>Project reates (or retains) permanent jobs, for example by improving access to areas</li> <li>Project improves access to a major recreation or community facility</li> </ul> <li>Project movides multimodal access to an urban center, activity center, or area of high Project supports access to education-related economic drivers: job-related training loca educational institutions, community colleges, colleges, and universities), educationally a impact on a specific industry cluster, innovative business, or industry target, e.g. project</li>	ALTERVATIVE FOLDS SOFFORT SCORE tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE Opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for nd for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point. of high job concentration or otherwise improves labor market access. residential density. ions, educational opportunities (including vocational schools, proprietary higher- filiated research facilities, or educationally affiliated business incubators <u>OR</u> has positive enhances region's technology sector. ment.	1 or 2 0 -1 0 0, 1, or 2 0, 1, or 2 0 or 1 0
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)           Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other env to medical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.           Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes           ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)           Economic Impact (5 points)           2 points for the following: Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Deve descriptions of each strategy. For the consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points; a Leverage & Collaborate <ul> <li>Open New Doors</li> <li>Prepare For Tomorrow</li> <li>Build A SuperHighway</li> <li>Bring Cities To Life</li> <li>Sustain &amp; Optimize Our Surroundings</li> <li>Showcase Our Beauty</li> <li>Spoiltight Our Strengths</li> </ul> <li>Project creates (or retains) permanent jobs, for example by improving access to areas</li> <li>Project provides multimodal access to an urban center, activity center, or area of high <ul> <li>Project improves access to a major recreation or community facility</li> <li>1 point for the following:</li> </ul> </li> <li>Project supports access to a duaton-related economic drivers: job-related training loca educational institutions, community colleges, colleges, and universities), educationally a impact on a specific industry cluster, innovat</li>	ALTERVATIVE FOLDS SOFFORT SCORE tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for id for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point. of high job concentration or otherwise improves labor market access. residential density. ions, educational opportunities (including vocational schools, proprietary higher- ffiliated research facilities, or educationally affiliated business incubators <u>OR</u> has positive enhances region's technology sector. ment.	1 or 2 0 -1 0 0 0, 1, or 2 0, 1, or 2 0 or 1 0
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)           Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other en- to medical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.           Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes           ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)           Economic Impact (5 points)           2 points for the following: Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Deve descriptions of each strategy. For the consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points; a • Leverage & Collaborate • Open New Doors • Prepare For Tomorrow • Build A SuperHighway • Bring Cities To Life • Sustain & Optimize Our Surroundings • Showcase Our Beauty • Spotlight Our Strengths 1 point each (up to 2 points available in total): • Project creates (or retains) permanent jobs, for example by improving access to areas • Project improves access to a major recreation or community facility 1 point for the following: Project supports access to education-related economic drivers: job-related training loca educational institutions, community colleges, colleges, and universities), educationally a impact on a specific industry cluster, innovative business, or industry target, e.g. project Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on economic develop • 1 point each (up to 2 points available in total): • Project supports access to education-related economic drivers: job-related training loca educational institutions, community colleges, colleges, and unive	tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for hd for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point. of high job concentration or otherwise improves labor market access. residential density. ions, educational opportunities (including vocational schools, proprietary higher- ffiliated research facilities, or educationally affiliated business incubators <u>OR</u> has positive enhances region's technology sector. ment.	1 or 2 0 -1 0 0 0, 1, or 2 0, 1, or 2 0 or 1 0
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)           Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other en- to medical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.           Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes           ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)           Economic Impact (5 points)           2 points for the following: Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Deve descriptions of each strategy. For the consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points; a Leverage & Collaborate <ul> <li>Open New Doors</li> <li>Prepare For Tomorrow</li> <li>Build A SuperHighway</li> <li>Bring Cities To Life</li> <li>Sustain &amp; Optimize Our Surroundings</li> <li>Showcase Our Beauty</li> <li>Spotlight Our Strengths</li> <li>Project creates (or retains) permanent jobs, for example by improving access to areas</li> <li>Project improves access to a major recreation or community facility</li> <li>Project supports access to education-related economic drivers: job-related training loca educational institutions, community colleges, colleges, and universities), educationally a impact on a specific industry cluster, innovative business, or industry target, e.g. project</li> <li>Project reduces access to job training location; education; jobs; or manufacturing, te</li> </ul> </td <td>ALTERVATIVE FOLDS SOFFORT SCORE         tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, other features harmful to the environment or to public health.         OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE         ENVIRONMENT &amp; HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE         Opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for hd for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point.         of high job concentration or otherwise improves labor market access. residential density.         ions, educational opportunities (including vocational schools, proprietary higher-ffiliated research facilities, or educationally affiliated business incubators <u>OR</u> has positive enhances region's technology sector.         nent.         chnology, or intermodal centers.</td> <td>1 or 2 0 -1 0 0 0, 1, or 2 0, 1, or 2 0, 1, or 2 0 or 1 0 0</td>	ALTERVATIVE FOLDS SOFFORT SCORE         tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, other features harmful to the environment or to public health.         OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE         ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE         Opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for hd for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point.         of high job concentration or otherwise improves labor market access. residential density.         ions, educational opportunities (including vocational schools, proprietary higher-ffiliated research facilities, or educationally affiliated business incubators <u>OR</u> has positive enhances region's technology sector.         nent.         chnology, or intermodal centers.	1 or 2 0 -1 0 0 0, 1, or 2 0, 1, or 2 0, 1, or 2 0 or 1 0 0
Other Environmental / Health Benefit (2 points)           Project includes other features beneficial to the environment or to public health not cap recycled pavements, use of recycled plastics and other recycled materials, and other env to medical care, healthy foods, parks, and recreation; and which increase access to jobs assign 2 points; and for the addition of 3 or less features, assign 1 point.           Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on any additional env Project reduces existing use of the above environmental and health features or includes           ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)           Economic Impact (5 points)           2 points for the following: Project supports development that is consistent with the Capital Region Economic Deve descriptions of each strategy. For the consistency with 5-8 strategies, assign 2 points; a <ul> <li>Leverage &amp; Collaborate</li> <li>Open New Doors</li> <li>Prepare For Tomorrow</li> <li>Build A SuperHighway</li> <li>Bring Cities To Life</li> <li>Sustain &amp; Optimize Our Surroundings</li> <li>Showcase Our Beauty</li> <li>Spotlight Our Strengths</li> <li>1 point each (up to 2 points available in total):</li> <li>Project provides multimodal access to an urban center, activity center, or area of high</li> <li>Project supports access to education-related economic drivers: job-related training loca educational institutions, community colleges, colleges, and universities), educationally a impact on a specific industry cluster, innovative business, or industry target, e.g. project</li> </ul> <li>Project target environs available in total):         <ul> <li>Project negatis a eccess to job</li></ul></li>	ATTENNATIVE FOLLS SUPPORT SCORE tured in another category. Other environmental features include warm mix asphalt, ergy-saving strategies. Other health features include improvements which increase access and affordability which reduces financial stress. For the addition of 4 or more features, ronmental/health issues. other features harmful to the environment or to public health. OTHER HEALTH BENEFIT SCORE ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH SUBTOTAL SCORE opment Council's 8 regional strategies listed below. See the CREDC website for d for the consistency with 1-4 strategies, assign 1 point. of high job concentration or otherwise improves labor market access. residential density. ions, educational opportunities (including vocational schools, proprietary higher- ffiliated research facilities, or educationally affiliated business incubators <u>OR</u> has positive enhances region's technology sector. ment. chnology, or intermodal centers. ess; ability to manufacture, import, or export; increased transportation costs; significantly	1 or 2 0 -1 0 0 0, 1, or 2 0, 1, or 2 0, 1, or 2 0 or 1 0 0 -1 to -2

#### SAFETY & SECURITY (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)

#### Additional Safety Benefit Beyond Crash History (3 points)

Project includes new features intended to reduce the risk of fatal or serious injury crashes at locations with limited crash history (a proactive approach), or is part of a larger corridor or area-wide safety effort which includes education and enforcement activities. For the addition of 6 or more features, assign 3 points; for the addition of 3-5 features, assign 2 points; for the addition of 2 or less features, assign 1 point.



	-	
Features include:		
• Traffic Signal Back plates with Retro Reflective Borders		
• Enhanced Delineation and Friction for Horizontal Curves		
• Safety Edge		
Medians and Pedestrian Crossing Islands		
Pedestrian Hybrid Beacon		
Road Diet		
Centerline Audible Roadway Delineators (CARDS)	1 to 3	
Pedestrian Countdown Timers		
High Visibility Crosswalks		
• Sidewalks		
Signal Re-timing		
<ul> <li>Additional Warning and Regulatory Signs (for drivers, pedestrians, etc.)</li> </ul>		
Leading Pedestrian Intervals		
Accessible Pedestrian Signals		
• No Turn on Red Signs (standard or electric)		
Intersection Lighting		
• Education campaign		
Enforcement campaign		
Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on safety beyond crash history.	0	
Project introduces features which have negative safety implications.	-1	
ADDITIONAL SAFETY BENEFIT SCORE		
Security and Resiliency to Natural Hazards and Human Caused Events (2 points)		
Project implements an initiative identified in a county, state, or other hazard/security/emergency plan, such as: improving a vulnerable evacuation route; providing enhanced	2	
access to critical needs or facilities such as hospitals, medical care, emergency care, or emergency services; enabling emergency response; or assisting in recovery activities.	2	
Project provides for redundancy or makes facility more resilient by improving/remediating critical components on a facility defined in a risk analysis or vulnerability assessment	1	
as sensitive, nigh-exposure, or nigh consequence to natural or numan-caused disaster.	0	
Project makes an asset or the system more vulnerable (for example, by impeding (reducing an execution route or assess to emergency convices) or resident ear filter with a	0	
roject makes an asset of the system more vulnerable (for example, by impeding/reducing an evacuation route or access to emergency services) or project conflicts with a county, state, or other bazard/security/emergency plan.	-1	
SAFETY & SECURITY SUBTOTAL SCORE	0	
OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)		
Traffic Operations & Reliability Improvements (3 points)		
Project is a significant investment in operations or reliability such as installation of new roundabout, corridor signalization improvements. TMC operations funding, or an initiative		
involving adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals initiative, speed harmonization, dynamic lane assignment or other appropriate active traffic management strategy.		
	3	
Project is located on the ITS priority network and includes substantial features targeting operations and reliability improvements such as traffic signal intersection improvements		
(including signal coordination, transit signal priority, and/or pedestrian signals), or ITS/CCTV signage or infrastructure.	2	
Project is not located on the ITS priority network but includes substantial features targeting operations and reliability improvements such as traffic signal intersection	_	
improvements (including signal coordination transit signal priority, and/or pedestrian signals), or ITS/CCTV signage or infrastructure	1	
Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on operations and reliability.	0	
Project introduces a new impediment to or reduction of traffic operations or reliability.	-1	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE		
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE		
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)		
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE         Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)         Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as		
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE         Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)         Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message		
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE         Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)         Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.	2	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE         Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)         Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.         Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features.	2 1	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE         Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)         Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.         Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features.         Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on advanced technology.	2 1 0	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE         Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)         Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.         Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features.         Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on advanced technology.         Project removes useful advanced technology without replacing or upgrading or fails to include appropriate advanced technology in scope.	2 1 0 -1	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE         Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)         Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.         Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features.         Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on advanced technology.         Project removes useful advanced technology without replacing or upgrading or fails to include appropriate advanced technology in scope.         USE OF BENEFICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES SCORE	2 1 0 -1	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE         Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)         Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.         Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features.         Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on advanced technology.         Project removes useful advanced technology without replacing or upgrading or fails to include appropriate advanced technology in scope.         USE OF BENEFICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES SCORE         OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY SUBTOTAL SCORE	2 1 0 -1 0	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE         Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)         Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.         Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features.         Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on advanced technology.         Project removes useful advanced technology without replacing or upgrading or fails to include appropriate advanced technology in scope.         USE OF BENEFICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES SCORE         OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY SUBTOTAL SCORE         FREIGHT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)	2 1 0 -1 0	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE         Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)         Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.         Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features.         Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on advanced technology.         Project removes useful advanced technology without replacing or upgrading or fails to include appropriate advanced technology in scope.         USE OF BENEFICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES SCORE         OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY SUBTOTAL SCORE         FREIGHT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)         Freight and Goods Movement (5 points)	2 1 0 -1 0	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE         Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)         Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.         Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features.         Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on advanced technology.         Project removes useful advanced technology without replacing or upgrading or fails to include appropriate advanced technology in scope.         USE OF BENEFICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES SCORE         OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY SUBTOTAL SCORE         FREIGHT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)         Freight and Goods Movement (5 points)         Award 1 point for each of these criteria (for a cumulative total of up to 5 maximum):	2 1 0 -1 0	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE         Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)         Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.         Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features.         Project tas neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on advanced technology.         Project removes useful advanced technology without replacing or upgrading or fails to include appropriate advanced technology in scope.         USE OF BENEFICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES SCORE         OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY SUBTOTAL SCORE         FREIGHT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)         Freight and Goods Movement (5 points)         Award 1 point for each of these criteria (for a cumulative total of up to 5 maximum):         • Project improves a MPO or NYSDOT identified freight movement issue	2 1 0 -1 0	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE         Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)         Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.         Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features.         Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on advanced technology.         Project removes useful advanced technology without replacing or upgrading or fails to include appropriate advanced technology in scope.         USE OF BENEFICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES SCORE         OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY SUBTOTAL SCORE         FREIGHT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)         Freight and Goods Movement (5 points)         Award 1 point for each of these criteria (for a cumulative total of up to 5 maximum):         • Project improves a MPO or NYSDOT identified freight movement issue       • Project moves/substantially improves a freight related land-use compatibility, noise, or safety issue         • Project induce approves to the CORD colspan="2">Compatibility, noise, or safety issue	2 1 0 -1 0	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE         Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)         Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.         Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features.         Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on advanced technology.         Project removes useful advanced technology without replacing or upgrading or fails to include appropriate advanced technology in scope.         USE OF BENEFICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES SCORE         Project fremoves useful advanced technology without replacing or upgrading or fails to include appropriate advanced technology subtrotal SCORE         FREIGHT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)         Freight and Goods Movement (5 points)         Award 1 point for each of these criteria (for a cumulative total of up to 5 maximum):         Project improves a MPO or NYSDOT identified freight movement issue         Project is located on, or provides a freight related land-use compatibility, noise, or safety issue <td colsp<="" td=""><td>2 1 0 -1 0</td></td>	<td>2 1 0 -1 0</td>	2 1 0 -1 0
	2 1 0 -1 0	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE      Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)      Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as     signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message     signs, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.     Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features.     Project has neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on advanced technology.     Project removes useful advanced technology without replacing or upgrading or fails to include appropriate advanced technology in scope.     USE OF BENEFICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES SCORE     OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY SUBTOTAL SCORE     FREIGHT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)     Freight and Goods Movement (5 points)     Award 1 point for each of these criteria (for a cumulative total of up to 5 maximum):     Project improves a MPO or INYSDOT identified freight movement issue     Project is located on, or provides access to , the CDTC Freight Priority Network, and provides a travel time and/or reliability benefit(s)     Project enhances access to a key freight generator (Ex: Airport, Ports, Major Distribution Centers, Industrial Park/cluster of industrial land uses)     Project enhances access to any intermodal freight movement [Ex: air to truck/rail, rail to truck/water, water to rail/truck/air, etc.)     Droject enhances access to any intermodal freight movement [Ex: air to truck/rail, rail to truck/water, water to rail/truck/air, etc.)	2 1 0 -1 0 1 to 5	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE           Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)           Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signals, song, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.           Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technology in scope.         Project tincludes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technology in scope.           Project removes useful advanced technology without replacing or upgrading or fails to include appropriate advanced technology in scope.           FREIGHT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)         OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY SUBTOTAL SCORE           FREIGHT of books a track of these criteria (for a cumulative total of up to 5 maximum):         Project improves a MPO or NYSDOT identified freight movement issue           Project is located on, or provides access to, the CDTC Freight Priority, Network, and provides a travel time and/or reliability benefit(s)         Project enhances access to a key triegint generator (Ex: Airport, Ports, Major Distribution Centers, Industrial Park/cluster of industrial land uses)           Project enhances access to any intermodal freight movement (Ex: air to truck/rail, rail to truck/water, water to rail/truck/air, etc.)	2 1 0 -1 0 1 to 5	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE           Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)           Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.           Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features.           Project neoves useful advanced technology on advanced technology.           Project removes useful advanced technology or upgrading or fails to include appropriate advanced technology in scope.           USE OF BENEFICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES SCORE           OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY SUBTOTAL SCORE           REIGHT (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)           Freight and Goods Movement (5 points)           Award 1 point for each of these criteria (for a cumulative total of up to 5 maximum):           • Project improves a MPO or NYSDOT identified freight movement issue           • Project inproves a fuely thereated land-use compatibility, noise, or safety issue           • Project enhances access to a key freight generator (Ex: Air port, Ports, Major Distribution Centers, Industrial Park/cluster of industrial land uses)           • Project enhances access to a key freight generator (Ex: A	2 1 0 -1 0 1 to 5	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE      Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)      Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as     signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message     signs, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.      Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features.      Project tancues ausful advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technology in scope.      Project removes useful advanced technology without replacing or upgrading or fails to include appropriate advanced technology in scope.      USE OF BENEFICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES SCORE      OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY SUBTOTAL SCORE      Project improves a MPO or NYSDOT identified freight movement issue      Project improves a MPO or NYSDOT identified freight movement issue      Project is located on, or provides access to, the CDTC Freight Priority Network, and provides a travel time and/or reliability benefit(s)      Project is located on, or provides access to, the CDTC Freight Priority Network, and provides a travel time and/or decreases reliability.      Project is located on, or provides access to, the CDTC Freight Priority Network, and provides a travel time and/or decreases reliability.      Project is located on, or provides access to, the CDTC Freight Priority Network, and provides a travel time and/or decreases reliability.      Project is located on, or provides access to, the CDTC Freight Priority Network, and provides a travel time and/or decreases reliability.      Project is located on, or provides access to, the CDTC Freight Priority Network, and provides	2 1 0 -1 0 1 to 5 0 -1	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE           Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)         Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal courcil, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.           Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features.           Project transves useful advanced technology affective or negative) on advanced technology.           Project removes useful advanced technology without replacing or upgrading or fails to include appropriate advanced technology in scope.           USE OF BENEFICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES SCORE           OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY SUBTOTAL SCORE           Project inproves a MPO or NYSDOT identified freight movement issue           Project inproves a MPO or NYSDOT identified freight movement issue           Project induces to a key freight generator (Ex: Airport, Ports, Major Distribution Centers, Industrial Park/cluster of industrial land uses)           Project inhances access to a key freight generator (Ex: Airport, Ports, Major Distribution Centers, Industrial Park/cluster of industrial land uses)           Project tananetraffect (no known impact, positive or negative) on f	2 1 0 -1 0 1 to 5 0 -1	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE         Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)         Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.         Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technology in scope.       Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technology or pagative) on advanced technology.         Project removes useful advanced technology without replacing or upgrading or fails to include appropriate advanced technology subtrotAL SCORE         Releft (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)         Treight and Goods Movement (5 points)         Award 1 point for each of these criteria (for a cumulative total of up to 5 maximum):            Project is norack on or provides access to, the CDTC Freight Priority Network, and provides a travel time ad/or reliability benefit(s)         Project enhances access to a key freight generator (5x: Airport, Ports, Major Distribution Centers, Industrial Park/cluster of industrial land uses)            Project is located on, or provides access to, the CDTC Freight Priority Network, and provides a travel time ad/or reliability benefit(s)	2 1 0 -1 0 1 to 5 0 -1 -2	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE           Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)           Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.           Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features.           Project tincludes appropriate upgrades to advanced technology.           Project thas neutral effect (no known impact, positive or negative) on advanced technology.           Project termoves useful advanced technology without replacing or upgrading or fails to include appropriate advanced technology in scope.           Project termoves useful advanced technological features.           Project termoves useful advanced technological for a cumulative total of up to 5 maximum):           Project improves a MPO or NYSDOT identified freight movement issue           Project nenoves/substantially improves a freight priority Network, and provides a travel time and/or reliability benefit(s)           Project enhances access to a key freight penerator (Ex: Airport, Ports, Major Distribution Centers, Industrial Park/cluster of industrial land uses)           Project tanduces access to any intermodal freight movement (Ex: air to truck/rail, rail	2 1 0 -1 0 1 to 5 0 -1 -2	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE           Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)           Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.           Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technology.         Project nemoves useful advanced technology or upgrading or fails to include appropriate advanced technology in scope.           USE OF BENEFICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES SCORE         OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY SUBTOTAL SCORE           Freight and Goods Movement (5 points)         Award 1 point for each of these criteria (for a cumulative total of up to 5 maximum):           Project improves a MPO or NYSDOT identified freight movement issue         Project removes/substantially improves a freight related land-use compatibility, noise, or safety issue           Project is located on, or provides access to, the CDTC Freight Priority Network, and provides a travel time and/or reliability benefit(s)           Project enhances access to any intermodal freight movement (Ex: air to truck/rail, rail to truck/rail, rail to truck/rair, etc.)           Project enhances access to any intermodal freight Priority Network, and increases travel time and/or decreases reliability.           Project enhances access to any intermo	2 1 0 -1 0 1 to 5 0 -1 -2	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE         Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)         Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.         Project thickdes appropriate upgrades to advanced technology.       Project thickdes appropriate upgrades to advanced technology or fails to include appropriate advanced technology in scope.         USE OF BENEFICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES SCORE         OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY SUBTOTAL SCORE         OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOG	2 1 0 -1 0 1 to 5 0 -1 -2	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE           Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologics (2 points)           Project is primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signal, adaptive signal control self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, entral software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.           Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technology in scope.              USE OF BENEFICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES SCORE	2 1 0 -1 0 1 to 5 0 -1 -2 2 1	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE         Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)         Project: primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features, such as signal controlino, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, blue oot hased detection, CCTV, variable message signs, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.         Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features.         Project now umpact, positive or negative) on advanced technology.         Project removes useful advanced technology without replacing or upgrading or fails to include appropriate advanced technology in scope.         USE OF BENEFICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES SCORE         OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY SUBTOTAL SCORE         Project removes useful advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technology in scope.         USE OF BENEFICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES SCORE         OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY SUBTOTAL SCORE         Project removes useful advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technology in scope.         INTROVINCE OF TECHNOLOGIES SCORE         OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY SUBTOTAL SCORE         Project inproves a MPO or NSDOT Identified freight movement is use	2 1 0 -1 0 1 to 5 0 -1 -1 -2 2 1 0	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE           Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)           Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.           Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technology in scope.           Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technology or fails to include appropriate advanced technology in scope.           Project memores useful advanced technology without replacing or upgrading or fails to include appropriate advanced technology in scope.           Project moves/substantially improves a freight related land-use compatibility, noise, or safety issue           Project removes/substantially improves a freight related land-use compatibility, noise, or safety issue           Project is located on, or provides access to, the CDTC Freight Priority Network, and provides a travel time and/or reliability benefit(s)           Project enhances access to a key freight generator (Ex: Airo to truck/rair, ait to industrial land uses)           Project enhances access to a key freight generator (Ex: Airo and agoods movement.           Project is located on, or pro	2 1 0 -1 0 1 to 5 0 -1 -2 2 1 0	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE         Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)         Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features on introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.         Project Includes approprize tupgrades to advanced technology flaterures on introduction of new advanced technological features.         Project removes useful advanced technology without replacing or upgrading or fails to include appropriate advanced technology in scope.         USE OF BENEFICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES SCORE         Veriage termoves useful advanced technology without replacing or upgrading or lails to include appropriate advanced technology in scope.         USE OF BENEFICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES SCORE         Veriage training signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, dual technology in scope.         Veriage training signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, control technological features.         Veriage training signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, contreplace sisplate sisplate sisplate control, self-organizing signal	2 1 0 -1 0 1 to 5 0 -1 -2 2 1 0	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE           Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)           Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal provity, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.           Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technology in store.         Use of BENEFICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES SCORE           Project removes useful advanced technology without replacing or upgrading or fails to include appropriate advanced technology in scope.         Use of PENEFICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES SCORE           REGISTI (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)         OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY SUBTOTAL SCORE           REGISTI (5 POINTS POSSIBLE)         Project memoves/substantially improves a freight related land-use compatibility, noise, or safety issue           • Project nances access to a key freight generator (EX: Airport, Ports, Major Distribution Centers, industrial Park/cluster of industrial land uses)         • Project nances access to a set of the regist movement [EX: air to truck/rail, rail to truck/rair, ret.)           Project nances access to a key freight generator (EX: Airport, Ports, Major Distribution Centers, industrial Park/cluster of industrial land uses)         • Project nances access to a set or regist triad opoids movement.           • Project ansentural effec	2 1 0 -1 0 1 to 5 0 -1 -2 2 1 0	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE         Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)         Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal prority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.         Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technology in scope.       Enclude appropriate upgrades to advanced technology in scope.         USE OF BENEFICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES SCORE         Project insudes appropriate upgrades to advanced technology in scope.         USE OF BENEFICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES SCORE         Vendet in proves a MPO or NYSDOT identified freight movement (sup         Project insudes access to in the corteria (for a cumulative total of up to 5 maximum):           Project insudes access to a skey freight generator (Ex Airport, Ports, Major Distribution Centres, Industrial Braf, Cluster of industrial land use)         Project insudes access to a wey freight generator (Ex Airport, Ports, Major Distribution Centres, Industrial Park/cluster of industrial land use)         Project insudes access to a key freight generator (Ex Airport, Ports, Major Distribution Centres, Industrial Park/cluster of industrial l	2 1 0 -1 0 1 to 5 0 -1 -1 -2 2 1 0	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE           Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologics (2 points)           Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic line assignment, queue warning, etc.           Project includes appropriate uggrades to advanced technology.         Project includes appropriate uggrades to advanced technology.           Project removes useful advanced technology in scope.         Use OF BENEFICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES SCORE           OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY SUBTORIAL SCORE         OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY SUBTORIAL SCORE           Visit of Project improves a field to not scope to the scope of the	2 1 0 -1 0 1 to 5 0 -1 -2 2 1 0	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE           Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologies (2 points)           Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetoott based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue waring, etc.           Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features.           Project tensouses useful advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technology in scope.           USE OF ERENETCENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES SCORE           OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY SUBTORIL SCORE           VERTICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY SUBTORIL SCORE           Vertice transvess useful advanced technology in scope.           VERTICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY SUBTORIL SCORE           VERTICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY SUBTORIL SCORE           VERTICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY SUBTORIL SCORE           Vertice transvess down most, postike vorted technological features.           Vertice transvess down most, postike vorted technological features.           VERTICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY SUBTORIL SCORE <td colspa<="" td=""><td>2 1 0 -1 0 1 to 5 0 -1 -2 2 1 0 0</td></td>	<td>2 1 0 -1 0 1 to 5 0 -1 -2 2 1 0 0</td>	2 1 0 -1 0 1 to 5 0 -1 -2 2 1 0 0
ITRAFTIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE           Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologics (2 points)           Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, central software, in paymement detection, speed narmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic loade assignment, quee warning, etc.           Project includes appropriate uggrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features.           Project removes useful advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features.           Project removes useful advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features.           Project removes useful advanced technological features.           Project removes a MeD or MYSDOT identified freight movement issue           Project inproves a Neglo or MYSDOT identified freight movement issue           Project thances access to any intermodal freight movement (Sx apport Proving), noise, or safety issue           Project thances access to any intermodal freight movement (Sx apport Proving), noise, or safety issue attenatively, project is located on, or provides access to any intermodal freight movement (Sx apport Proving), noise, or safety issue attenatively, project is located on, or provides access to a throw or thrown, and increases travel time and/or reliability benefit(s)           Proj	2 1 0 -1 0 -1 0 -1 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE         Use of Beneficial Advanced Technologics (2 points)         Project s primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organicing signals, bluetooth based detection, CVT, variable message signal, central software, in pavement detection, speed harmonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.         Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technology.       Project removes useful advanced technology on advanced technology.         Project removes useful advanced technology without replacing or upgrading or fails to include appropriate advanced technology.       OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY SUBTOR SCORE         OPERATIONS POSSIBLE)         Project and coold Novement (5 points)         Award 1 point for each of these criteria (for a cumulative total of up to 5 maximum):         Project informace advanced technological features or instructure.       Project informace advanced technological features.         Project removes/substantially improves a freight related land-use compatibility, noise, or safety issue       Project informace advanced technological features.         Project nanovasi advanced technological features or instructure.         Project informace access to any intermodal freight movement isue         Project informace	2 1 0 -1 0 1 to 5 0 -1 -2 2 1 0 -2 1 0	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE           Use of Beneficial Advanced Technological (2 points)           Projects primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transt signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCV, variable message signal, coordination, transt signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCV, variable message signal coordination, transt signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCV, variable message signal coordination, transt signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCV, variable message signal coordination, transt signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signal, bluetooth based detection, CCV, variable message signal coordination, transt signal priority, pedestrian discose or engative) and avanced technology in scope.           Project termoves useful advanced technology or thout replacing or upgrading or fails to include appropriate advanced technology in scope. <b>Detect Formory Science Sc</b>	2 1 0 -1 0 1 to 5 0 -1 -2 2 1 0 -1 -2 1 0 0 -1	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE           Use of Beneficial Advanced technological (2 points)           Project primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal control, self-organizing signals, buetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, contral offware, in parenet detection, special humorization, variable special minit, buetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message           Project Includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features.           Project Includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technology.           Project removes useful advanced technology without replacing or upgrading or fails to include appropriate advanced technology in scope.           USE OF BENEFICENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES SCORE           OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY SUBTOTAL SCORE           REIGHT (5 POINTS COSSIBUL)           Project removes useful advanced technology in scope.           • Project removes/vubstantially improves a freight related land-use compatibility, noise, or safety issue           • Project removes/vubstantially improves a freight related land-use compatibility.           • Project removes/vubstantially improves a treight momenter issue           • Project removes/vubstantially impact, positive or negative) on safety and over ment.           • Project removes so to the CDTC Freight Proifty Heady Heady and over momente.           • Project removes access to to, the	2 1 0 -1 0 -1 0 -1 -1 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -1 0 -1 -1 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE           Use of Beneficial Advanced technologies (2 points)           Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal control, self-organizing signals, buetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, central software, in pavement direction, speci harmonization, variable special inits, dynamic lane assignment, queue waring, etc.           Project Indudes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features.           Project Indudes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technology.           Project Indudes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features.           Project Induces appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features.           Project Induces as MPO or NSDOT Identified freight movement issue           Project Induces a NPO or NSDOT Identified freight movement (Size in to ruck/hari, and track/hari and arcs).           Project Induces as key freight generator (Siz. Airport, Paris, Major Distribution Centers, Inductified Tarck/hari, etc.)           Project Induces as key freight movement (Size in to ruck/hari, and trecases travel time and/or decreases reliability. <td>2 1 0 -1 0 1 to 5 0 -1 -2 2 1 0 -1 -2 1 0 -1 -2 1 0 -1 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2</td>	2 1 0 -1 0 1 to 5 0 -1 -2 2 1 0 -1 -2 1 0 -1 -2 1 0 -1 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELIABULTY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE           Use of Beneficial Advanced Technological (5 points)           Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, CCTV, variable message signs, certral software, in pavement detection, speed himat, speative on advanced technological features.           Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features.         Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features.           Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technology.         Project removes useful advanced technology.         Project features.           Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technology.         OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY SUBTOTAL SCORE           Relicht (F POINTS POSSIBLE)         OPERATIONS & TECHNOLOGY SUBTOTAL SCORE           Freight and Goodk Movement (5 points)         Project improves a MPO or MYSODT identified regist movement (5 are or unulative total of up to 5 maximum):         Project improves a MPO or MYSODT identified regist movement.           * Project innoves/substantially improves a freight regist movement (5 are or total, regist regist related and or score compatibility, noise, or safety isse         * Project innoves asso any interment (5 are or total, regist related in advore cols applicindue asproprise advanced freight movement (5 are or total, regis	2 1 0 -1 0 1 to 5 0 -1 -2 2 1 0 -1 -2 1 0 -1 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2	
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & RELABULTY IMPROVEMENTS SCORE           Use of Beneficial Advanced Technological (5 points)           Project's primary purpose is, and over 50% of budget is devoted to, upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features, such as signal coordination, transit signal priority, pedestrian signals, adaptive signal control, self-organizing signals, bluetooth based detection, Sech Tamonization, variable speed limits, dynamic lane assignment, queue warning, etc.           Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features.           Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features or introduction of new advanced technological features.           Project includes appropriate upgrades to advanced technological features.           Project fineworks useful advanced technology.           Project fineworks a MPO or NVSDOT identified freight movement issue           Project fineworks a MPO or NVSDOT identified freight movement issue           Project shanexta clear (5 points)           Project shanexta clear (6 pointon) <tr< td=""><td>2 1 0 -1 0 1 to 5 0 -1 -2 2 1 0 -1 -2 1 0 -1 -2 1 0 -1 -2 1 0 -1 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2</td></tr<>	2 1 0 -1 0 1 to 5 0 -1 -2 2 1 0 -1 -2 1 0 -1 -2 1 0 -1 -2 1 0 -1 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2	

B/C RATIO (0 to 50 POINTS)	
B/C Ratio Value	SUBTOTAL

PROJECT TOTAL (-21 to 100 POINTS)		
Scaled Merit Categories + B/C Ratio Value	TOTAL	0.0





# II. Project Rating Criteria

Each project proposal is ranked using the criteria listed below for each project type. It is up to each applicant to provide a description and explanation of how they meet any of these criteria.

Bridge improvement proposals are to be ranked, based primarily on need as determined by inspection data, and secondarily on demonstrated completed project efforts towards accelerating project delivery. For all other project types, proposal will be rated based on a point system, with the maximum number of possible points assigned to the criteria reflecting the relative importance of the criteria. Points are awarded on the basis of how well the project meets the criteria. For example, a reconstruction project that provides a major traffic flow and safety improvement will be awarded the maximum 15 points for the traffic improvement criterion. A project with no traffic flow or safety improvement will be given a score of zero on the traffic improvement criterion. CRCOG staff will review each application and determine the number of points warranted for the benefits described by the applicant.

### BRIDGE IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

Bridge Improvement Project proposals will be ranked based on the bridge's condition as determined from an inspection report (bridges with the worst conditions will be ranked highest) provided by the town. For many eligible bridges (including all bridges over 20 feet in length), recent inspection reports are available through CTDOT's online ProjectWise/Digital Project Resources platform. Alternately, independently prepared inspection reports may be submitted, however they need to be developed in accordance with National Bridge Inspection Standards (NBIS) and stamped/signed by a CT licensed professional engineer with experience in bridge inspection.

The bridge inspection report will need to rate the condition of the decking, superstructure, and substructure, as appropriate, each on a scale of 1 to 9 per NBI standards. Ideally the inspection report will also include a sufficiency rating. All bridge improvement proposals that include inspection reports with sufficiency ratings shall be ranked and complete for funding based on their sufficiency ratings (lowest rating will be ranked highest) and any demonstrated efforts to accelerate project delivery. As such, prior to their rankings staff will deduct up to 5 (five) sufficiency rating percentage points for projects with Letters-of-Intent that demonstrate the completion of design phase efforts to enable accelerated project delivery.

Bridges with inspection reports without calculated sufficiency ratings, and bridges without recent inspection reports (within 10 years), will compete separately for reserved set-aside funds. If an inspection report is not provided with the Letter-of-Intent, CTDOT's bridge inspection staff will be contacted and requested to provide a professional opinion-of-condition based on data that has been collected as part of their current on-going comprehensive statewide inspection efforts. These ratings will be utilized to select for set-aside funding those structures CRCOG staff deems most in need of improvements. In the event of equivalent project ratings, staff will favor the selection of projects with Letters-of-Intent that demonstrate the completion of design phase efforts to enable accelerated project delivery.

All Letters-of-Intent shall include statements that indicate that either the proposed project has either not been selected to receive funds from other state or federal programs; or that the project had been selected to receive these type of funds, but has withdrawn from the funding program prior to the date of the Letter-of-Intent.



### **RECONSTRUCTION PROJECTS**

Ra	ting Criteria	Max. Points
1.	Structural Improvement (Pavement, Drainage, Bridge/Culvert)	15
2.	Traffic Improvement (Flow, Safety, & Geometrics)	15
3.	Traffic Volume or Transit Ridership	15
4.	Regional Significance	17
	Benefit to Regional Public Facilities (10 points)	
	TOD Supportive (5 points)	
	Economic Development (2 points)	
5.	Environmental	15
	Environmental & Historic Preservation (2 points max.)	
	<ul> <li>Green Infrastructure (5 points max.)</li> </ul>	
	Environmental Justice (8 points max.)	
6.	Complete Streets	14
	Vulnerable Users	
	Pedestrian Supportive (3 points max.)	
	Bicycle Supportive (3 points max.)	
	School Zones (2 points max)	
	<ul> <li>Traffic Calming (3 points max)</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Transit Supportive (3 points max)</li> </ul>	
7.	Derived from Corridor Study / Long Range Transportation Plan	4
8.	Municipal Road	10
9.	Leveraging of Other Finances	5
10.	Municipality has not recently secured LOTICP funding	5
11.	Demonstrated Ability to Accelerate Project Delivery	5
	TOTAL Possible Points	120

### 1. Structural Improvement: Pavement, Drainage, Bridge/Culvert (15 points)

The structural improvement rating provides an indication of the extent to which the project will help correct or reduce a structural problem with a road, a bridge, or a culvert. A municipality must provide documentation of: (1) the existing structural problems, and (2) how the proposed project will correct the problem. The municipality should provide any available deficiency ratings such as the municipality's own pavement condition inventory or the State's ratings on local bridges. Photographs would also be helpful. The municipality should also describe how the project will address each of the deficiencies it identifies.

For pavement projects, please attach core or test pits data to provide a representative sample of the existing roadway conditions. If varying pavement conditions exist along roadway indicating the possibility of different pavement conditions, a core/test pit should be performed in each roadway section. Pavement thickness and type, subbase thickness and type, and the presence of fines and/or groundwater should be noted.

CRCOG staff will review the documentation on each project. They will then rate each project based on their professional judgment, the general criteria listed below, and the municipality's documentation.



**General criteria:** (indicate existing conditions & conditions after improvement)

 Roadway Pavement:
 pavement condition rating (e.g., good, fair, poor)

 Roadway Drainage System:
 adequacy of subsurface drainage system (water in base?) adequacy of surface drainage system (icing or ponding?)

**Bridges & Culverts:** bridge condition rating (super structure, deck) hydraulic capacity (adequate for 25, 50, or 100 year flood?)

When assigning a project rating, staff will consider the range of existing problems (pavement, drainage, and culvert/bridge), the severity of the problems, and the degree to which the problem will be reduced.

### 2. Traffic Improvement: Flow, Safety, & Geometrics (15 points)

The traffic improvement criterion provides an indication of whether or not the proposed project will help improve traffic flow, traffic safety, or roadway geometrics. The applicant must provide documentation of: (1) the nature and severity of the existing problems, and (2) how the problems will be corrected by the proposed project. CRCOG staff will review the documentation and determine whether the improvement qualifies as major, moderate, minor, or none. Points to address in the documentation:

	Existing Problem	Proposed Improvement	Appropriate Criteria
Traffic Flow	Is there an existing <b>congestion</b> problem? What is the severity of the problem?	Will the proposal reduce the congestion problem? To what degree will it reduce it?	Level-of-service (LOS) before & after the proposal is implemented. Highway Capacity Manual procedures recommended but not required.
Traffic Safety	How many <b>accidents</b> occurred in the last <b>3 years</b> ? Provide accident records, summary of accident types, <u>or</u> collision diagrams.	How many of those accidents would the proposed project have eliminated ( <b>3 years</b> )?	Expected <b>accident</b> <b>reduction</b> over a <b>3-year</b> period.
Roadway Geometry	Are there any <b>geometric</b> <b>deficiencies</b> on the road? Examples: excessive grade, substandard width, excessive horizontal curvature, poor sight line, improper super elevation. Describe the problems & their severity.	Will the proposed project correct the problem and to what degree?	Indicate degree of improvement in appropriate measure such as: expected improvement in sight distance, or increase in design speed from 25 to 35 mph.

### 3. Traffic Volume or Transit Ridership (15 points)

This criterion provides a general indication of the number of people who benefit from the proposed project. Measurement method is dependent on the type of project proposed. For roadway improvement projects, the applicant must supply data on either the annual average daily traffic (AADT) or the peak hour volume of traffic (PHV). For transit projects, the applicant



must supply data on the number of transit riders who will benefit from the project. For projects other than road or transit improvements, the applicant must provide some other estimate of the number of people who will benefit and give an explanation of how the estimate was prepared. Submit documentation on <u>one</u> of the following:

- 1. ADT,
- 2. PHV,
- 3. Transit Riders

When using ADT, the score is calculated by the following formula: **Score = ADT/12,000 x 15** (where ADT = Average Daily Traffic, and the maximum ADT that will be considered is 12,000)

### 4. Regional Significance (17 points)

Regional significance provides an indication of how widespread or localized the *transportation* benefits of the project are. The applicant must describe the area of impact of the project. For example, does the project benefit only a very small area, an entire municipality, multiple municipalities, or most of the region? Proposals can receive up to seventeen extra points if the proposed project has any of the benefits listed below.

Benefit to Regional Public Facilities (maximum 10 points)

A proposal can receive rating points if it helps improve access to regional **public** facilities such as hospitals, colleges, and airports; on an evacuation route; or to an emergency shelter.

The applicant should provide documentation on (1) the size of the area that benefits from the proposed project, and (2) information on any regional **public** facilities that benefit from the proposed project. The documentation should demonstrate how the area or regional facilities benefit.

CRCOG staff will review the documentation and determine whether the project qualifies as regional, sub-regional, town-wide, or localized.

#### **TOD Supportive** (maximum 5 points)

A proposal can receive rating points if it is supportive of transit-oriented development (TOD). The applicant should provide documentation showing that the proposed project is within a half mile of a transit station on the CT*fastrak* line or CT*rail*'s Hartford Line. If the project is within a quarter mile of a transit station, the applicant should document that as well. Also key to supporting TOD, any elements of the project that enhance bicycle and pedestrian connections within the project area should be clearly stated and documented.

#### Economic Development (maximum 2 points)

Projects that help the economic development goals of the community will receive additional points.

### 5. Environmental (15 points)

Proposals can receive up to fifteen extra points if the proposed project has any of the benefits listed below.

**Environmental & Historic Preservation** (maximum 2 points)

If the project will have a positive environmental impact, or will serve to advance recognized historic preservation goals of the community, the project is eligible for additional points.



When considering environmental benefits, CRCOG staff will consider a wide range of potential environmental improvements such as air quality, water quality & flow, wetlands mitigation, open space improvements, etc.

#### **Green Infrastructure** (maximum 5 points)

If the project includes the implementation of new technologies and methodologies that reduce environmental impacts associated with transportation infrastructure, it can receive up to an extra five points. These new initiatives seek to reduce stormwater runoff and associated pollutants, promote the use of recycled materials, bring natural elements into streets, reduce "heat island" effects, and improve the access and accommodations for pedestrians and bicycles.

Green Streets strategies include the use of permeable pavement, bioslopes and bioswales, bioretention cells, and vegetated filter strips to reduce and filter stormwater runoff. Additional strategies to reduce environmental impacts include use of reclaimed or recycled pavements and integration of natural elements into streets. Additional strategies to reduce environmental impacts include use of in-place reclaiming of existing pavements for use as a road granular base on lower-volume roads, partial depth cold-in-place recycling of pavements up to 8,000 ADT, use of reclaimed asphalt pavement (RAP) into hot-mix-asphalt, warm-mix asphalt (WMA) technology, and integration of natural elements into streets.

#### **Environmental Justice** (maximum 8 points)

A proposal will be awarded up to eight extra points if the proposed project benefits low income and/or minority neighborhoods. A map of the environmental justice target areas is included in this document.

#### 6. Complete Streets (14 points)

#### Vulnerable Users

Pedestrian Supportive (maximum 3 points)

Proposals that improve pedestrian mobility and/or safety will receive up to three additional points. Proposals should indicate pedestrian measures that are being proposed such as new sidewalks, crosswalks, or pedestrian traffic signal equipment and how the measures will improve pedestrian safety.

#### **Bicycle Supportive** (maximum 3 points)

If the project helps to improve the mobility and safety of bicyclists, or helps achieve the goals of the Regional Bicycle Plan, it can receive up to an extra three points. Proposals should indicate how bicycle provisions (i.e. pavement striping to provide exclusive bicycle lane) will advance the vision of safety, convenience and improved linkages. Considerations should be given to the viability of reducing vehicle lane widths (for example from 12' to 11'), where appropriate, to provide additional shoulder width for cyclists.

• School Zones (maximum 2 points)

Projects that assist in addressing vehicular, pedestrian, or bicycle safety in school zones.

**Traffic Calming** (maximum 3 points)

If the project will have a positive effect on reducing vehicular travel speeds, altering driver behavior and/or reducing the negative effects of automobile use, the project is eligible for



additional points. When considering traffic calming benefits, CRCOG staff will evaluate a wide range of potential traffic calming improvements such as speed humps, reduced lane width, streetscaping elements, or other measures appropriate to the type of street. Proposals should indicate the severity of the existing problem and the degree to which the proposed improvements will reduce the problem.

#### **Transit Supportive** (maximum 3 points)

If a proposal benefits the region's transit system or transit users it can receive up to an extra three points. Proposals should indicate if bus shelters are being proposed or if sidewalks to bus stops are being improved or installed.

### 7. Derived From Corridor Study/ Long Range Transportation Plan (4 points)

A proposal will be awarded up to four extra points if the project is the result of a recommendation from a corridor study initiated through CRCOG and/or is contained in CRCOG's Long Range Transportation Plan.

#### 8. Municipally Owned Arterial or Collector Road (10 points)

A proposal will be awarded 10 extra points if the project is located on an arterial or collector road that is owned by the municipality (as versus State ownership).

#### 9. Leverages other Finances (5 points)

A proposal will be awarded up to five extra points if the proposed project leverages other finances. Leveraging other finances is defined as using LOTCIP funds to supplement other <u>existing</u> funds to fully fund a project. The number of points awarded will depend on how complete the planning or design processes are. To receive points, the existing funding must be secure and cannot be in the form of an earmark. With difficult financial times expected, multiple funding sources will offer great flexibility towards completion of projects.

### 10. Municipality has not recently secured LOTCIP funding (5 points)

A proposal will be awarded five extra points if it is from a municipality that either has not yet been awarded a LOTCIP project, or does not have a project from a prior solicitation queued in a pre-construction phase in the region's LOTCIP programs. A project will be considered in a pre-construction phase until such time as it has been bid and received CTDOT authorization to be awarded to the lowest responsible bidder.

#### 11. Accelerated Project Delivery (5 points)

A proposal will be awarded five extra points if it is demonstrated that significant design phase efforts have already been completed in a commitment to accelerate project delivery.



### PAVEMENT REHABILITATION PROJECTS

Rating Criteria		Max. Points
1.	Structural Improvement (Pavement)	20
2.	Traffic Volume or Transit Ridership	15
3.	Regional Significance	5
	<ul> <li>Benefit to Regional Public Facilities (3 points)</li> </ul>	
	Economic Development (2 points)	
4.	Environmental Justice	5
5.	Municipality has not recently secured LOTCIP funding	5
тс	50	

Pavement rehabilitation projects will be evaluated on, but not limited to, the following criteria: structural deficiencies including existing roadway issues, pavement deficiencies, and above surface drainage issues (such as ponding); traffic volumes based on average daily traffic (ADT) or peak hour volume of traffic (PHV); regional significance including how widespread or localized the benefits of the project are (including the facilities it will benefit, and economic development); project location in relation to environmental justice areas; and whether the municipality has recently secured LOTCIP funding. In support of complete streets, considerations should be given to the viability of reducing vehicle lane widths (for example from 12' to 11'), where appropriate, to provide additional shoulder width for cyclists.

#### **BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN PROJECTS and STAND-ALONE SIDEWALK PROJECTS**

Ra	Max. Points	
1.	Improves Mobility (including filling gaps/connecting destinations)	20
2.	Improves Safety (including volume of conflicting traffic)	15
3.	Especially Vulnerable Users	5
4.	Environmental Justice	5
5.	Municipality has not recently secured LOTCIP funding	5
то	50	

Bicycle and Pedestrian projects and Stand-alone sidewalk projects primarily rated on their ability to improve bicycle and pedestrian mobility and safety. These projects will be evaluated, but not limited to the following criteria: whether or not the improvement fills a gap or connects destinations; the effectiveness in providing alternatives to driving; safety benefit to the community; if there are especially vulnerable users (i.e. elementary school children, handicap individuals, teenagers, elderly); the project's location in relation to environmental justice areas; and whether the municipality has recently secured LOTCIP funding.



# DVRPC TIP PROJECT BENEFIT CRITERIA

An update to the criteria used to evaluate projects that are added to the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) was adopted by the DVRPC Board on February 27, 2014. Universal criteria were established that can be used to evaluate a variety of modes (roadway, transit, bike, pedestrian, freight) and project types, and can be used in the New Jersey and Pennsylvania counties in the DVRPC region. Using evaluation criteria is one means to most effectively balance programming the region's needs and resources. Other factors that are considered for new TIP project candidates include local and regional priorities, asset management system rankings, public input, political support, geographic distribution, fund eligibility, project readiness, leveraging investments, and ensuring that various project types are considered in the TIP project selection process, such as all types of non-major roadway, transit, bike/pedestrian, preservation, operational improvement, and freight projects.

More specific project criteria will continue to be used to evaluate specific, large-scale major regional longrange plan projects, or those using special fund categories. Specific funding sources that have their own criteria developed for very specific analysis include Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP), Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP), and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ). In these instances, the more specific project evaluation criteria will be used in conjunction with or in place of the TIP benefit criteria. During the development of the Draft FY2016 TIP for New Jersey, only new TIP candidate projects were assessed by DVRPC's universal benefit criteria.

The criteria were developed with New Jersey and Pennsylvania members of a working subcommittee of the DVRPC Regional Technical Committee (RTC) and were designed to align directly with the multimodal goals of the *Connections 2040* Plan as well as reflect the increasingly multimodal nature of projects in the TIP. The criteria generally consider one of two key questions:

- Is this project in a location where we want to make investments? Or,
- How beneficial or effective is this project?

The TIP Benefit Criteria were developed to represent the following characteristics:

- Align with the Long-Range Plan and other regional objectives;
- Be relevant to different types of TIP projects;
- Indicate differences between projects;
- Avoid measuring the same goal(s) multiple times;
- Cover the entire 9-county region;
- Be more quantitative than qualitative;
- Use readily available data with a strong likelihood of continued availability; and
- Be simple and understandable

The following briefly summarizes the criteria for project evaluation.

- Facility/Asset Condition brings a facility or asset into a state-of-good repair, extends the useful life of a facility, or removes a functionally obsolete bridge rating.
- Safety impacts safety-critical element for transit, high-crash road location, or incorporates an FHWA proven safety countermeasure.



- Reduce Congestion location in CMP (Congestion Management Process) congested corridors, or appropriate everywhere CMP strategy; AADT per lane, and daily transit riders per daily seats.
- Invest in Centers location in Connections 2040 Center or Freight Center, or high, medium-high, or medium transit score areas, or connection between two or more key centers.
- **Facility / Asset Use** levels of daily vehicle miles traveled (VMT), trucks, and transit ridership.
- Economic Competitiveness provides reduced operating/maintenance costs, or is part of an economic development or TOD project.
- Multimodal Bike/Pedestrian accounts for bicyclists and pedestrians using the facility; new trails, sidewalks, or bike lanes, and connections to other multimodal facilities.
- Environmental Justice benefits census tracts with high Indicators of Potential Disadvantage (IPD previously known as Degrees of Disadvantage or "DOD") communities.
- Air Quality/Green Design Stresses air quality benefits and incorporates environmentally friendly principals.

After defining the criteria, a web-based decision-making tool was used to weigh the criteria. The higher the weight, the higher the priority for the DVRPC region.



Each criterion could receive up to a maximum of 1 point. Each project can receive a total score that is the sum of the weight times the rating for each criteria. The tool can compare the projects estimated total state and federal cost to the total score, as a benefit-cost ratio. Other sources of funding that may increase a project's benefit-cost ratio, such as additional local funding beyond match requirements; non-traditional funding grants; and developer or private contributions, will not count toward a project's cost for the benefit-cost ratio. The tool provides a ranking of projects with the highest benefit-cost ratios, but the Regional Technical Committee recommends and ultimately the DVRPC Board makes the final decisions to determine TIP project selections.


## TIP EVALUATION CRITERIA AND MEASURES

The following sections detail each of the proposed criteria.

# **1. FACILITY / ASSET CONDITION**

This criterion relates to the *Connections 2040* goal of rebuilding and maintaining the region's transportation infrastructure. The region has a substantial backlog of road, bridge, and transit infrastructure repair needs. These "fix-it-first" projects need to be the regional priority until a state-of-good repair is achieved. Data will come from road, bridge, and transit asset management systems.

### Transit Project Rating

 $\square$  1 point if the improvement brings the asset into a state of good repair, or

 $\Box$  0.5 points if project extends the useful life of a facility/asset not in poor condition.

### Roadway and Bridge Project Rating

□ 1 point if the project will bring a Bridge deck/super/sub/culvert rating of 3 or less, a posted or weight-restricted bridge, an interstate road segment with an IRI of ≥ 180, an NHS facility with an IRI ≥ 200, a roadway with more than 2,000 vehicles per day with an IRI ≥ 230, or a roadway with less than 2,000 vehicles per day and an IRI of ≥ 260 into a state-of-good repair;

□ 0.8 points if the project will bring a facility or asset with a "Poor/Worst on four or five point scale" asset management system rating into a state-of-good repair;

□ 0.5 points if the project will extend the useful life of a facility that is not in poor condition, or resolves a fracture critical issue on a bridge;

 $\square$  0.25 points if project eliminates a functional obsolete issue on a bridge.

### 2. SAFETY

This criterion relates to the *Connections 2040* Plan goal of creating a safer transportation system. Projects that improve DOT identified high-crash locations and have a safety component will score 0.5 points per high-crash location. In addition, projects that incorporate one or more FHWA proven safety countermeasure can score 0.5 points per countermeasure, (defined at: <u>http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/provencountermeasures/</u>).

Transit projects that are deemed safety critical will receive one point.

#### Transit Safety Rating

1 point if project is a safety critical transit project.

#### Roadway Safety Rating

Up to a maximum of 1 point:

0.5 points per safety improvement in 1 or more DOT identified high crash location (up to 1 point),

Pennsylvania Roadway Departure Improvement Program (RDIP) – the project must implement the specific identified safety improvement: enhanced signs and markings for curves (CSM), enhanced signs and markings



for curves + high friction surfaces (CMS-HFS), centerline rumble strips (CLRS), edge line rumble strips or shoulder rumble strips (ELRS/SRS), wider shoulders / edge line rumble strips (WS-ELRS), center and edge line pavement markings (C&EL PM), alignment delineation / lighting (ADL), high friction surfaces (HFS), guiderail relocations / safety enhancements (GR), tree removal / safety enhancements (TR), utility pole removal / safety enhancements (UP), enforcement and education – alcohol related (EEA), enforcement and education – speeding related (EES), enforcement and education – restraint related (EER), infrastructure improvements – speeding related (II), or install cable median barrier (CMB);

□ Pennsylvania Intersection Safety Improvement Program (ISIP) – the project must implement the specific identified safety improvement: STOP, SIGNAL, LEFT TURN, PED, or SPEED;

□ 0.5 points per incorporated FHWA proven safety countermeasure (up to 1 point);

- Roundabouts;
- □ access management;
- □ signal back-plates with retro-reflective borders;
- □ longitudinal rumble strips and stripes on two-lane roads;
- enhanced delineation and friction for horizontal curves;
- □ safety edge;
- medians and pedestrian crossing islands in urban and suburban areas;
- $\Box$  pedestrian hybrid beacons; or
- □ road diets.

### 3. REDUCE CONGESTION

Reducing congestion is a goal in the *Connections 2040* plan. This has a significant impact on the region's economy, as competitiveness within a global economy means the region needs to be able to efficiently move people and goods. This criterion considers location in CMP corridors and the facility's existing level of congestion or overcrowding.

### Is the project located in a CMP Priority or Congested Subcorridor?

The CMP has conducted considerable analysis of the regional transportation network and the impact of congestion. Developed with the counties, DOTs, transit operators, and other regional stakeholders, the CMP has identified a subset of Priority Sub-corridors for transportation investment with specific strategies for mitigating congestion. This criterion also considers Congested Sub-corridors and Emerging Corridors as additional rating factors. In areas where Priority, Congested Sub-corridors, or Emerging Corridors overlap, only the higher value will be counted.

#### CMP Rating

#### Maximum of A or B:

- A. 0.5 points if project implements an appropriate everywhere strategy in the CMP. CMP appropriate everywhere strategies include:
  - $\Box$  safety improvements and programs;
  - □ signage;
  - □ context sensitive design;
  - improvements for walking and bicycling;
  - □ basic upgrade of traffic signals;
  - □ signal prioritization for emergency vehicles;



- □ making transfers easier for passengers;
- □ intersection improvements of a limited scale;
- □ bottleneck removal of a limited scale;
- environmental justice outreach for decision-making;
- □ access management;
- □ marketing/outreach for transit and TDM services;
- □ revisions to existing land use or transportation regulations;
- $\Box$  growth management;
- □ smart growth; or
- □ complete streets.

B. (Project length in priority corridor x 100 percent + project length in congested corridor x70 percent + project length in emerging corridor x 30 percent) divided by total project length.

# What is the average AADT divided by the average number of lanes or transit ridership divided by the number of seats?

This criterion looks at facility or route specific congestion or overcrowding. AADT and average lanes data will come from the Roadway Management System (RMS). Transit seats will be computed by seats per vehicle multiplied by average number of vehicles (for rail routes) multiplied by daily service frequency. This data will come from annual route statistics reports, or the transit agency itself.

#### Congestion / Overcrowding Rating

- For limited-access facilities: 1 point if Daily AADT/Lane is greater than 25,000; else AADT/Lane divided by 25,000.
- □ For arterials, collectors, and local roads: 1 point if Daily AADT/Lane is greater than 12,500; else AADT/Lane divided by 12,500.
- □ For Transit Facilities: 1 point if Daily Passengers/Daily Seats (# of vehicles \* seats per vehicle \* Total Daily Service frequency) is greater than 1; else Daily Passengers/Daily Seats.

### 4. INVEST IN CENTERS

This criterion reflects the *Connections 2040* core plan principle to create livable communities within more than 120 regional development centers and 44 freight centers. Identifying focus areas for future development creates a better linkage between land use and transportation.

Projects will be rated on how well they serve centers by their location within centers, or high, medium-high, or medium transit score areas. A hybrid GIS layer has been created with a ¼ mile around all *Connections 2040* centers (from the metro center to rural and neighborhood centers), and all non-center areas of the region are high, medium-high, or medium transit score locations, or none of the above. All project limits within the Centers and Center buffer areas, or within high transit score areas will receive one point. All project areas within medium-high transit score areas will receive 0.75 points. All project limits within medium transit score areas will receive 0.5 points. The sum of the project within these three limits (multiplied by the rating), will then be divided by the total project length to get a centers/transit score rating.

Projects can also be rated for being a critical link between two or more centers. Projects that either maintain or improve service on a facility that links centers will get 0.25 points added to their centers/transit score rating (up to a maximum of one point).



#### Centers Rating

(100% x Project length within ¼ mile or inside Plan and Freight Centers + 100% x project length in high transit score areas + 75% x project length in medium-high transit score areas + 50% x project length in medium transit score areas)/total project length.

Bonus: +0.25 points (up to 1 point maximum) if the project improves or maintains a critical facility that links two or more regional Plan or freight centers.

### 5. FACILITY/ASSET USE

This criterion looks at how much use the facility or asset receives in a multimodal manner, to determine the scale of the project's impact on the transportation system. Use will be determined by the total number of vehicle miles traveled (VMT), average number of daily trucks, or affected daily transit riders. The greater the facility's use, the more important it is in terms of risk to negative regional impacts, and the broader the benefits are that can be delivered by implementing the project. Only existing users are counted, and the evaluation criteria do not attempt to estimate future users as a result of the project.

### Vehicle Miles Traveled

Vehicle miles traveled will be determined by using the average AADT for all segments multiplied by facility length. Data will come from the Roadway Management System (RMS). Projects that are located at specific intersection(s) and bridge(s) will assume a project length of 1 mile, essentially using AADT as the proxy for usage. Intersections and bridges that are improved as part of a larger corridor project will be embedded into the overall project length (and will not use the one mile assumption). New segments will use their length multiplied by the average AADT for the facilities they connect to (beginning and endpoints only). Data will come from the Roadway Management System (RMS).

#### Daily VMT Rating

1 point if the average AADT of all road segments multiplied by the total length of the segments within the project limits is more than 500,000; else, total daily VMT divided by 500,000.

#### **Daily Trucks**

Daily trucks will be determined by multiplying the percent daily trucks by the average AADT for all segments. Data will come from the Roadway Management System (RMS). For freight rail projects, DVRPC will work with the private rail company to estimate daily truck equivalents.

#### Daily Trucks Rating

1 point if the average road segment has more than 7,500 trucks or truck equivalents per day; else trucks or truck equivalents per day divided by 7,500.

#### **Daily Affected Transit Riders**



Daily affected transit riders will account for the average daily ridership using the route in question, or routes the asset depends on. For example the Jenkintown Substation powers the Lansdale-Doylestown, Warminster, and West Trenton lines. A project to improve the Jenkintown substation affects the riders of all three lines.

#### Daily Affected Transit Riders Rating

Ridership values will come from annual route ridership reports published by the transit agencies, or direct transit agency data. 1 point if the number of daily transit riders affected is 50,000 or above; else daily affected ridership divided by 50,000.

### 6. ECONOMIC COMPETIVENESS

This criterion rewards projects that build the regional economy by investing in transportation improvements related to economic development or transit-oriented development (TOD); reducing agency operating or maintenance costs; or reducing transportation system user costs. Projects rated for economic development or TOD must indicate the specific development it is supporting.

### Economic Competiveness Rating

Sum of each checkbox, up to a maximum of 1 point:

- Does the project reduce agency maintenance or operating costs?
   (0 points if cost increases; 0.25 points if no change; 0.5 points if cost decreases)
- Does the project reduce public/private transportation system user vehicle maintenance or operating costs? (0 points if cost increases; 0.25 points if no change; 0.5 points if cost decreases)
- Does project support a known economic development project or a transit-oriented development (TOD)? (0.5 points if it supports)

## 7. MULTIMODAL BIKE/PEDESTRIAN

This criterion relates to the *Connections 2040* Plan goal of fostering a multimodal transportation system. It will rate new facilities based on length and connections to existing multimodal facilities; or existing use of facilities. In some cases a road may add a bike lane, where there is already significant bicycle use. This project will be able to score based on both the new bike lane and the existing use.

The rating for existing facilities will be based on daily bicyclists and pedestrian use. This data will come from DVRPC counts, and can be supplemented with county counts if no DVRPC counts are available. New bike and pedestrian facilities will be rated based on project length and connections to other existing bike and pedestrian facilities, transit stations, or bus routes. Projects that make a critical last mile transit connection or link facilities over a difficult connection, such as a bridge, will receive a 0.5 point bonus.

Sum of each checkbox, up to a maximum of 1 point:

- □ 1 point if the number of daily bicyclists and pedestrians is 1,000 or above; else daily bicyclists and pedestrians divided by 1,000.
- Up to 0.5 points for a new trail, sidepath, bike lane, or sidewalk; total length in miles divided by 10.
  - □ 0.1 points for each bus route, each train station, or each existing bike/ped facility the proposed new bike/ped facility connects to.
  - +0.5 points for new sidewalks and bike facilities to fill a difficult gap, such as on a bridge, or new 'first/last mile' bike/ped connection to a public transit station or key destination.



## 8. ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE

Does the project serve Environmental Justice communities and the additional population groups with additional transportation needs, as defined by the DVRPC Indicators of Potential Disadvantage (IPD) methodology? This indicator also helps to ensure that these communities do not suffer from worse overall infrastructure condition than other communities.

### Environmental Justice Rating

(100% x project length in 7-8 IPD communities + 70% x project length in 5-6 IPD communities + 30% x project length in 3-4 IPD communities) divided by total project length.

### 9. AIR QUALITY/GREEN DESIGN

This criterion relates to the *Connections 2040* Plan goal of limiting transportation impacts on the natural environment. Projects will rate if they provide air quality benefits, incorporate green design principles, use green or recycled materials, or reduce environmental impact. Examples of projects for each category are shown below, but this list is not intended to be limited to these examples only. Other green design principles not listed here can also be considered with TIP subcommittee group consensus.

### Air Quality Rating

0.5 points for air quality improvements:

□ Air quality: low emissions vehicles (hybrid, hydrogen, LPN, genset/clean diesel); trees, sound walls or other buffers that reduce exposure to transportation noise and emissions; separating freight and diesel traffic from local roads, schools, parks, or residential areas; reduce vehicle hours of driving, vehicle miles traveled, greenhouse gas emissions, or vehicle idling.

#### Green Design Rating

- 0.5 points for incorporating any one of the checkboxes below:
  - Green design: bioswales/rain gardens, tree trenches, vegetated medians (more than just grass)/vegetated curb bump-outs, naturalized stormwater basins.
  - Green or recycled materials: use warm-mix asphalt, long-life pavement materials, pervious pavement, or smog absorbing concrete; use of recycled materials (fly ash, glass, plastic, etc.), or project supports or enhances recycling efforts.
  - □ Reduced environmental impact: alternative energy generation (solar, wind, regenerative braking); climate adaptability/resiliency components; enhance habitat connectivity or wildlife crossings.



### **FUTURE REVISIONS**

It is intended that these evaluation criteria are part of a living document. The criteria will need to be revisited and updated as appropriate, particularly as new data or analysis techniques become available. A known future impact will be better aligning with MAP-21 performance measures.

#### **MAP-21** Performance Measures

Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century (MAP-21) is the current federal transportation legislation. Among its reforms is to create 13 performance measures related to the nation's Interstate and National Highway System road networks, and a set of criteria related to the transit system. While the exact criteria have not yet been identified, they will measure the following goals.

### Interstate and National Highway System

- Infrastructure condition To maintain the highway infrastructure asset system in a state of good repair.
   Pavement Condition (Interstate/NHS)
  - Bridge Condition (NHS)
- □ System reliability To improve the efficiency of the surface transportation system.
- □ Safety To achieve a significant reduction in traffic fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads.
  - Injuries / VMT;
  - Fatalities / VMT;
  - # of Serious Injuries;
  - # of Fatalities
  - Measures used to address safety on all public roads
- Congestion reduction To achieve a significant reduction in congestion on the National Highway System.
- □ Environmental sustainability- To enhance the performance of the transportation system while protecting and enhancing the natural environment.
- □ Freight movement and economic vitality To improve the national freight network, strengthen the ability of rural communities to access national and international trade markets, and support regional economic development.
- Reduced project delivery delays To reduce project costs, promote jobs and the economy, and expedite the movement of people and goods by accelerating project completion through eliminating delays in the project development and delivery process, including reducing regulatory burdens and improving agencies' work practices.

#### Transit System



Safety Condition



On the roadway side, the TIP project benefit criteria have a measure related to nearly all the goals; only the system reliability and reduced project delivery delay measures could be considered missing. Project delivery will be determined in the LPN process in Pennsylvania and the Concept Development Screening in New Jersey. Project selection discussion can also consider project readiness. System reliability is partially addressed through the CMP process, where the most critical congested corridors have been identified. Investments in these areas should help to improve system reliability.

What the actual MAP-21 indicators will be is still to be determined. Once these national indicators have been defined, the TIP evaluation criteria may need to be revised to better reflect the federal measures.

### **RISK**

While the TIP project evaluation does not include a specific measure for the risk involved with a project, it is effectively captured through three of the criteria:

- □ Safety
- Use
- □ Facility/Asset Condition

### **Health in All Policies**

The *Connections 2040* plan calls for a 'health in all policies' framework, which encourages the integration of health in policy assessment, decision-making, and public investments. While the TIP project evaluation criteria do not employ a specific health measure, they can help to anticipate better health outcomes. Key transportation related health outcomes were identified by the American Public Health Association in *The Hidden Health Costs of Transportation* report. These outcomes include physical activity and body weight, air pollution, traffic safety, household expenses and equity. There is a TIP project evaluation criteria related to improving each of these outcomes.

ransportation Health Outcome	TIP Project Evaluation Criteria
Physical Activity and Weight	Multimodal Bike/Pedestrian – does the project add new bike or pedestrian facilities?
Air Pollution	Air Quality/Green Design – does the project help to lower emissions?
Traffic Safety	Safety – does the project improve a high-crash road location, or incorporate an FHWA proven safety countermeasure.
Household Expenditures on Transportation	Economic Competitiveness – does the project reduce user vehicle operating or maintenance cost.
Equity	Environmental Justice – does the project benefit high indicators of potential disadvantage (IPD) communities.

TSOURCE: DVRPC 2014, MODIFIED FROM APHA 2010



# **DETAILED EVALUATION CRITERIA**

MAIN CRITERIA	SUB- CRITERIA	DATA SOURCE	RATING SCALE (EACH MAIN/SUB CRITERIA CAN SCORE UP TO 1 POINT)					
Invest in Centers	-	Connections 2040 Centers, Freight Centers, Transit Score Index	<ul> <li>+ (100% x Project length within ¼ mile or inside Plan or Freight Centers + 100% x project length in high transit score areas + 75% x project length in medium-high transit score areas + 50% x project length in medium transit score areas) /total project length.</li> <li>+ 0.25 points if project improves or maintains a <i>critical</i> facility that links two or more regional Plan or freight centers.</li> <li>Maximum of A or B below:</li> <li>A. 0.5 points if project implements an appropriate everywhere strategy in the CMP</li> </ul>					
Reduce Congestion	CMP	CMP Appropriate Everywhere Strategies, CMP Priority Corridors	<ul> <li>safety improvements and programs;</li> <li>signage;</li> <li>context sensitive design;</li> <li>improvements for walking and bicycling;</li> <li>basic upgrade of traffic signals;</li> <li>signal prioritization for emergency vehicles;</li> <li>making transfers easier for passengers;</li> <li>intersection improvements of a limited scale;</li> <li>bottleneck removal of a limited scale;</li> <li>growth in priority corridor x 100 percent + project length in congested corridor x 70 percent + project length in emerging corridor x 30 percent)/total project length.</li> </ul>					
	Congestion / Overcrowding	Roadway Management System (RMS)	<ul> <li>A. Limited-access facilities: 1 point if Daily AADT/Lane is greater than 25,000; else AADT/Lane divided by 25,000.</li> <li>B. Arterials, collectors, and local roads: 1 point if Daily AADT/Lane is greater than 12,500; else AADT/Lane divided by 12,500.</li> <li>C. Transit facilities: 1 point if daily passengers/daily seats (# of vehicles * seats per vehicle * total daily service frequency) &gt;1; else daily passengers/daily seats.</li> </ul>					
Environmental Justice	-	Indicators of Potential Disadvantage (IPD)	(100% x project length in 7-8 IPD communities + 70% x project length in 5-6 IPD communities + 30% x project length in 3-4 IPD communities)/total project length.					
Facility / Asset Use	Daily VMT	Roadway Management System (RMS),	1 point if the average AADT of all road segments multiplied by the total length of the segments within the project limits is more than 500,000; else total daily VMT divided by 500,000. For computation of VMT, projects that only involve bridges or intersections assume that each of these facilities is 1 mile in length. In this case the value will be the average AADT multiplied by the number of bridges or intersections. Projects where bridge or intersection improvements are a part of a larger scope will rely on the limits of the larger project.					
	Daily Trucks	Roadway Management System (RMS),	1 point if the average road segment has more than 7,500 trucks or truck equivalents per day; else trucks or truck equivalents per day divided by 7,500.					
	Daily Transit Riders	Transit Agencies,	1 point if the number of daily transit riders affected is 50,000 or above; else daily affected ridership divided by 50,000.					
Multimodal – Bike and Pedestrian	New facilities	DVRPC multi-use trail network, bus routes, train/trolley/subway stations; DVRPC Bike/Ped counts	<ul> <li>Up to a maximum of 1 point:</li> <li>Up to 0.5 points for any new trail, sidepath, bike lane, or sidewalk: total length in mile divided by 10;</li> <li>0.1 points for each bus route, each train station, or each existing bike/ped facility that proposed new bike/ped facility connects to;</li> <li>0.5 points if new sidewalks and bike facilities fill a difficult gap, such as on a bridge, or 'first/last mile' bike/ped connection to a public transit station or key destination; and</li> <li>1 point if number of daily bicyclists and pedestrians is 1,000 or above; else daily bicycliand pedestrians divided by 1,000.</li> </ul>					



# DETAILED EVALUATION CRITERIA (CONTINUED)

MAIN CRITERIA	SUB- CRITERIA	DATA SOURCE	RATING SCALE (EACH MAIN/SUB CRITERIA CAN SCORE UP TO 1 POINT)
Air Quality / Green Design	-	Project sponsor / project scope	<ul> <li>0.5 points for air quality benefits such as: low emissions vehicles (hybrid, hydrogen, LPN, genset/clean diesel); trees, sound walls or other buffers that reduce exposure to transportation noise and emissions; separating freight and diesel traffic from local roads, schools, parks, or residential areas; reduce vehicle hours of driving, vehicle miles traveled, greenhouse gas emissions, or vehicle idling;</li> <li>and/or 0.5 points for any one of the green design checkboxes below:</li> <li>Green design such as bioswales/rain gardens, tree trenches, vegetated medians (more than just grass)/vegetated curb bump-outs, naturalized stormwater basins;</li> <li>Green or recycled materials such as: use warm-mix asphalt, long-life pavement materials, pervious pavement, or smog absorbing concrete; use of recycled materials (fly ash, glass, plastic, etc.), or project supports or enhances recycling efforts;</li> <li>Reduced environmental impact, such as: alternative energy generation (solar, wind, regenerative braking); climate adaptability/resiliency components; enhance habitat connectivity or wildlife crossings.</li> </ul>
			Up to a maximum of 1 point:
Economic		Project sponsor	Project saves or reduces agency operating/maintenance costs: 0 points if project increases costs; 0.25 points if no change; 0.5 points if cost decreases;
Competitiveness	-	RTC, DVRPC	Project saves user or public/private vehicle operating costs: 0 points if project increases costs; 0.25 points if no change; 0.5 points if cost decreases);
			0.5 points if project supports a known economic development (ED) project or a transit- oriented development (TOD).
Safety	-	Transit agency, DOT, project sponsor/scope	Transit Projects Only: safety critical transit project =1 point         Roadway/Bike/Ped. Projects: 0.5 points per safety improvement/critical safety location         (up to 1 point)



# **DETAILED EVALUATION CRITERIA (CONTINUED)**

MAIN CRITERIA	SUB- CRITERIA	DATA SOURCE	RATING SCALE (EACH MAIN/SUB CRITERIA CAN SCORE UP TO 1 POINT)		
			<ul> <li>Transit Projects (up to 1 point):         <ul> <li>1 point if the improvement brings the asset from a poor condition into a state of good repair;</li> <li>0.5 points if project extends the useful life of a facility / asset not in poor condition.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
Facility / Asset Condition	-	Asset Management System Rating	Roadway and Bridge Projects (up to 1 point):□1 point if the project will bring a bridge deck/super/sub/culvert rating of 3 or less, a posted or weight-restricted bridge, an interstate road segment with an IRI of ≥ 180, an NHS facility with an IRI ≥ 200, a roadway with more than 2,000 vehicles per day with an IRI ≥ 230, or a roadway with less than 2,000 vehicles per day and an IRI of ≥ 260 into a state-of-good repair;		
			0.8 points if the project will bring a facility or asset with a "poor/worst on four or five point scale" asset management system rating into a state-of-good repair;		
			<ul> <li>0.5 points if project extends the useful life of a facility not in poor condition, or resolves a fracture critical issue on a bridge;</li> </ul>		
			0.25 points if project removes a functional obsolescence issue on a bridge.		



### **GreenLITES for Sustainable Planning**

The New York Department of Transportation (NYSDOT) is committed to a transportation system that supports a sustainable society and has initiated the **GreenLITES** program as a way to achieve this goal. The integration of **GreenLITES** into the transportation planning and programming process will help to ensure a more balanced approach in making transportation decisions. By incorporating sustainable practices in the planning phase, communities will begin the process of securing a more sustainable, vibrant and healthy environment.

Although the preservation of our existing transportation infrastructure is vitally important, finding new solutions that enhance our communities is also important. This can be accomplished by incorporating planning practices that promote more liveable, vibrant communities and at the same time, preserve the environment.





The NYSDOT examined various ways of addressing **GreenLITES** in planning, including incorporating sustainable goals in long range plans and in the development of the Department's capital program. Another option involved promoting **GreenLITES** in planning at the local level The project solicitation tool was developed to assist municipalities in planning projects in the earliest stage.



### GreenLITES for Sustainable Planning

The 13 metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs) in New York State periodically reach out to the local municipalities to identify projects for inclusion in the State's transportation program. A **GreenLITES** project solicitation tool has been developed to assist municipalities in identifying their projects. Emphasis is placed on projects that support sustainability by improving the community's transportation infrastructure and quality of life, contributing to a vibrant economy, and minimizing impacts to the environment.

This DRAFT **GreenLITES** project solicitation tool has been developed by NYSDOT in collaboration with several New York State MPOs. The tool's purpose is to ensure a more balanced approach in selecting projects and making sustainable transportation decisions. This helps municipalities assess how closely projects are aligned with transportation planning practices that support a sustainable society.

Municipalities may use the **GreenLITES** project solicitation tool posted on this site to self rate their proposed projects. The rated projects are then submitted to the appropriate MPO (<u>http://www.nysmpos.org/</u>) and reviewed for:

- Completeness and accuracy for appropriate points.
- Verification of information the MPO may follow-up with questions concerning the proposed project and alignment with specific criteria.

Rated projects will be considered by the MPOs for inclusion in the transportation program, known as the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). Additional screening of projects will take place at the MPO through their project selection process.

Comments or questions regarding the Planning project solicitation tool may be submitted to the **GreenLITES** program manager at: <u>GreenLITES@dot.state.ny.us</u>

### How to Use This DRAFT Tool

This rating tool will provide a mechanism to determine how closely your project is consistent with these sustainability goals. Points are awarded for each criterion that supports these goals, with each "yes" answer receiving one point. If the criterion is not applicable to the project, the "no" box can be checked or "NA" written in the comment box. The comment box is an opportunity to briefly explain how the project addresses the specific criteria.

The criteria below are preceded by a question which provides context to the criteria. For example, the first question focuses on the comprehensive plan and all the subsequent questions relate to the plan.



**1.** Is the project consistent with current local comprehensive plan? If the community does not have a plan, answer "no" to the questions.

		YES	NO	Comments
1a.	Has the Plan been developed within the last 10 years?			
1b.	Does the Plan provide a vision of community objectives and priorities?			
1c.	Does the Plan incorporate "walkable communities" and /or "complete streets" concepts?			
1d.	Has the Plan been developed through an enhanced public outreach effort? This would involve reaching out to all members of the community.			
1e.	Does the Plan promote population and development densities that are sufficient to warrant public transit?			
1f.	Is the project consistent with the objectives of the Plan?			

### Total Points (Maximum points= 6)

### 2. Does this project support many of the "liveability principles"?

		YES	NO	Comments
2a.	Does the project provide for more transportation choices (modes) that are safe, reliable, and affordable?			
2b.	Does the project enhance economic competitiveness through reliable and timely access to employment centers, housing, educational <b>o</b> pportunities, and expanded business access to markets?			
2c.	Does the project contribute toward the revitalization of existing communities through transit–oriented, mixed used development?			
2d.	Does the project enhance the unique characteristics of the community by investing in healthy, safe & walkable neighborhoods?			

### Total Points (Maximum points = 4)

### 3. Does this project protect and enhance the environment?

3b.	Does the project consider aesthetics in design – context sensitive design, landscaping, visual easements, etc.?		
3c.	Does the project include Ecology and Habitat Enhancements, such as species protection, wetlands protection, and native communities?		
3d.	Does the project involve redevelopment or reuse of Brownfields? The redevelopment of Brownfields leads to public benefits through the removal of hazardous wastes.		
3e.	Does the project contribute toward reducing Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHGs)?		

### Total Points (Maximum Points = 5)

**4.** Does the project support the economic vitality of the affected area, and at the same time, minimize adverse environmental impacts?

		YES	NO	Comments
4a.	Does the project enhance the region's attractiveness to new/ existing businesses?			
4b.	Does the project support use of or reinvestment in high density mixed use urban areas or villages?			
4c.	Does the project avoid previously undeveloped land (open spaces or greenfields)?			
4d.	Does the project avoid or minimize impacts to social/environmental resources (parklands, wetlands, historic sites, farmlands, and viewsheds,)?			

Total Points (Maximum Points =4) \_\_\_\_\_

### 5. Does the project contribute toward increasing accessibility and mobility options?

		YES	NO	Comments
5a.	Does the project improve bicycle and pedestrian facilities, such as shoulder widening to provide for on-road bike- lane, new pedestrian signals, new or extended sidewalks, etc.?			
5b.	Does the project improve access to transit facilities for multiple users? This may include new /expanded transit infrastructure, such as platforms, stations, parking and rail lines.			
5c.	Does the project enhance accessibility for persons with disabilities and meet ADA requirements?			

### Total points (Maximum Points=3)

### **6.** Does the project employ unique financing arrangements?

		YES	NO	Comments
6a.	Does the project uses Public/Private partnerships to finance the initial cost, or some aspect of this project (operating costs)?			
6b.	Is the project located in a special assessment district, and is it being financed through taxes or fees collected from developments in the district?			
6c.	Does the project use other innovative financing arrangements?			

Total points (Maximum Points= 3)

7. Other considerations – Does the project address other sustainable transportation practices that are not included in this guidance? For example, does the project employ methods that will lead to a longer life of that facility, (i.e. life cycle cost savings)?

Add 1 point for additional considerations.

TOTAL POINTS (Maximum points = 26)

**NOTE:** A higher score does not necessarily equate to a more sustainable project. The rater must consider the context and purpose of the project, and how the project addresses both community and transportation needs. The tool simply demonstrates whether a project has been vetted through a comprehensive planning process, with consideration given to environmental, social and economic factors.